

11-2022

Abortion, Pregnancy Loss, & Subjective Fetal Personhood

Greer Donley

University of Pittsburgh Law School

Jill Wieber Lens

University of Arkansas School of Law

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarship.law.vanderbilt.edu/vlr>



Part of the [Health Law and Policy Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Greer Donley and Jill Wieber Lens, Abortion, Pregnancy Loss, & Subjective Fetal Personhood, 75 *Vanderbilt Law Review* 1649 (2023)

Available at: <https://scholarship.law.vanderbilt.edu/vlr/vol75/iss6/1>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarship@Vanderbilt Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Vanderbilt Law Review by an authorized editor of Scholarship@Vanderbilt Law. For more information, please contact mark.j.williams@vanderbilt.edu.

ARTICLES

Abortion, Pregnancy Loss, & Subjective Fetal Personhood

*Greer Donley**
*Jill Wieber Lens***

Long-standing dogma dictates that recognizing pregnancy loss threatens abortion rights—acknowledging that miscarriage and stillbirth involve the loss of something valuable, the theory goes, creates a slippery slope to fetal personhood. For decades, antiabortion advocates have capitalized on this tension and weaponized the grief that can accompany pregnancy loss in

* Greer Donley is a John E. Murray Faculty Scholar and Associate Professor of Law at the University of Pittsburgh Law School.

** Jill Wieber Lens is the Robert A. Leflar Professor of Law at the University of Arkansas School of Law.

The authors are grateful for the outpouring of support we have received for this Article. We thank Naomi Cahn and others in the Feminist Legal Theory Summer Series for their feedback on the Article's initial concept; I. Glenn Cohen for inviting Donley to present the project at Harvard Law School for the Health Law Policy, Bioethics, and Biotechnology Workshop; David Cohen, Yvette Lindgren, Maya Manian, and Rachel Rebouché for providing feedback on multiple versions of the draft; the reproductive justice scholars—including Mindy Jane Roseman, Ederlina Co, Susan Appleton, Cynthia Soohoo, Courtney Joslin, Jessica Sibley, Caroline Mala Corbin, Meghan Boone, Jennifer Hendricks, Elizabeth Kukura, Radhika Rao, Joanna Grossman, Sonia Suter, Sital Kalanty, Aziza Ahmed, and Jennifer Dunn—who gave feedback during the Reproductive Justice Roundtable; Aaron Tang who provided comments on our historical arguments; and the interdisciplinary group of scholars—including philosophers, doctors, public health experts, litigators, and abortion providers—who offered feedback during a variety of talks. We would also like to thank the editors at the *Vanderbilt Law Review* for their fantastic editing and bringing this piece to life. Lens dedicates this Article to her sweet boy in heaven, Caleb Marcus Lens.

their efforts to legislate fetal personhood and end abortion rights. In response, abortion rights advocates have at times fought legislative efforts to support those experiencing pregnancy loss and, more recently, remained silent, alienating those who suffer a miscarriage or stillbirth.

This Article argues that this perceived tension can be reconciled through the concept of subjective and relational fetal value. The Article derives this concept from pregnancy loss research, which demonstrates that a pregnant person's attachment to their fetus is based on myriad individualized factors. Attachment in pregnancy is neither fixed nor biological and therefore does not support the antiabortion concept of personhood-at-conception. We suggest that tort law offers a way forward: a model of recognizing subjective, relational fetal value that does not collapse into personhood-at-conception. Thus, abortion rights advocates can recognize and support those experiencing pregnancy loss without ceding ground on abortion rights.

Most importantly, this Article proposes that recognition of pregnancy loss within abortion narratives will better position the abortion rights movement for a post-Roe America in which abortion and pregnancy loss are inexorably intertwined. Without legal abortion access, women will turn to self-managed abortion. But because complications from self-managed abortion are indistinguishable from miscarriage, investigation and criminalization of pregnancy loss will increase as a mechanism to enforce abortion laws. Further, restrictions on abortion will limit medical treatments for pregnancy loss. Looking forward, we argue that an abortion rights narrative that can join forces with the pregnancy loss community by acknowledging subjective fetal value will be less alienating to many Americans and reflect nuanced views on the meaning of pregnancy. Last, appreciating the blurriness between abortion and pregnancy loss will help normalize and destigmatize all pregnancy endings that do not result in a live birth—abortion, stillbirth, and miscarriage—benefitting all pregnant people.

INTRODUCTION	1652
I. THE PERCEIVED TENSION BETWEEN ABORTION AND PREGNANCY LOSS.....	1657
II. THE SURPRISING OVERLAP BETWEEN ABORTION AND PREGNANCY LOSS.....	1662
A. <i>Race and Class</i>	1663
B. <i>Physical Experiences</i>	1665
C. <i>Stigmas and Silence</i>	1667
D. <i>Connection to the Fetus</i>	1671
III. UNDERSTANDING SUBJECTIVE FETAL VALUATION AND ITS INCONSISTENCY WITH FETAL PERSONHOOD-AT-CONCEPTION	1676

2022]	<i>ABORTION, PREGNANCY LOSS, & SUBJECTIVE FETAL PERSONHOOD</i>	1651
A.	<i>The Experience of Attachment and Loss in Pregnancy</i>	1676
B.	<i>Tort Law’s Recognition of Subjective and Variable Loss</i>	1684
C.	<i>Subjective Fetal Value is Distinct from Legal Personhood</i>	1690
1.	Subjective, Relational Fetal Valuation and Its Inconsistency with the Antiabortion, Personhood-at-Conception Model.....	1691
2.	Historical and Modern Problems with the Antiabortion Concept of Personhood-at-Conception.....	1696
IV.	WHAT THE ABORTION RIGHTS MOVEMENT CAN GAIN FROM RECOGNIZING AND SUPPORTING WOMEN THROUGH PREGNANCY LOSS	1702
A.	<i>Preparing for the Further Entanglement of Abortion and Pregnancy Loss in a World Without Roe</i>	1703
1.	Increased Criminalization of Pregnancy Loss and Abortion.....	1704
2.	Abortion Regulations’ Unintended Impact on Pregnancy Loss	1711
B.	<i>Rejoinder to the Woman-Protective Rationale</i>	1717
C.	<i>Normalizing All Pregnancy Outcomes</i>	1723
CONCLUSION	1727

INTRODUCTION

In the summer of 2020, celebrity Chrissy Teigen excitedly announced that she was pregnant with her third child,¹ who she later named Jack.² Unfortunately, she was diagnosed with a partial placental abruption, and after months of intervention, she shared the news on social media that Jack had died.³ Teigen posted raw photos of her agony at the sadness of Jack's simultaneous birth and death, including a photo of her sobbing while receiving an epidural and another holding Jack wrapped in a blanket.⁴

Teigen and her husband, John Legend, are noted supporters of abortion rights. After Jack's death, Planned Parenthood tweeted condolences to Teigen: "We're so sorry to hear that Chrissy Teigen and John Legend lost their son, and we admire them for sharing their story."⁵ Backlash was swift, accusing both Teigen⁶ and Planned

1. The terminology used to describe the subject of pregnancy is fraught for many reasons. First, medical terminology includes different labels depending on the length of pregnancy. What starts as a zygote immediately after conception becomes an embryo two weeks later and a fetus around week nine. Thus, there is no one medical term to describe a pregnancy at all stages of development. "Fetus" has come to take on this general meaning in public dialogue, but it might imply the pregnancy is further along than it really is, as most abortions and miscarriages happen in the embryonic stage. Second, as we explain in great depth in this paper, many people carrying wanted pregnancies, especially after pregnancy loss, chafe at the use of medical terminology to describe what they consider their "baby" or "child." Indeed, "fetus" is typically used in the abortion movement specifically because it is less likely to personify the fetus. There are no easy answers, and we do not attempt to resolve this impossible conflict. Instead, we use a variety of terms depending on the context. Given that one of the main goals of this paper is to break down long-standing divides, we think this sensitivity to context and flexibility of language is important.

2. To read a full account of Teigen's experience, see Chrissy Teigen, *Hi.*, MEDIUM (Oct. 27, 2020), <https://chrissyteigen.medium.com/hi-2e45e6faf764> [<https://perma.cc/AA4T-CZKB>].

3. As of last check, Teigen has 13.2 million followers on Twitter and 38.8 million on Instagram. Chrissy Teigen (@chrissyteigen), INSTAGRAM, <https://www.instagram.com/p/CfYwQLWpJ3u/> (last visited Sept. 12, 2022) [<https://perma.cc/YDG6-YYYY>] [hereinafter Teigen, INSTAGRAM]; Chrissy Teigen (@chrissyteigen), TWITTER, https://twitter.com/chrissyteigen?ref_src=twsrc%5Egoogle%7Ctwcamp%5Eserp%7Ctwgr%5Eauthor (last visited Sept. 12, 2022) [<https://perma.cc/454S-XTBG>].

4. Teigen, INSTAGRAM, *supra* note 3.

5. Planned Parenthood (@PPFA), TWITTER (Oct. 1, 2020, 1:16 PM), <https://twitter.com/ppfa/status/1311731750654050310?lang=en> [<https://perma.cc/95TR-S2TN>].

6. As one example, a GOP congressional candidate tweeted: "Hoping that Chrissy [Teigen] and John Legend will reevaluate their thoughts on abortion after their heartbreaking experience. It's not a clump of cells. It's either a baby or it's not." Elizabeth Gulino, *Yes, You Can Be Pro-Choice & Still Grieve a Miscarriage*, REFINERY29, <https://www.refinery29.com/en-us/2020/10/10067213/chrissy-teigen-pro-choice-miscarriage> (last updated Oct. 5, 2020, 5:03 PM) [<https://perma.cc/JCZ4-K7RD>]. Worse were the commenters who said she deserved this loss because of her abortion rights advocacy: "Probably karma from all those kids you sacrificed. Mothers[] babies that didn't get a chance at life. Whose last moments were filled with terror and cries out for protection. You know the truth. Reap what you [sow] lady. You will answer to [G]od . . ." Laura Bradley, *QAnon and Pro-Lifers Hit a New Low Mocking Chrissy Teigen's Miscarriage*, DAILY BEAST, <https://www.thedailybeast.com/qanon-and-pro-lifers-hit-a-new-low-mocking-chrissy-teigens-miscarriage> (last updated Oct. 1, 2020, 8:40 PM) [<https://perma.cc/ZH5Z-JSP8>].

Parenthood⁷ of hypocrisy, questioning how one could believe abortion involves only a “clump of cells” yet grieve a pregnancy loss.

Though Teigen never categorized her loss, the media widely reported it as a miscarriage,⁸ which she passively accepted as true. As this Article was coming to press, Teigen disclosed that over a year after Jack’s death, she realized that she had actually had an abortion to save her life.⁹ Again, she faced backlash, questioning her confusion and doubting that her loss was actually an abortion. According to this line of attack, miscarriage involves “a mother who wants a child and loses it” while abortion involves a child “brutally dismembered and killed.”¹⁰

Our nation’s ever-present abortion debate often erases pregnancy loss, defined as miscarriage before twenty weeks of pregnancy and stillbirth thereafter. Both abortion rights and antiabortion advocates presume that pregnancies either end in abortion or the birth of a healthy baby. For instance, in SB 8, Texas legislators partly justified banning abortion after a detectable “fetal heartbeat” because it is “a key medical predictor that an unborn child will reach live birth.”¹¹ Abortion rights advocates, on the other hand, stress that without abortion, people¹² “will be forced into parenthood.”¹³

7. See @PaintbrushCo, TWITTER (Oct. 2, 2020), <https://twitter.com/ppfa/status/1311731750654050310?lang=en> [<https://perma.cc/DA3L-6F82>] (“Sooooo . . . was it a clump if [sic] cells unworthy of life and totally justifiable to MURDER? Or was it a human life, precious and worth grieving the loss of?! @PPFA you are disgusting.”).

8. See, e.g., Timothy Bella, *We Will Always Love You: Chrissy Teigen and John Legend Share Pregnancy Loss of Couple’s Third Child*, WASH. POST. (Oct. 1, 2020, 5:06 AM), <https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/2020/10/01/chrissy-teigen-baby-loss-legend/> [<https://perma.cc/VP6T-U99Q>].

9. Carlos de Loera, *Let’s Just Call It What It Was: Chrissy Teigen Says Her Miscarriage Was Actually an Abortion*, L.A. TIMES (Sept. 16, 2022, 11:29 AM) <https://www.latimes.com/entertainment-arts/story/2022-09-16/chrissy-teigen-miscarriage-abortion> [<https://perma.cc/3ZSQ-T6BT>]. Previous versions of this Article discussed the likelihood that Teigen’s loss was an abortion, underscoring the blurriness of abortion and pregnancy loss.

10. Poppy Noor, *What’s the Difference Between Miscarriage and Abortion? For Some Women, It’s Hard to Tell*, GUARDIAN (Sept. 24, 2022), https://www.theguardian.com/world/2022/sep/24/abortion-miscarriage-healthcare-pregnancy?CMP=share_btn_tw [<https://perma.cc/S5P6-M4MJ>].

11. TEX. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE ANN. § 171.202(1) (West 2021).

12. Not everyone capable of giving birth is a woman. Trans men, girls, and gender nonbinary people also need reproductive healthcare, including abortion, and experience pregnancy loss. These folks often struggle to access appropriate care due to stigma and marginalization; it is therefore important that the reproductive justice movement fight to include them. Conversations about abortion and pregnancy loss, however, have always been gendered, and policy choices regarding both experiences affect women as a class in an important way. As a result, we use both gender inclusive terms, like pregnant people or miscarriage patients, in addition to gendered terms to highlight both realities.

13. Jia Tolentino, *S.B.8 and the Texas Preview of a World Without Roe v. Wade*, NEW YORKER (Sept. 5, 2021), <https://www.newyorker.com/news-desk/sb-8-and-the-texas-preview-of-a-world-without-roe-v-wade> [<https://perma.cc/MJ7S-SFGZ>].

Millions of Americans, however, know that this is a false dichotomy. The risk of miscarriage is now considered as high as twenty-five percent, dropping as pregnancy progresses, but still nearly ten percent after a confirmed “heartbeat” at six weeks of pregnancy.¹⁴ Stillbirth occurs in about 1 in 160 births.¹⁵ Women of color and poor women are disproportionately likely to experience both stillbirth and miscarriage.¹⁶ These risks translate to approximately one million miscarriages and 24,000 stillbirths a year in the United States.¹⁷ Pregnancy loss silently repudiates both sides of the abortion debate: “[A] denial of life and choice at the same time.”¹⁸

Before the Supreme Court overturned *Roe v. Wade* in *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Organization*,¹⁹ the only time pregnancy loss appeared in the abortion debate was when it was weaponized as evidence of fetal personhood. For decades, antiabortion advocates have argued that life begins at conception, that a fetus is a person, and that abortion should therefore be illegal.²⁰ As further support for personhood, antiabortion advocates have sought to treat fetuses the same as living children in multiple legal contexts, including legal claims and rights related to pregnancy loss.²¹ On the other hand, abortion rights advocates have feared that any legal recognition of pregnancy loss would cause a slippery slope to fetal personhood (exactly as antiabortion advocates hope).²² As a result, the reproductive rights movement has at times fought against legislative measures related to pregnancy loss and, more recently, ignored the subject in the public narrative.²³

14. See *infra* note 75 (“It is estimated that as many as 26% of all pregnancies end in miscarriage and up to 10% of clinically recognized pregnancies.”); Stephen Tong, Anupinder Kaur, Susan P. Walker, Valerie Bryant, Joseph L. Onwude & Michael Permezal, *Miscarriage Risk for Asymptomatic Women After a Normal First-Trimester Prenatal Visit*, 111 J. OBSTETRICS & GYNECOLOGY 710 (2008) (finding risk of miscarriage for asymptomatic women was 9.4 percent at six weeks of gestation where fetal heartbeat was confirmed).

15. *What Is Stillbirth?*, CDC, [cdc.gov/ncbddd/stillbirth/facts.html](https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/stillbirth/facts.html) (last updated Nov. 16, 2020) [<https://perma.cc/7AXJ-65DK>] [hereinafter *What Is Stillbirth?*].

16. See discussion *infra* Part II.A.

17. Jill Wieber Lens, *Miscarriage, Stillbirth, & Reproductive Justice*, 98 WASH. U. L. REV. 1059, 1070 (2021) [hereinafter Lens, WASH. U.].

18. LARA FREIDENFELDS, *THE MYTH OF THE PERFECT PREGNANCY: A HISTORY OF MISCARRIAGE IN AMERICA* 137 (2020).

19. As discussed *infra* Part IV.A, the emergence of state abortion bans has resurfaced and highlighted how abortion regulations harm those experiencing pregnancy loss.

20. Lynn M. Paltrow, *Pregnant Drug Users, Fetal Persons, and the Threat to Roe v. Wade*, 62 ALB. L. REV. 999, 1000 (1999).

21. See discussion *infra* Part I.

22. See discussion *infra* Part I.

23. See discussion *infra* Part I.

Despite this framing of pregnancy loss threatening abortion rights, a surprising amount of overlap exists between the two.²⁴ The physical experiences of abortion and pregnancy loss are remarkably similar, as is the stigma and silence surrounding both experiences. A foundational assumption exists that the two groups radically diverge in how they conceptualize the subject of their pregnancies—women who have abortions are indifferent or hostile to the fetus, while women who experience pregnancy loss are grieving parents. But these stereotypes are simplistic and often inaccurate.²⁵ Many abortion patients use the term “baby,” feel grief in addition to relief, and worry about fetal pain.²⁶ And many women experiencing pregnancy loss, especially after an unintended pregnancy or an early pregnancy, feel relief.²⁷ Abortion narratives separate and essentialize these experiences, but average people have no trouble recognizing the nuanced realities of abortion and pregnancy loss—indeed, many have experienced both.²⁸

Antiabortion advocates’ weaponization of grief after pregnancy loss will likely only increase post-*Dobbs* as part of attempts to constitutionalize fetal personhood, which, if successful, would make abortion illegal in all states. This Article fundamentally challenges the absolutist idea that any recognition of a pregnancy’s value, and the emotional response to its loss, will bolster the movement to end all abortion rights. We do so by describing the factors relevant to whether and how people value their pregnancies, including the pregnancy’s wantedness, the length of the pregnancy, the influence of technology, the incorporation of the fetus into social structures (like families), and the person’s history of pregnancy loss.²⁹ When seen through this lens, it becomes clear that a pregnancy’s value is not inherent but subjective and relational. Tort law recognizes this reality: when asked to value the loss of a potential child after a tortiously caused pregnancy loss, courts look to the specific parent-child relationship lost and any subsequent

24. See discussion *infra* Part II.

25. See discussion *infra* Part II.D.

26. See discussion *infra* Part II.D.

27. See discussion *infra* Part II.D.

28. See *infra* notes 122–123 and accompanying text (explaining approximately one-third to one-half of women will experience pregnancy loss, and one-third of women will get an abortion); cf. Margot Sanger-Katz, Claire Cane Miller & Quoc Trung Bui, *Who Gets Abortions in America?*, N.Y. TIMES (Dec. 14, 2021), <https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2021/12/14/upshot/who-gets-abortions-in-america.html?smid=url-share> [<https://perma.cc/LL9E-4C34>] (explaining the typical abortion patient in the United States has changed with society and is heavily nuanced: “The same people who become pregnant and give birth are the same people who have abortions at different points in their lives.”).

29. See discussion *infra* Part III.A.

emotional distress.³⁰ No inherent or objective value is ascribed to miscarriage or the stillborn baby in tort law; it is individualized to the pregnant person.

This subjective and relational understanding of fetal value is inconsistent with the antiabortion personhood-at-conception model, which attributes identical value to all fetuses starting at conception—a value equivalent to a breathing baby.³¹ We thus argue that instead of dismissing the value of the fetus entirely, abortion rights messaging can recognize this subjective, relational value without ceding ground on abortion rights. Indeed, offering a different framework for fetal value is imperative at this moment.

We also argue that modernizing abortion rights narratives to allow for greater recognition of the fetus has several advantages. First, it will set up the abortion rights movement to best protect pregnant people in a future where abortion and pregnancy loss are inexorably intertwined.³² Self-managed abortion, which mimics the experience of pregnancy loss, is already on the rise and will become the primary method of abortion in states that ban abortion.³³ Enforcing abortion regulations will thus increasingly rely on the investigation and criminalization of pregnancy loss, harming all pregnant people. The abortion rights and pregnancy loss communities can become important allies and fight this criminalization together.³⁴ The communities can also work together on the many other ways in which abortion politics harm women experiencing pregnancy loss—including regulations on abortion medications and procedures that harm the ability to treat and manage miscarriage, stillbirth, and inevitable pregnancy loss.³⁵

Second, modernizing the abortion rights narrative mirrors the successful antiabortion strategy to account for both the woman and the fetus in its messaging. Historically, the antiabortion movement focused solely on the fetus, but it became clear that incorporating woman-protective arguments would be necessary to change both the law and public opinion. We suggest that a similar strategy, where the abortion

30. See discussion *infra* Part III.B.

31. See discussion *infra* Part III.C.

32. See discussion *infra* Part IV.

33. See *infra* note 374 and accompanying text (explaining abortion regulations are only semi-effective because people will resort to alternative methods); Carrie N. Baker, *Abortion in a Post-Roe World? “People Are Resilient and Resourceful,”* MS. MAG. (June 2, 2021), <https://msmagazine.com/2021/06/03/misoprostol-medical-abortion-post-roe-v-wade-abortion-pill-plan-c-aid-access-self-managed-abortion/> [<https://perma.cc/2XGD-GNS8>]; *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Org.*, 142 S. Ct. 2228 (2022) (overturning *Roe v. Wade*).

34. See discussion *infra* Section IV.A.3.

35. See discussion *infra* Section IV.A.4.

rights movement moves to incorporate the fetus into its messaging, could be strategically advantageous if done in the right way.³⁶

Last, an abortion rights strategy aligned with the pregnancy loss community would help normalize all pregnancy outcomes and overcome the single-path narrative that all pregnancies end in a healthy, live birth to a smiling mother. Reconceptualizing abortion, miscarriage, and stillbirth as normal—even if undesired—pregnancy endings could help fight the stigma that affects all adverse pregnancy outcomes and benefit all pregnant people.³⁷

In this Article, we first describe the perceived tension between abortion and pregnancy loss that has made it difficult for the abortion rights movement to properly recognize and support people through pregnancy loss. We then explain that these two groups undergo very similar experiences and have much more that unites them than divides them. Next, we look to qualitative social science research to understand how women articulate their attachment to their fetus and what factors create that attachment. We determine that the attachment is subjective and relational. We argue that tort law already recognizes this same subjective valuation of a lost pregnancy and that it is wholly inconsistent with the antiabortion understanding of fetal value, which is fixed at conception. Finally, we conclude with what the abortion rights movement can gain from recognizing the subjective importance of fetal attachment and uniting with the pregnancy loss community.

I. THE PERCEIVED TENSION BETWEEN ABORTION AND PREGNANCY LOSS

The Chrissy Teigen anecdote highlighted above perfectly encapsulates the perceived tension between protecting abortion rights and acknowledging the loss associated with miscarriage, stillbirth, and even abortion. When abortion rights activists attempt to recognize the significance of pregnancy loss, they are accused of hypocrisy because of their dismissal of the fetus in the context of abortion.

The tension extends beyond the public narrative. Fear exists that any legal recognition of pregnancy loss will cause a slippery slope to personhood that compromises abortion rights. In this Part, we discuss how the antiabortion movement has attempted to personify the fetus whenever possible and weaponize parental grief after loss for its own agenda. In response, the abortion rights movement often ignores

36. See discussion *infra* Part IV.C.

37. See discussion *infra* Part IV.B.

the fetus and the issue of pregnancy loss—at times, even opposing policies to support those experiencing stillbirth for fear of the collateral consequences for abortion.

The abortion debate in our country has created a single-path narrative of pregnancy—that every pregnancy ends with the birth of a living baby unless interrupted by abortion.³⁸ Both sides use this narrative to stress the importance of their position—abortion either ends a would-be life or it protects people from forced parenthood. This simplistic view of pregnancy helped abortion rights advocates obtain success in both *Roe* and, to a lesser extent, in *Casey*.

In *Roe*, the burdens of continuing the pregnancy assumed that a living baby would be born if abortion was unavailable—causing health consequences in pregnancy, mental distress due to childcare and raising an unwanted child, and even the stigma of unwed motherhood.³⁹ Similarly, in *Casey*, Justice Blackmun noted the health consequences of pregnancy and childbirth and the possible negative effects of motherhood on a woman’s education, employment, and ability to determine her future.⁴⁰ The single-path narrative is also apparent in *Dobbs*, explaining that a birthing parent can drop the (living) baby off at a fire station under safe haven laws or give the (living) baby up for adoption to the many suitable couples looking to adopt.⁴¹

The effect of this single-path narrative is that the millions of pregnancy losses that occur each year in this country are simply erased. This “abstract model of pregnancy” perpetuated by the abortion debate “is a model of perfect development [that] inexorably unfolds to healthy birth unless willfully disrupted.”⁴² Before the legalization of abortion, “the opposite of ‘pregnancy’ was ‘miscarriage’ (whether involuntary or voluntary).”⁴³ But “[a]fter legalization, the opposite of ‘pregnancy’ was ‘abortion,’ and miscarriage became invisible, an event that simply was not supposed to happen.”⁴⁴ The decades of “choice” rhetoric also reinforced the assumed binary of pregnancy. Choice implies control. But, as millions know, you cannot choose to give birth to a healthy baby.

38. Lens, WASH. U., *supra* note 17, at 1076.

39. *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113, 153 (1973).

40. *Planned Parenthood of Se. Pa. v. Casey*, 505 U.S. 833, 927–28 (1992) (Blackmun, J., concurring).

41. See *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Org.*, 142 S. Ct. 2228, 2258–59 (2022) (“States have increasingly adopted ‘safe haven’ laws, which generally allow women to drop off babies anonymously . . . a woman who puts her newborn up for adoption today has little reason to fear that the baby will not find a suitable home.”).

42. FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 146.

43. *Id.* at 144.

44. *Id.*

Women may choose to remain pregnant, but this does not dictate the desired outcome of a living birth.⁴⁵

After *Roe* and *Casey*, pregnancy loss slowly began to reemerge in the abortion wars due to the antiabortion fetal personhood strategy:

[O]ne facet of the longterm, end-game strategy of pro-life forces has included an attempt to have fetuses declared “children” or “persons” in as many legal contexts as possible, including child abuse laws, civil wrongful death actions, and criminal homicide and assault statutes. Abortion opponents hope to argue that because state law, in a variety of situations and jurisdictions, treats fetuses as persons, that Fourteenth Amendment jurisprudence should similarly recognize the reality of fetal personhood.⁴⁶

These measures were not always introduced by antiabortion advocates, but they quickly realized their possible beneficial effect in the abortion debate and capitalized.⁴⁷ This antiabortion strategy uses “parents’ grief [after pregnancy loss] as a weapon, hoping that ‘[t]he emotional power of parents pleading for legal recognition of their unborn children may sway societal views and incite political action’ about abortion.”⁴⁸

In response, abortion rights advocates at times opposed legal measures that would recognize fetal value within pregnancy loss. Perhaps the best example is the fight over birth certificates in the case of stillbirth. Originally, stillbirths were recorded legally with both birth certificates and death certificates.⁴⁹ That changed mid-century, when states instead gradually started issuing only Fetal Death Certificates for stillbirths.⁵⁰ Stillbirth moms recoiled against this terminology—after all, they gave birth to their stillborn babies just as they would a living baby.⁵¹ In 1994, Dr. Joanne Cacciatore started a movement to create “stillbirth birth certificates” after giving birth to her eight-pound stillborn daughter, Cheyenne, at forty weeks, and being told that she only gave birth to a “fetus.”⁵² These certificates are memorial only, but they are important to parents after stillbirth as they “publicly affirm[] a *relationship*,”—“that they are the parents of a baby who was born and

45. Lens, WASH. U., *supra* note 17, at 1081.

46. Kenneth A. De Ville & Loretta M. Kopelman, *Fetal Protection in Wisconsin’s Revised Child Abuse Law: Right Goal, Wrong Remedy*, 27 J.L. MED. & ETHICS 332, 335 (1999); *see also* Paltrow, *supra* note 20, at 1000.

47. *See* Paltrow, *supra* note 20, at 1000.

48. Lens, WASH. U., *supra* note 17, at 1077.

49. *See* Jill Wieber Lens, *Counting Stillbirths*, U.C. DAVIS L. REV. (forthcoming) (manuscript at 28) (on file with author).

50. *Id.* (manuscript at 29).

51. Carol Sanger, “*The Birth of Death*”: *Stillborn Birth Certificates and the Problem for Law*, 100 CALIF. L. REV. 269, 292 (2012) [hereinafter Sanger, *The Birth of Death*].

52. Lens, WASH. U., *supra* note 17, at 1108–09.

who they regard as dear a child as if she had been born alive (a social reality).”⁵³

The fiercest resistance to these memorial certificates came from abortion rights advocates.⁵⁴ They feared that “issuing certificates to children who have never lived may serve as yet another legal marker equating fetal life with that of born persons and that this will, sooner or later, play its part in the recriminalization of abortion.”⁵⁵ The stillbirth community was willing to accept “drafting precautions” to ensure that the laws would not affect abortion rights—including language that the certificates would not apply to abortion, would not secure any state rights, and could only be requested by parents.⁵⁶ “The revised provisions, working in concert, [were] meant to secure the recognition of stillborn babies without extending them full legal personhood.”⁵⁷ Still, some in the abortion rights movement objected based on the possibility of “rights creep.”⁵⁸ Ultimately, most states passed memorial birth certificate laws with such compromise language, but the abortion rights groups’ opposition alienated many in the pregnancy loss community.⁵⁹

The abortion rights movement’s more recent response to this antiabortion strategy is a “studied silence”⁶⁰ on pregnancy loss, “opt[ing] to avoid the topics of stillbirth and miscarriage to the greatest extent possible.”⁶¹ One recent exception to this general rule is in the context of criminalization of pregnancy outcomes, where abortion rights advocates have sounded the alarm and supported state efforts to curtail these prosecutions, understanding how abortion patients would also become targets.⁶² But abortion rights advocates still almost always

53. Sanger, *The Birth of Death*, *supra* note 51, at 294.

54. *Id.* at 305; Lens, WASH. U., *supra* note 17, at 1109; *see, e.g.*, Allison Stevens, *The Politics of Stillbirth*, AM. PROSPECT (July 14, 2017) (describing that state chapters of NOW, NARAL Pro-Choice America, Planned Parenthood, the ACLU, and ACOG opposed state bills to create stillbirth birth certificates); Joanne Cacciatore & Suzanne Bushfield, *Stillbirth: A Sociopolitical Issue*, J. WOMEN & SOC. WORK 5–7 (2008) (describing the opposition of reproductive rights groups in New Mexico and California).

55. Sanger, *The Birth of Death*, *supra* note 51, at 305.

56. *Id.* at 307.

57. *Id.* at 308.

58. *Id.*

59. *See id.* at 308–09.

60. Linda L. Layne, *Breaking the Silence: An Agenda for a Feminist Discourse of Pregnancy Loss*, 23 FEMINIST STUD. 289, 294 (1997) (“Because the issues framing the meaning of miscarriage and stillbirth resonate so strongly with the abortion debate, most feminists have maintained a studied silence on the topic.”).

61. Lens, WASH. U., *supra* note 17, at 1062.

62. *See* Ava B., *When Miscarriage Is a Crime*, PLANNED PARENTHOOD (July 29, 2019, 12:00 PM), <https://www.plannedparenthoodaction.org/planned-parenthood-advocates-arizona/blog/when-miscarriage-is-a-crime> [https://perma.cc/4XQZ-QE2L]; Press Release, Rob Bonta, Cal. Att’y

“consciously avoid the parent/child framing for political and strategic reasons”⁶³ and disregard any “acknowledg[ment] that there was something of value lost” when a pregnancy ended.⁶⁴ These boundaries are often seen as “black and white; the ‘third rail’ of advocacy. Crossing those boundaries, even an inch, is considered to concede too much on either side of the debate.”⁶⁵

One consequence of this polarization is that the only public narrative of a pregnancy is the “hegemonic binary of ‘baby’ or ‘clump of cells,’” leaving average Americans, many of whom hold nuanced views of the meaning of pregnancy, confused.⁶⁶ This devaluation of the fetus alienates those who support abortion rights but also love their babies before birth or mourn their pregnancy losses.⁶⁷ Anthropologist Linda Layne has previously lamented that the abortion rights community has “surrendered the discourse of pregnancy loss to antichoice activists.”⁶⁸ Our Article attempts to fill this void and offer a way for the abortion rights movement to support all pregnant people and recognize nuanced fetal value.

Somewhat surprisingly, the more recent and holistic reproductive justice movement has also been relatively silent in its response to pregnancy loss, again with the exception of criminalization.⁶⁹ The reproductive justice movement, which women of color started, rejects the individualistic notion of choice and its implied control, instead highlighting that lack of access to a variety of needs in pregnancy undermines choice.⁷⁰ The reproductive justice movement

Gen., Attorney General Bonta: California Law Does Not Criminalize Pregnancy Loss (Jan. 6, 2022), <https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-bonta-california-law-does-not-criminalize-pregnancy-loss> [https://perma.cc/ZH53-7K5S].

63. Jamie Abrams, *The Polarization of Reproductive and Parental Decision-Making*, 44 FLA. ST. U. L. REV. 1281, 1319 (2017) (citing I. Glenn Cohen, Reply, *Burying Best Interests of the Resulting Child: A Response to Professors Crawford, Alvar, and Mutcherson*, 97 MINN. L. REV. HEADNOTES 1, 10 (2012)).

64. Lens, WASH. U., *supra* note 17, at 1077.

65. Abrams, *supra* note 63, at 1319–20.

66. Andrea Becker & Lena R. Hann, “It Makes It More Real”: Examining Ambiguous Fetal Meanings in Abortion Care, SOC. SCI. & MED., Mar. 2021, at 1, 5.

67. FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 149; Greer Donley & Jill Wieber Lens, *Those Pregnancy Tissue Photos Were Destined to Backfire on Abortion Rights Supporters*, SLATE (Oct. 27, 2022 5:50 AM) <https://slate.com/news-and-politics/2022/10/guardian-pregnancy-tissue-photos-abortion-rights-misfire.html> [https://perma.cc/YN5V-FY93] (highlighting how this devaluation is still occurring, alienating those in the pregnancy loss community).

68. Lens, WASH. U., *supra* note 17, at 1078 (citing LINDA L. LAYNE, MOTHERHOOD LOST: A FEMINIST ACCOUNT OF PREGNANCY LOSS IN AMERICA 240 (2003)).

69. Reproductive justice advocates have been sounding the alarm about the criminalization of pregnancy and pregnancy loss for years, especially for people of color. For a fantastic description of this important problem, see MICHELE GOODWIN, POLICING THE WOMB (2020).

70. Lens, WASH. U., *supra* note 17, at 1067–68.

focuses on the needs of the whole pregnant person—not just the right to avoid procreation, but also the equally important rights to have a child and parent that child with dignity.⁷¹ As noted below, women of color face increased risks of both miscarriage and stillbirth, making the issue especially fitting for the reproductive justice community given its emphasis on marginalized women’s experiences.⁷² Still, despite its broader scope, it has given little attention to pregnancy loss in its public messaging unless it resulted in prosecution.⁷³ We hope this Article supports recent efforts to more fully incorporate pregnancy loss into the reproductive justice framework.

II. THE SURPRISING OVERLAP BETWEEN ABORTION AND PREGNANCY LOSS

Although abortion and pregnancy loss are assumed opposites, there is a surprising amount of similarity between the two. One obvious overlap is that the result is the same—a pregnancy ending in an event other than the birth of a live child. In 2010, eighteen percent of known pregnancies ended in abortion and seventeen percent ended with a fetal loss,⁷⁴ although the miscarriage rate is typically higher (around twenty-five percent) when considering *all* pregnancies, instead of known or confirmed pregnancies.⁷⁵ Both abortion and pregnancy loss challenge the pervading, but problematic, conceptional schema of pregnancy that all pregnancies end in a live birth of a healthy baby.⁷⁶

Numerous other similarities exist. Women of color, poor women, and young women are disproportionately likely to experience both pregnancy loss and abortion. The physical experience of abortion can also be quite similar to both miscarriage and stillbirth, depending on the length of the pregnancy when terminated. Cultures of stigma and silence surround abortion, miscarriage, and stillbirth. And last, women experience a wide range of emotional connection (or lack thereof) to the pregnancy—an attachment that is more related to the pregnancy’s

71. *Id.*

72. *Id.* at 1071–73.

73. *Id.* at 1078–79.

74. Aalap Bommaraju, Megan L. Kavanaugh, Melody Y. Hou & Danielle Bessett, *Situating Stigma in Stratified Reproduction: Abortion Stigma and Miscarriage Stigma as Barriers to Reproductive Healthcare*, SEXUAL & REPROD. HEALTHCARE, Dec. 2016, at 62, 63.

75. Many women miscarry before they know they are pregnant. See Carla Dugas & Valori H. Slane, *Miscarriage*, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK532992/#:~:text=The%20American%20College%20of%20Obstetricians,10%25%20of%20clinically%20recognized%20pregnancies> (last updated June 29, 2021) [<https://perma.cc/2WS3-U56W>].

76. See *Tone, Visibility, and Scope in Pro-Choice Advocacy*, REAL REASON 22 (Feb. 2010) (on file with authors).

wantedness,⁷⁷ length, and myriad other factors rather than whether it ends by miscarriage, stillbirth, or abortion. Overall, we conclude that women experiencing abortion and pregnancy loss, who are often the same women experiencing these events at different points in their reproductive lives,⁷⁸ have much more in common than they have dividing them.

A. Race and Class

Marginalized women have more abortions and have higher rates of pregnancy loss. Women of color, especially Black women, are more likely to experience miscarriage. For instance, a recent study in *The Lancet* demonstrated that Black women are forty-three percent more likely to have a miscarriage compared to White women.⁷⁹ Another study found that Black women, compared to White women, had similar rates of early miscarriage (when miscarriage is most frequently caused by fetal anomalies) but had a “93% greater hazard for later miscarriage (≥ 10 weeks) compared with white women” when environmental factors may play a role.⁸⁰ Black women also face double the risk of stillbirth, pregnancy loss after twenty weeks, compared to White women.⁸¹ This racial disparity has existed for as long as the United States has maintained statistics on stillbirth, which is over a century now.⁸²

Poor women also face increased risks of miscarriage and stillbirth compared to women of higher socioeconomic status.⁸³ The risk of stillbirth is double.⁸⁴ A study in Sweden noted that a poor woman’s risk of stillbirth was even higher than double at term, after thirty-seven weeks, which is problematic because term stillbirths should be the most

77. We use the term “wanted” to describe either planned pregnancies or unplanned pregnancies where the pregnant person has real access to abortion and chooses to continue the pregnancy.

78. Sanger-Katz et al., *supra* note 28.

79. Siobhan Quenby et al., *Miscarriage Matters: The Epidemiological, Physical, Psychological, and Economic Costs of Early Pregnancy Loss*, 397 LANCET 1658 app. at 6 (2021).

80. Sudeshna Mukherjee, Digna R. Velez Edwards, Donna D. Baird, David A. Savitz & Katherine E. Hartmann, *Risk of Miscarriage Among Black Women and White Women in a US Prospective Cohort Study*, 177 AM. J. EPIDEMIOLOGY 1271, 1273 (2013).

81. Lens, WASH. U., *supra* note 17, at 1071.

82. *Id.*

83. *Id.*; see Filippa Nyboe Norsker, Laura Espenhain, Sofie á Rogvi, Camilla Schmidt Morgen, Per Kragh Andersen & Anne-Marie Nybo Anderson, *Socioeconomic Position and the Risk of Spontaneous Abortion: A Study Within the Danish National Birth Cohort*, BMJ OPEN, Jan. 2012, at 1, 4.

84. Lens, WASH. U., *supra* note 17, at 1072.

preventable.⁸⁵ Notably, a higher education level lowers the risk of miscarriage and stillbirth, but much more so for White women than Black women.⁸⁶

Women who get abortions are similarly more likely to be poor and women of color. “In 2014, three-fourths of abortion patients were low income—49% living at less than the federal poverty level, and 26% living at 100–199% of the poverty level.”⁸⁷ “Poor women were substantially overrepresented among abortion patients in 2008 and 2014, and had the highest abortion index of all subgroups examined in the latter year.”⁸⁸ Not only are poor women more likely to experience unintended pregnancy—perhaps due to lack of access to contraceptives—but financial instability is also one of the predominate reasons women seek abortions.⁸⁹

Race also plays a role in abortion demographics. Though most abortion patients are White, Black women are more than two and a half times as likely to need an abortion compared to White women.⁹⁰ Hispanic women were also more likely to need an abortion compared to White women.⁹¹ Justice Alito noted this racial disparity in *Dobbs*: “A highly disproportionate percentage of aborted fetuses are Black.”⁹² He implied that overruling *Roe* will cure this “demographic effect”⁹³ because those fetuses will be born alive—an implication that erases pregnancy loss and, specifically, the dramatic racial and class disparities in pregnancy loss rates. Even with abortion unobtainable, many pregnancies in marginalized populations will not end with living babies.

Though Black race is often discussed as a risk factor in a variety of reproductive contexts, it is really the effects of systemic racism that harm Black women as patients.⁹⁴ And that effect permeates all

85. *Id.*

86. Lens, WASH. U., *supra* note 17, at 1073; Norsker et al., *supra* note 83, at 4.

87. JENNA JERMAN, RACHEL K. JONES & TSUYOSHI ONDA, CHARACTERISTICS OF U.S. ABORTION PATIENTS IN 2014 AND CHANGES SINCE 2008 (John Thomas ed., 2016), <https://www.guttmacher.org/report/characteristics-us-abortion-patients-2014> [<https://perma.cc/8D4W-4WVX>].

88. *Id.* at 7.

89. *See id.*

90. *Id.* at 6 tbl.1.

91. *Id.*

92. *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Org.*, 142 S. Ct. 2228, 2256 n.41 (2022).

93. *Id.*

94. *See Systemic Racism, a Key Risk Factor for Maternal Death and Illness*, NAT’L HEART, LUNG & BLOOD INST. (April 26, 2021), <https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/news/2021/systemic-racism-key-risk-factor-maternal-death-and-illness> [<https://perma.cc/E5TC-P7UJ>] (describing “the racial and ethnic disparities that have persisted over time” as the “underlying root causes” of increased reproductive risks for Black women).

reproductive health issues. Not only are Black women more likely to need abortions and to experience pregnancy loss, they are also four times more likely than White women to die in childbirth,⁹⁵ and ten times as likely to be reported for drug use during pregnancy⁹⁶ despite similar rates of positive drug tests among both Black and White women.⁹⁷ As Melissa Murray has described, there is also a long and dark history of forcing Black women to procreate during slavery to exploit their bodies for labor and then sterilizing them without their consent during Jim Crow to reduce their power.⁹⁸ All told, Black women's reproductive lives have been exploited, policed, and abandoned, and their experience with abortion and pregnancy loss is just one piece of that puzzle.

B. Physical Experiences

The physical experiences of abortion and pregnancy loss are also similar depending on the length of the pregnancy. The vast majority of abortions and miscarriages occur in the first trimester of pregnancy—92.7% and 80% respectively.⁹⁹ Abortions at or before ten weeks can be completed either with medication or a surgical procedure.¹⁰⁰ Medication abortion through ten weeks differs little physically from a miscarriage;¹⁰¹ the medication first stops fetal growth and then causes contractions that expel the embryo.¹⁰² The primary side effects for both medication abortion and spontaneous miscarriage involve bleeding and painful cramping as the body works to expel the products of conception

95. Michele Goodwin, *How the Criminalization of Pregnancy Robs Women of Reproductive Autonomy*, HASTINGS CTR. REP., Nov. 24, 2017, at S19, S22.

96. Carla-Michelle Adams, *Criminalization in Shades of Color: Prosecuting Pregnant Drug-Addicted Women*, 20 CARDOZO J.L. & GENDER 89, 103–04 (2013).

97. *See id.* (discussing a striking example in which a hospital profiled patients to test for illicit drug use and overwhelmingly singled out pregnant Black women).

98. Melissa Murray, *Race-ing Roe: Reproductive Justice, Racial Justice, and the Battle for Roe v. Wade*, 134 HARV. L. REV. 2025 (2021).

99. *CDCs Abortion Surveillance System FAQs*, CDC, https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/data_stats/abortion.htm (last updated Nov. 22, 2021) [<https://perma.cc/A3TU-Y4F5>] [hereinafter *CDCs Abortion Surveillance*]; Rena Goldman, *A Breakdown of Miscarriage Rates by Week*, HEALTHLINE (Oct. 3, 2018), <https://www.healthline.com/health/pregnancy/miscarriage-rates-by-week> [<https://perma.cc/Y5F2-TPPQ>].

100. *CDCs Abortion Surveillance*, *supra* note 99.

101. *Consumer Health Info: Medication Abortion and Miscarriage*, NAT'L WOMEN'S HEALTH NETWORK, <https://nwhn.org/abortion-pills-vs-miscarriage-demystifying-experience> (last updated Aug. 15, 2019) [<https://perma.cc/LS2G-XYKP>].

102. Greer Donley, *Medication Abortion Exceptionalism*, 107 CORNELL L. REV. 627, 633 (2022) [hereinafter Donley, CORNELL].

from the uterus.¹⁰³ Nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea are common side effects for medication abortion but also occur less commonly during miscarriages.¹⁰⁴

Moreover, when missed or incomplete miscarriages occur, patients are offered the same procedures and medications that are used for abortion. Missed miscarriages occur when the embryo or fetus has died but the body has not recognized the loss.¹⁰⁵ An incomplete miscarriage occurs when the body struggles to expel all the pregnancy tissue.¹⁰⁶ A patient usually learns of a missed or incomplete miscarriage via ultrasound, after which they will be offered the possibility of surgical or medication-based removal of the fetus or embryo.¹⁰⁷ In this case, the patient will typically be offered misoprostol (with or without mifepristone)¹⁰⁸—the drugs used for abortion—or, depending on the pregnancy’s length, a vacuum aspiration, dilation and curettage (“D&C”), or dilation and evacuation (“D&E”)—the same procedures used for abortion.¹⁰⁹

Only one percent of abortions in the United States occur at or after twenty-one weeks, the timing of stillbirth.¹¹⁰ The vast majority of states ban abortion between twenty and twenty-four weeks.¹¹¹ A few states, like Colorado and New Mexico, however, allow abortion throughout pregnancy,¹¹² and women with means will often travel to

103. *Medication Guide: Mifeprex*, U.S. FOOD & DRUG ADMIN. (Mar. 2016), <https://www.fda.gov/media/72923/download#:~:text=Cramping%20and%20vaginal%20bleeding%20are,14%20days%20after%20taking%20Mifeprex> [<https://perma.cc/HYT8-QMA5>].

104. *Miscarriage*, U. WASH. MED. CTR. (Jan. 2010), <https://www.uwmedicine.org/sites/stevie/files/2018-11/Miscarriage.pdf> [<https://perma.cc/R2CK-SDHF>].

105. Quenby et al., *supra* note 79, at 1660.

106. *Id.*

107. Arri Coomarasamy et al., *Sporadic Miscarriage: Evidence to Provide Effective Care*, 397 LANCET 1668, 1668–70 (2021).

108. As discussed below, the gold standard in medical miscarriage management is mifepristone combined with misoprostol—the abortion regimen. But due to the FDA’s extra regulation of mifepristone, many patients don’t have access to this protocol and are only offered misoprostol. *See infra* notes 443–449 and accompanying text.

109. Coomarasamy et al., *supra* note 107, at 1669–72. In a D&C procedure, the provider dilates the cervix and then uses a curette to scrape out uterine tissue. *Dilation and Curettage (D&C)*, MAYO CLINIC (Oct. 19, 2021), <https://www.mayoclinic.org/tests-procedures/dilation-and-curettage/about/pac-20384910#:~:text=In%20a%20dilation%20and%20curettage,device%2C%20to%20remove%20uterine%20tissue> [<https://perma.cc/J474-2U3S>]. In a D&E procedure, the provider dilates the cervix and then removes the fetus in parts. Rigel C. Oliveri, *Crossing the Line: The Political and Moral Battle over Late-Term Abortion*, 10 YALE J.L. & FEMINISM 397, 445 (1998).

110. *Abortions Later in Pregnancy*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (Dec. 5, 2019), <https://www.kff.org/womens-health-policy/fact-sheet/abortions-later-in-pregnancy/> [<https://perma.cc/7WF5-RTTS>].

111. *Id.*

112. *States with Gestational Limits for Abortion*, KAISER FAM. FOUND., <https://www.kff.org/womens-health-policy/state-indicator/gestational-limit-abortions/?currentTimeframe=0&sortModel=%7B%22colId%22:%22Location%22,%22sort%22:%22asc%22%7D> (last updated July 22, 2022) [<https://perma.cc/5WJB-LDEB>].

these states to obtain a later second- or third-trimester abortion, especially in the case of fetal anomaly. The physical experiences of later abortion and stillbirth are also quite similar. Early stillbirths—those between twenty and twenty-four weeks—can be treated with the same surgical procedure (D&E)¹¹³ used for ninety-five percent of second-trimester abortions.¹¹⁴ Most stillbirths, however, involve childbirth, which sometimes starts on its own and is sometimes induced.¹¹⁵ Similarly, almost all third-trimester abortions (after twenty-eight weeks), which are extremely uncommon, involve birthing a dead fetus—the only difference is that the fetus’s heartbeat is typically stopped by an injection before labor is induced.¹¹⁶ Some women even travel to another state for an injection stopping the fetus’s heart and then fly home to give birth with their regular providers, an event likely covered by their insurance.¹¹⁷

C. Stigmas and Silence

In addition to the physical similarities, the isolating experiences of abortion and pregnancy loss are similar. Both experiences are shrouded in silence and secrecy. “Both abortion and miscarriage are usually considered ‘concealable’ events: they are not known to others unless disclosed.”¹¹⁸ This silence means that women often process the experience either alone or with a small cohort of family or friends, “creating a veil of secrecy and shame around a very normal part of

113. Mark M. Maslovich & Lori M. Burke, *Intrauterine Fetal Demise*, NAT’L LIBR. OF MED., <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK557533/> (last updated Nov. 5, 2021) [<https://perma.cc/2UGK-2J3Q>].

114. *Surgical Abortion (Second Trimester)*, U.C.S.F. HEALTH, <https://www.ucsfhealth.org/treatments/surgical-abortion-second-trimester> (last visited Aug. 13, 2022) [<https://perma.cc/6DNS-WS8W>]; Megan K. Donovan, *D&E Abortion Bans: The Implications of Banning the Most Common Second-Trimester Procedure*, GUTTMACHER POL. REV., 2017, at 35, 35.

115. See Lens, WASH. U., *supra* note 17, at 1073–74.

116. See Greer Donley, *Parental Autonomy over Prenatal End-of-Life Decisions*, 105 MINN. L. REV. 175, 211 (2020) [hereinafter Donley, MINN.]; cf. Rose Thorne, *What Are the Different Types of Abortion?*, HEALTHLINE, <https://www.healthline.com/health/types-of-abortion> (last updated Aug. 1, 2022) [<https://perma.cc/A33W-5HGT>] (noting that D&Es are often not recommended after twenty-four weeks).

117. See Donley, MINN., *supra* note 116, at 211. As half the country bans nearly all abortions in the coming months, this practice could theoretically become more common as a way to reduce the cost of later abortion care. But as threats related to extraterritorial prosecution grow, providers will likely be less willing to offer this care for fear that they could be held liable for offering an abortion where it is banned if the abortion is completed in that state. David S. Cohen, Greer Donley & Rachel Rebouché, *The New Abortion Battleground*, 123 COLUM. L. REV. (forthcoming 2023) (manuscript at 4), https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4032931 [<https://perma.cc/7AVM-7TRN>].

118. Bommaraju et al., *supra* note 74, at 63.

human reproduction.”¹¹⁹ And when women break this cultural expectation of silence, they are criticized. For instance, after Chrissy Teigen’s original post, the loudest criticism she received was that her post was an attempt to garner attention, suggesting that it was inappropriate for her to be open about the experience.¹²⁰

The silence surrounding these two events also causes public underestimation of their prevalence.¹²¹ Between one-third and one-half of women will experience pregnancy loss,¹²² and one in four women will get an abortion.¹²³ Yet most think these experiences are rare.¹²⁴ For instance, in a study of over one thousand people, the majority believed that miscarriage occurs in less than six percent of pregnancies.¹²⁵ Similarly, in a study of over one thousand registered voters, most underestimated the prevalence of abortion—suggesting that less than twenty percent of women obtain one.¹²⁶

One significant, and painful, effect of the silence and secrecy surrounding abortion and pregnancy loss is stigma. Stigma is defined as “an attribute that is deeply discrediting,” reducing the person “from a whole and usual person to a tainted, discounted one.”¹²⁷ It inures after any pregnancy outcome that challenges our traditional notion of pregnancy as a natural and joyous event. “It is simply easier to retreat to the default conceptualization that pregnancies do deliver healthy babies to happy mothers, than it is to make the mental and emotional

119. NAT’L WOMEN’S HEALTH NETWORK, *supra* note 101.

120. Alyssa Rosenberg, Opinion, *Chrissy Teigen and John Legend Are Heroes, Not Oversharers*, WASH. POST (Oct. 1, 2020, 5:13 PM), <https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/2020/10/01/chrissy-teigen-john-legend-are-heroes-not-oversharers/> [<https://perma.cc/XQ32-7JUT>].

121. See Jonah Bardos, Daniel Hercz, Jenna Friedenthal, Stacey A. Missmer & Zev Williams, *A National Survey on Public Perceptions of Miscarriage*, 125 OBSTETRICS & GYNECOLOGY 1313, 1313, 1315 (2015).

122. One in four pregnancies end in miscarriage, but because many women are pregnant multiple times in their lives, more than a quarter of them have experienced miscarriage. In one study, forty-three percent of women admitted to a labor and delivery unit reported having had a miscarriage. Judy Slome Cohain, Rina E. Buxbaum & David Mankuta, *Spontaneous First Trimester Miscarriage Rates Per Woman Among Parous Women with One or More Pregnancies of 24 Weeks or More*, BMC PREGNANCY & CHILDBIRTH, 2017, at 1, 3.

123. Rebecca Wind, *Abortion Is a Common Experience for U.S. Women, Despite Dramatic Declines in Rates*, GUTTMACHER INST. (Oct. 19, 2017), <https://www.guttmacher.org/news-release/2017/abortion-common-experience-us-women-despite-dramatic-declines-rates> [<https://perma.cc/Z7G9-BSXA>].

124. See Bardos et al., *supra* note 121, at 1315 (describing how a majority of survey participants “incorrectly believed that miscarriages are uncommon”); Sarah Kliff, *We Polled 1,060 Americans About Abortion. This Is What They Got Wrong.*, VOX MEDIA (Feb. 29, 2016), <https://www.vox.com/a/abortion-statistics-opinions-2016/poll> [<https://perma.cc/AYB3-PLZV>] (describing similar public underestimation of abortion rates).

125. Bardos et al., *supra* note 121, at 1315.

126. Kliff, *supra* note 124.

127. IRVING GOFFMAN, STIGMA: NOTES ON THE MANAGEMENT OF SPOILED IDENTITY 3 (1963).

effort to recognize infertility, stillbirth, miscarriage, [abortion,] and many other ‘imperfect’ pregnancy outcomes, and the pain and confusion they bring with them.”¹²⁸

The same end result of abortion and pregnancy loss also means similar stigmas—the failure to live up to fundamental ideals of womanhood. Historically, “failure to produce offspring . . . has been a marker of failure as a woman.”¹²⁹ Abortion and pregnancy loss are analogous in that “[n]either culminates in a wanted child nor, for women, the culturally-idealized state of motherhood.”¹³⁰ Much has been written about how abortion stigma is based on a woman’s “unnatural” rejection of motherhood.¹³¹ But after pregnancy loss, a woman can also feel “blemished” because her body failed the traditional social norm of “producing and bringing home a healthy, living baby.”¹³² The medicalization of pregnancy has conditioned people to believe that pregnancy loss is avoidable.¹³³ And women who experience miscarriage are often assumed to “have done a poor job in caring for their pregnancy or . . . have intentionally caused the pregnancy loss in some way.”¹³⁴ Miscarriage is somehow both “a natural bodily event” and “[an] abnormal end to a pregnancy.”¹³⁵

All of this silence and stigma has led to feelings of blame, shame, and guilt in women who experience abortion, miscarriage, and stillbirth.¹³⁶ In one national study of women after miscarriage, “47% reported feeling guilty, 41% reported feeling that they did something wrong, 41% reported feeling alone, and 28% . . . reported feeling

128. REAL REASON, *supra* note 76, at 25.

129. Carol Sanger, *The Lopsided Harms of Reproductive Negligence*, 118 COLUM. L. REV. ONLINE 29, 42 (2017), <https://columbialawreview.org/content/the-lopsided-harms-of-reproductive-negligence/> [<https://perma.cc/59CL-LYLM>].

130. Bommaraju et al., *supra* note 74, at 63.

131. See, e.g., Anuradha Kumar, Leila Hessini & Ellen M.H. Mitchell, *Conceptualising Abortion Stigma*, 11 CULTURE, HEALTH & SEXUALITY 625, 628 (2009) (explaining that abortion violates two ideals of womanhood, sexual purity and nurturing motherhood); Abrams, *supra* note 63, at 1329 (describing the “demonizing myths about why women terminate pregnancies”).

132. Danielle Pollock, Elissa Pearson, Megan Cooper, Tahereh Ziaian, Claire Foord & Jane Warland, *Voices of the Unheard: A Qualitative Survey Exploring Bereaved Parents Experiences of Stillbirth Stigma*, 33 WOMEN & BIRTH 165, 166 (2020).

133. Sanger, *The Birth of Death*, *supra* note 51, at 277; SHANNON WITHYCOMBE, LOST: MISCARRIAGE IN NINETEENTH-CENTURY AMERICA 171 (2019) (“[M]any Americans . . . believe that modern medicine can fix any reproductive problem.”).

134. Bommaraju et al., *supra* note 74, at 63.

135. WITHYCOMBE, *supra* note 133, at 165.

136. See, e.g., Katherine J. Gold, Amanda Sen & Irving Leon, *Whose Fault Is It Anyway? Guilt, Blame, and Death Attribution by Mothers After Stillbirth or Infant Death*, 26 ILLNESS, CRISIS & LOSS 40, 42 (2018) (explaining that reproductive losses produce feelings of guilt, shame, and blaming of others).

ashamed More than one third (38%) of those with a history of miscarriage felt that they could have prevented it.”¹³⁷ Studies after stillbirth also show that mothers frequently feel self-blame and external blame¹³⁸ from those in their social circle and their health care providers. They also feel shame and guilt that they failed to prevent their child’s death.¹³⁹ Notably, a prior abortion can be a complicating factor in a woman’s experience of stillbirth, intensifying some of these feelings.¹⁴⁰

After abortion, the primary and long-lasting emotion is relief,¹⁴¹ but this does not change the reality that some people also experience negative emotions. For instance, in one study that attempted to capture the complexity of women’s emotional experience after abortion, eighty-three percent of women surveyed felt relief, fifty-three percent felt guilt, and sixty-four percent felt sadness.¹⁴² Feelings of guilt and sadness increased for later abortions and for women who perceived greater community abortion stigma.¹⁴³ Feeling guilt, sadness, and even regret did not change the fact that, one week later, ninety-five percent of patients reported that the abortion was the right choice.¹⁴⁴

Notably, women can experience these stigmas differently based on “race, class, gender, age, and sexual identity.”¹⁴⁵ State policies are often aimed at encouraging fertility for White women, whereas policies that target women of color and poor women usually seek to curb fertility.¹⁴⁶ A 2012 study concluded that Black women and Hispanic women feel less abortion stigma than White women.¹⁴⁷ For pregnancy loss, Black women feel more stigma as their loss could “quickly be

137. Bardos et al., *supra* note 121, at 1317.

138. See Joanne Cacciatore, *The Unique Experiences of Women and Their Families After the Death of a Baby*, 49 SOC. WORK IN HEALTH CARE 134, 140 (2010) (noting that women expressed regret and guilt for their child’s death and felt inadequate social support from those around them).

139. Gold et al., *supra* note 136, at 42.

140. See JOHN DEFRAIN, LEONA MARTENS, JAN STORK & WARREN STORK, STILLBORN: THE INVISIBLE DEATH 37 (1986) (explaining that a mother blamed herself for her stillbirth because of a prior abortion).

141. Corinne H. Rocca, Goleen Samari, Diana G. Foster, Heather Gould & Katrina Kimport, *Emotions and Decision Rightness over Five Years Following an Abortion: An Examination of Decision Difficulty and Abortion Stigma*, SOC. SCI. & MED., Mar. 2020, at 1, 4.

142. Corinne H. Rocca, Katrina Kimport, Heather Gould & Diana G. Foster, *Women’s Emotions One Week After Receiving or Being Denied an Abortion in the United States*, 45 PERSPS. ON SEXUAL & REPROD. HEALTH 122, 126 tbl.2 (2013).

143. See *id.* at 126–27 (implying that women in the near-limit group experienced greater community abortion stigma).

144. *Id.* at 127.

145. Bommaraju et al., *supra* note 74, at 63.

146. *Id.*

147. *Id.* (citing Kristen M. Shellenberg & Amy O. Tsu, *Correlates of Perceived and Internalized Stigma Among Abortion Patients in the USA: An Exploration by Race and Hispanic Ethnicity*, 118 INT’L J. GYNECOLOGY & OBSTETRICS S152, S154–S155, S155 tbl.2 (Supp. II 2012)).

subject to scrutiny,” whereas White women “more often receive the benefit of the doubt about the etiology of miscarriage.”¹⁴⁸

Uncoordinated efforts exist to break the silences and stigmas surrounding pregnancy loss and abortion. Recent campaigns include #ShoutYourAbortion and #ihadamiscarriage. And notably, famous women are discussing their experiences with pregnancy loss and abortion more commonly. Still, the stigmas persist.

D. Connection to the Fetus

So far in this Part, we have made the case that women who experience pregnancy loss and abortion are similar demographically, experience very similar physical events, and often feel isolated and stigmatized. The common narrative, however, is that there is one central aspect about which these two groups feel very differently: their connection to the fetus. According to dogma, women who have abortions do not see the fetus as a baby or feel any connection to it, while women experiencing pregnancy loss perceive the fetus as a child who they love. It is this exact distinction that makes abortion rights supporters so nervous about supporting women through pregnancy loss. We suggest that this narrative is simplistic and inaccurate.

First, many women experiencing pregnancy loss are not emotionally connected to their pregnancy. In one study, nearly twenty-five percent of women after pregnancy loss reported feeling that they had just “lost a pregnancy” and did not think they lost a “baby” or a “child.”¹⁴⁹ This study by Denise Côté-Arsenault and Mary-T. B. Dombeck noted that women experience a range of emotional reactions to pregnancy loss, “from a sense of disappointment that quickly dissipates to intense emotional reactions characterized by an extended period of grief and mourning.”¹⁵⁰ They explained that “people may respond differently to the same life event” and that some women “are quite accepting and relatively unemotional about the [loss].”¹⁵¹ Women were more likely to report that they lost a baby in their second and third trimesters than in their first.¹⁵² This conforms with other research

148. *Id.* at 68.

149. Denise Côté-Arsenault & Mary-T. B. Dombeck, *Maternal Assignment of Fetal Personhood to a Previous Pregnancy Loss: Relationship to Anxiety in the Current Pregnancy*, 22 HEALTH CARE FOR WOMEN INT’L 649, 657 (2001).

150. *Id.* at 650.

151. *Id.* at 652.

152. *Id.* at 661 (“Significant differences were found in the assignment of personhood for a first perinatal loss between the early (first trimester) and late (14–40 weeks) perinatal loss groups.”).

showing that “grief following miscarriage tends to increase with the length of the pregnancy.”¹⁵³

The wantedness of the pregnancy also affects the emotional reaction to its loss. “‘If someone experiences a miscarriage, it is a cultural norm to see it as a devastating loss,’ . . . [b]ut feeling relieved when a pregnancy ends—perhaps it was not planned, not viable, not financially or socially feasible—is normal too.”¹⁵⁴ Historically, some women felt relief and even welcomed miscarriages as a part of fertility control; they did not usually express guilt or grief when pregnancies ended, even if in the second trimester.¹⁵⁵ Today, however, any indifferent or positive emotional reaction can make women feel ashamed or further stigmatized because “[w]omen should want to be pregnant. Women should want to *stay* pregnant. And if they cannot become pregnant or cannot stay pregnant, they should mourn the loss of this so-called vital cornerstone of womanhood.”¹⁵⁶ As half the country bans abortion and more people are pregnant who do not want to be, relief might be an increasingly common emotional response following loss.

A previous pregnancy loss also affects attachment in future pregnancies.¹⁵⁷ A prior miscarriage or stillbirth can create a “carry over” memory for the next pregnancy, a constant reminder that progression of a pregnancy does not guarantee the birth of a living baby.¹⁵⁸ “Qualitative studies show that such women, fearing recurrence of loss, attempt to cushion themselves emotionally in subsequent pregnancies by postponing announcement of the pregnancy, resisting attachment to

153. Marina Krakovsky, *Private Loss Visible*, AM. PSYCH. ASS'N (Sept. 2006), <https://www.apa.org/monitor/sep06/loss> [<https://perma.cc/ZNN9-T4Y2>].

154. Kaelyn Forde, *When Miscarriage Is a Relief*, GLAMOUR (Oct. 28, 2019), <https://www.glamour.com/story/when-miscarriage-is-a-relief> [<https://perma.cc/R8WS-D8YS>]; see also Marianne Hopkins Hutti, Minerva dePacheco & Marian Smith, *A Study of Miscarriage: Development and Validation of the Perinatal Grief Intensity Scale*, 27 J. OBSTETRIC, GYNECOLOGIC & NEONATAL NURSING 547, 553 (1998) (“[A]lthough some people grieve after a miscarriage, others do not. Whether or not a grief reaction occurs is hypothesized to be related to how real the pregnancy and baby within are to the parent.”).

155. FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 43; see also WITCOMBE, *supra* note 133, at 30 (“In late nineteenth-century America, women had much less control over when and how many pregnancies they had, and so miscarriage was more likely to be a relief, very welcomed, or a reason to celebrate.”).

156. JESSICA ZUCKER, I HAD A MISCARRIAGE: A MEMOIR, A MOVEMENT 51 (2021); see *id.* at 14 (“Far too often, those who do not experience sadness or anger following a miscarriage . . . are made to feel defective by a society that has long since demanded female bodies not only procreate but express a deep, innate desire to do so.”).

157. See Côté-Arsenault & Dombek, *supra* note 149, at 650 (“[T]he importance a woman assigns to what she has lost in a previous pregnancy will influence the amount of anxiety she experiences in a subsequent pregnancy.”).

158. *Id.* at 652.

the fetus, and delaying home baby preparations.”¹⁵⁹ The Côté-Arsenault and Dombeck study also found that women who had experienced two prior losses were less likely to identify the second pregnancy loss as involving a “baby.”¹⁶⁰ This suggests that “[a] first loss may change a woman’s perception or expectation of pregnancy, making her more cautious of her emotional investment in subsequent pregnancies.”¹⁶¹

Conversely, many abortion patients do have a connection to their pregnancies, use the term “baby,” feel grief when the pregnancy ends, and seek out ways to say goodbye. Jeanie Ludlow worked in an abortion clinic for ten years before joining academia. She has written that “[v]ery few [abortion] patients say ‘fetus’ or ‘embryo.’ The majority say ‘baby.’”¹⁶² Abortion counselors are aware of this fact, and as part of their efforts “to meet the [patient] where [they are],” counselors and providers will also use the word “baby” when the patient does.¹⁶³ Ludlow also noted that “many women do think about their relationship[] to the fetus when they make their choices to abort, and many consider the baby that fetus is (or will become) to them.”¹⁶⁴ The clinic she worked at allowed patients to write letters to their “babies.” A common sentiment was expressed in this note: “I love you even though I know in my heart I can’t keep you. But the memory of you will make me strong. . . . All my love, the mom you’ll never meet.”¹⁶⁵

Postabortion grief and sadness are not commonly discussed in public discourse but are often felt.¹⁶⁶ For instance, sixty-four percent of abortion patients feel sadness after their abortion (in addition to positive emotions, like relief, which eighty-three percent of patients felt).¹⁶⁷ Just as the length of pregnancy and level of certainty about the pregnancy affected a woman’s reaction to miscarriage, the longer a pregnancy and the less certainty about the abortion decision led to more

159. *Id.* at 653.

160. *Id.* at 658.

161. *Id.* at 661; see LINDA L. LAYNE, *MOTHERHOOD LOST: A FEMINIST ACCOUNT OF PREGNANCY LOSS IN AMERICA* 91 (2003) (explaining that women who have experienced a previous pregnancy loss may emotionally detach from their current pregnancy).

162. Jeannie Ludlow, *Sometimes, It’s a Child and a Choice: Toward an Embodied Abortion Praxis*, 20 NAT’L WOMEN’S STUD. ASS’N J. 26, 43 (2008).

163. Carole Joffe & Wayne Shields, *Morality and the Abortion Provider*, 74 CONTRACEPTION 1, 2 (2006); Ludlow, *supra* note 162, at 43.

164. Ludlow, *supra* note 162, at 43.

165. *Id.* at 44.

166. See Greer Donley & Jill Wieber Lens, *Second-Trimester Abortion Dangertalk*, 62 B.C. L. REV. 2145, 2201–03 (2021) (“[G]rief or loss are reasonable responses [to abortion] that can be better supported.”).

167. Rocca et al., *supra* note 142, at 126 tbl.2.

sadness and grief.¹⁶⁸ In one study of second-trimester abortion patients, sixty-seven percent reported feeling grief.¹⁶⁹ Women are more likely to feel grief after second-trimester abortions for a variety of reasons, including that they had more time with the pregnancy, are more likely to have struggled with the abortion decision, and are more likely to be terminating a wanted pregnancy due to a fetal anomaly, maternal health condition, or changed life circumstance.¹⁷⁰ Women who terminate a wanted pregnancy are especially likely to feel grief and often identify their pregnancy as a child, name the baby, and see themselves as bereaved parents.¹⁷¹

Some women who have abortions, especially in the second trimester, are also interested in ways they can commemorate their pregnancy or say goodbye. One possibility is “patient-centered tissue viewing,” which allows patients to see the products of conception after the abortion.¹⁷² Patients choose this for a variety of reasons, including “to fulfil curiosity, to cope with or grieve the end of a pregnancy, or merely to come to terms with the experience.”¹⁷³ In a study of second-trimester abortion patients, half of the patients terminating due to fetal anomaly chose to view the fetus, as did thirty-nine percent of those terminating an unintended pregnancy.¹⁷⁴ This practice challenges the assumption that women cannot have an emotional connection to their fetus while also choosing abortion: “Hegemonic notions of maternal-fetal bonding are complicated by the reality that one can be simultaneously confident in their decision to terminate, emotionally connected to the pregnancy, and want to view the post-abortion tissues.”¹⁷⁵

Some women who terminate because of fetal anomaly choose an induction abortion specifically so that they can meet and hold their baby

168. *See id.* at 126 (“Smaller proportions of women in the first-trimester group than of those in the near-limit group expressed regret (33% vs. 41%) and sadness (61% vs. 68%).”).

169. Inga-Maj Andersson, Kyllike Christensson & Kristina Gemzell-Danielsson, *Experiences, Feelings and Thoughts of Women Undergoing Second Trimester Medical Termination of Pregnancy*, PLOS ONE, Dec. 29, 2014, at 1, 5 tbl.1 (illustrating that sixty-seven percent of women experienced grief prior to the abortion).

170. Ludlow, *supra* note 162, at 40–41.

171. Donley, MINN., *supra* note 116, at 229; *see* Andersson et al., *supra* note 169, at 17 (explaining that feelings of guilt were common amongst women who had the abortion for fetal reasons).

172. *See* Lena R Hann & Andréa Becker, *The Option to Look: Patient-Centered Pregnancy Tissue Viewing at Independent Abortion Clinics in the United States*, 28 SEXUAL & REPROD. HEALTH MATTERS 500, 500 (2020).

173. *Id.* at 507.

174. Andersson et al., *supra* note 169, at 8.

175. Becker & Hann, *supra* note 66, at 8.

while saying goodbye.¹⁷⁶ This practice is borrowed from the stillbirth community, where it has become the standard of care after research demonstrated that women are better able to process their grief when given the chance to say goodbye.¹⁷⁷ It is a part of “memory making,” which can also include having pictures taken, burying or cremating the remains, or having hand or footprints made.¹⁷⁸ Many of these options are also available to abortion patients upon request.¹⁷⁹ Some abortion patients also request pseudoreligious ceremonies as a way of saying goodbye. Ludlow explains that abortion patients would occasionally ask for someone to baptize their fetuses, and they would “sprinkle them with just a little water and wish them [well] into the next world.”¹⁸⁰ Some clinics have religious leaders available for parents.¹⁸¹

Also contrary to common wisdom, many abortion patients are worried about fetal pain, even though the scientific consensus is that fetuses cannot feel pain before twenty-four to twenty-five weeks at the earliest.¹⁸² Concerns over fetal pain are often the reason some women prefer initiating fetal demise before a surgical second-trimester abortion, though it is not standard. In one qualitative study of women who terminated between eighteen to twenty-three weeks and were required to get an injection to instigate fetal demise beforehand, seventy-five percent indicated afterwards that they would have chosen it even if it had not been required.¹⁸³ “While fetal pain during D&E is not supported by scientific literature, women described feeling reassured that [it] allowed for a more ‘peaceful’ abortion.”¹⁸⁴ Notably,

176. See Donley & Lens, *supra* note 166, at 2200–04 (“[Induction abortion] will not be the ideal method for the vast majority of second-trimester abortions, unless there is an indication for fetal autopsy or a strong desire to meet and hold the child.”).

177. See *id.* at 2177 (“Extensive and almost undisputed empirical evidence of women after stillbirth shows that this time with the baby psychologically benefits the mother.”).

178. *Id.* at 2201–02.

179. Carole Joffe, *Working with Dr. Tiller: Staff Recollections of Women’s Health Care Services of Wichita*, 43 PERSPS. ON SEXUAL & REPROD. HEALTH 199, 200 (2011).

180. Ludlow, *supra* note 162, at 27.

181. Carol Joffe describes how Dr. Tiller’s clinic—which provided later term abortions, often for fetal anomaly, before his murder by antiabortion extremists—honored these common requests from parents: “[A] chaplain is available and mourning parents are often able to hold the blanketed fetus in their arms for a private farewell.” Joffe & Shields, *supra* note 163, at 2.

182. *Facts Are Important: Gestational Development and Capacity for Pain*, AM. COLL. OF OBSTETRICIANS & GYNECOLOGISTS, <https://www.acog.org/advocacy/facts-are-important/gestational-development-capacity-for-pain> (last visited Oct. 14, 2022) [<https://perma.cc/W4B5-WM58>].

183. Blair McNamara, Jennefer Russo, Sarina Chaiken, Janet Jacobson & Jennifer Kerns, *A Qualitative Study of Digoxin Injection Before Dilation and Evacuation*, 97 CONTRACEPTION 515, 515–16 (2018).

184. *Id.* at 518.

while most discussions of fetal pain occur within the abortion context, little care is paid to how this debate can affect women after stillbirth, who may worry that their stillborn baby was in pain when they died in the womb.

The fact that some abortion patients feel a connection to their fetus and grieve in a way similar to miscarriage or stillbirth does not mean that all—or even most—women have this response to abortion. The reality is that most abortions occur in the first trimester after unintended pregnancies with high levels of certainty about the abortion decision.¹⁸⁵ As a result, the feeling of grief or loss will be much less common. But the simplistic view that all abortion patients feel relief to the exclusion of other, more complex emotions, and feel no emotional connection to their fetus is false, just as is the narrative that all miscarriage patients are bereaved mothers who lost a child. Women are not a monolith in either group. As we explore below, the development of fetal attachment is a subjective process that depends on a variety of factors unrelated to how the pregnancy ends.

III. UNDERSTANDING SUBJECTIVE FETAL VALUATION AND ITS INCONSISTENCY WITH FETAL PERSONHOOD-AT-CONCEPTION

Many women who experience pregnancy loss and some who experience abortion feel grief and loss. They often report that they lost more than a pregnancy. The antiabortion movement suggests that this evidences fetal personhood. We disagree. Below, we describe the qualitative research on how women experience attachment and loss in pregnancy, which explains that the experience is subjective and relational. We then compare it to how tort law assesses the value of pregnancy loss, which is similarly subjective and relational. Finally, we conclude that this understanding of fetal value as subjective and relational stands in stark contrast to and does not support the antiabortion model of fetal personhood, which is innate, biological, and fixed at conception in every pregnancy.

A. The Experience of Attachment and Loss in Pregnancy

Qualitative research shows that most women (roughly seventy-five percent) experience miscarriage and stillbirth as more than a lost pregnancy.¹⁸⁶ Fifty percent of participants said they had lost a baby,

185. See *infra* note 99; *Women Are Certain About Their Decision to Have an Abortion*, ANSIRH (Oct. 10, 2016), <https://www.ansirh.org/research/research/women-are-certain-about-their-decision-have-abortion> [https://perma.cc/R7HE-WFWT].

186. Côté-Arsenault & Dombeck, *supra* note 149, at 660.

eleven percent said they had lost a baby with a name, and fourteen percent felt they had lost a child who would now be whatever age.¹⁸⁷ The greater the “[e]motional attachment to the fetus,” the more likely the participants were to report that they lost more than a pregnancy.¹⁸⁸ The authors in this study used this finding to suggest that most women attributed some level of “personhood” or “potential personhood” to the pregnancy, though they were quick to note that this was a sociological concept, not a legal one.¹⁸⁹

A related concept is prenatal attachment. “Prenatal attachment is an abstract concept, representing the affiliate relationship between a parent and fetus, which . . . is related to the cognitive and emotional abilities to conceptualize another human being, and develops within an ecological system.”¹⁹⁰ Over the last three decades, researchers have developed the perinatal grief intensity theoretical framework, which, in part, asks women to describe their “mental representation of the pregnancy and baby at the time of the loss.”¹⁹¹ Potential answers include: “[T]he pregnancy did not seem real to me,” “it seemed like the loss of pregnancy not the loss of a baby,” and “I felt that I had lost my son or my daughter.”¹⁹² Research has consistently concluded that the more “real” the pregnancy feels, the greater a woman’s attachment and feelings of loss if the pregnancy ends.¹⁹³

A variety of factors influence how and when prenatal attachment develops over the course of a pregnancy. We do not intend to provide an exhaustive list of those relevant factors, but we do want to highlight some. As noted above, an important factor is the length of the pregnancy. Though not dispositive, “[w]omen with longer gestations have more time to develop the mental representations of the baby’s

187. *Id.* at 657.

188. *See id.* at 651.

189. *Id.* According to the authors, when women selected “baby,” their response suggested potential personhood, while the act of naming the baby or aging the child attributed greater levels of personhood. *Id.* at 656.

190. Anna R. Brandon, Sandra Pitts, Wayne H. Denton, C. Allen Stringer & H.M. Evans, *A History of the Theory of Prenatal Attachment*, 23 J. PRENATAL & PERINATAL PSYCH. & HEALTH 201, 207 (2009) (quoting Helen McK. Doan & Anona Zimmerman, *Conceptualizing Prenatal Attachment: Toward a Multidimensional View*, 18 J. PRENATAL & PERINATAL PSYCH. & HEALTH 109, 110 (2003)).

191. Marianne Hutti, John Myers, Lynne A. Hall, Barbara J. Polivka, Susan White, Janice Hill, Elizabeth Kloenne, Jaelyn Hayden & Meredith McGrew Grisanti, *Predicting Grief Intensity After Recent Perinatal Loss*, 101 J. PSYCHOSOMATIC RSCH. 128, 129 (2017) [hereinafter *Predicting Grief Intensity*].

192. Marianne H. Hutti, Deborah S. Armstrong & John Myers, *Evaluation of the Perinatal Grief Intensity Scale in the Subsequent Pregnancy After Perinatal Loss*, 42 J. OBSTETRIC, GYNECOLOGIC & NEONATAL NURSING 697, 703 tbl.3 (2013).

193. *Predicting Grief Intensity*, *supra* note 191, at 129.

identity that become the basis for prenatal attachment, and therefore are likely to experience significant grief with perinatal loss.”¹⁹⁴ It takes time for the realness of the pregnancy to set in, and even longer for conceptions of the child to form:

When women first learn of their pregnancies, they understand that they are pregnant but may not feel pregnant. Later, as they develop pregnancy symptoms, the pregnancy begins to feel real, but the baby within still is more of an idea, an ideal baby without a perceived identity or personality. Later still, the baby begins to feel real, and women start to think of this baby as a son or daughter with specific characteristics and personality traits.¹⁹⁵

Côté-Arsenault and Dombeck agree: “As pregnancy progresses, evidence of a fetus that is separate from self mounts with increasingly frequent fetal movements and a protruding pregnan[cy] abdomen.”¹⁹⁶ In their terms, parents “bestow increments of personhood” as pregnancy progresses, which include “naming the baby, describing the fetus[s] personality in utero, or preparing a nursery in the home.”¹⁹⁷ Nevertheless, some people who have early miscarriages grieve as deeply as those with later losses.¹⁹⁸

Another important factor in a parent’s prenatal attachment is the pregnancy’s incorporation into social structures, like families and communities. Anthropologist Linda Layne’s research “challenges us to recognize that the distinction between a fetus and a baby is defined not by gestational development but through social relationships—the pregnant woman’s with her fetus, her family, and her community—whether the pregnancy ends in childbirth or miscarriage or, [Ludlow] would add, abortion.”¹⁹⁹ Legal scholars have made the distinction between “social birth,” which is “the incorporation of a child into its family,” as different from and often preceding “biological birth.”²⁰⁰ Carol Sanger has explained that though “‘social birth’ is not a legal category or status, [] it has tremendous force, inaugurating the fetus into the world of sociality in which playdates, alumni onesies, and college savings accounts heave into view.”²⁰¹

One important aspect of social birth is the disclosure of the pregnancy to those in one’s community, which can accelerate the fetus’s standing within social groups. Another is the expectation that the pregnancy will result in a live child. Often, these two factors converge

194. *Id.*

195. *Id.*

196. Côté-Arsenault & Dombeck, *supra* note 149, at 660.

197. *Id.* at 651.

198. *Id.*

199. Ludlow, *supra* note 162, at 42.

200. CAROL SANGER, ABOUT ABORTION 80 (2017) [hereinafter SANGER, ABOUT ABORTION].

201. *Id.*

at the same time around the end of the first trimester. Most miscarriages occur in the first twelve weeks of pregnancy, and women are often encouraged to not disclose the pregnancy before then.²⁰² After twelve weeks, and especially after twenty weeks, the vast majority of women feel confident that their pregnancy will end with the birth of a living baby and disclose the pregnancy to their communities, furthering its social entrance into the world.²⁰³ Most are blissfully unaware that miscarriages still occur after twelve weeks²⁰⁴ and that about 1 in 160 pregnancies end with stillbirth,²⁰⁵ risks that translate into at least 50,000 second- and third-trimester pregnancy losses in the United States each year.

Technology has made it easier for women and parents to visualize the pregnancy, ascribe meaning to it, and become attached. Learning the sex of the fetus, which can occur now in the first trimester, “greatly increases the individuation and ‘realness’ of the fetus as a person.”²⁰⁶ But the technology that has most dramatically changed the experience of pregnancy is ultrasound.²⁰⁷ Carol Sanger has noted that as soon as ultrasounds were introduced into clinical practice, there were discussions about their use for maternal bonding:

An early and much-cited 1983 study (of two patients!) in the *New England Journal of Medicine* heralded the possibility of ultrasound for maternal-infant bonding before quickening. Seeing the image of one’s own fetus might just “work upon the viewer an emotional transformation, which [might] in turn inspire the desired behavior,”

including to reject abortion.²⁰⁸ Indeed, ultrasound may “transform even an early pregnancy into motherhood.”²⁰⁹ The *Dobbs* opinion specifically mentions how ultrasounds have created “a new appreciation of fetal life” and that prospective parents wanting a child “have no doubt” that what they see on that ultrasound “is their daughter or son.”²¹⁰ Before ultrasound, the pregnant person and the fetus were indistinguishable;

202. Lens, WASH. U., *supra* note 17, at 1070.

203. Jill Wieber Lens, *Medical Paternalism, Stillbirth, & Blindsided Mothers*, 106 IOWA L. REV. 665, 674 (2021) [hereinafter Lens, IOWA].

204. The chance of miscarriage still exists after twelve weeks, but it is lower, around three to four percent; but Black women sadly face double the risk of an after-ten-weeks miscarriage compared to White women. Lens, WASH. U., *supra* note 17, at 1071.

205. *Id.* at 1070.

206. LAYNE, *supra* note 161, at 83; *see also* SNEAK PEEK: EARLY DNA TEST, <https://sneakpeektest.com/> (last visited Aug. 4, 2022) [<https://perma.cc/EG75-PA7U>] (selling a direct-to-consumer test that will reveal the fetus’s sex within the first ten weeks).

207. Lens, IOWA, *supra* note 203, at 700.

208. SANGER, ABOUT ABORTION, *supra* note 200, at 113.

209. *Id.* at 130; *see also id.* at 122.

210. *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Org.*, 142 S. Ct. 2228, 2259 (2022).

historically, the fetus had no identity until quickening, when fetal movements could be felt.²¹¹

Though ultrasound was originally developed for a diagnostic purpose, that fact is often obscured to parents receiving the test.²¹² Instead, the sonographer often leans into its medically unnecessary, social purpose:

[S]everal studies have documented how medical providers often assign personalities to fetuses during prenatal exams, in order to socialize the pregnant person with the fetus. Clinicians may use humanizing language for wanted pregnancies like ‘meeting the baby’ or ‘he looks like his father’ to encourage parental bonding with the fetus.²¹³

As a result, “[w]omen who undergo ultrasound are more likely to call the fetus a baby and perceive their baby as being ‘more vivacious, more familiar, stronger and more beautiful,’ ‘more real’ and ‘more there.’”²¹⁴ Antiabortion activists have sought to capitalize on this social purpose of ultrasound by requiring the images be shown to women before abortion: “Mandatory ultrasound is intended as a sort of ‘preview’ of grief.”²¹⁵

Ultrasounds changed the experience of pregnancy—and of pregnancy loss. A woman used to learn of pregnancy loss by “physiological changes in her body (bleeding and cramping, premature labor, the absence of kicking),” but they now frequently learn of it “through the routine use of devices such as dop[p]plers or sonograms.”²¹⁶ Sadly, “[a]t one prenatal visit [patients] see and/or hear a heartbeat. At the next visit, where there had been a magical tiny flicker of life on the screen, the screen is deadly still.”²¹⁷ The social experience of the ultrasound quickly vanishes if something is wrong; “medical terminology is quickly redeployed,” and the baby is then relabeled as only a fetus.²¹⁸ Layne notes that there was little consideration that this new technology would also reveal pregnancy loss, instead focusing on “the possible benefits to the would-be child in the literature on bonding.”²¹⁹ Certainly, the modern “ultrasound ritual is not organized

211. FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 38.

212. SANGER, ABOUT ABORTION, *supra* note 200, at 115–16 (“There is nothing inevitable about the prevailing manner of ultrasound screening; nothing requires a screening to be a family occasion or a guided tour that concludes with a souvenir snapshot to take home.”).

213. Becker & Hann, *supra* note 66, at 3.

214. SANGER, ABOUT ABORTION, *supra* note 200, at 116–17.

215. Sanger, *The Birth of Death*, *supra* note 51, at 302.

216. LAYNE, *supra* note 161, at 85.

217. *Id.* at 83.

218. Victoria Browne, *Feminist Philosophy and Prenatal Death: Relationality and Ethics of Intimacy*, 41 SIGNS: J. WOMEN CULTURE & SOC’Y 385, 402 (2016).

219. LAYNE, *supra* note 161, at 89.

to accommodate the substantial possibility of early pregnancy loss,”²²⁰ or the reveal of a life-limiting fetal anomaly.

Finally, perhaps the most intuitive factor of prenatal attachment is the wantedness of the pregnancy. Pregnancy loss research does not explicitly mention wantedness of a pregnancy as a factor, instead seemingly assuming wantedness (consistent with the stereotype).²²¹ But both historical and modern research suggest it plays a role. Historian Shannon Withycombe notes that “nineteenth-century women had a wide range of interpretations of pregnancy”²²² and that wantedness affected their view of the pregnancy and pregnancy loss. She describes how women openly expressed relief after miscarriage in undesired pregnancies—undesired because of the inability to afford another child, the lack of desire to raise another child in a harsh frontier environment, or because they already had a large family.²²³ Withycombe posits that women who felt relief after miscarriage, which was common, were “perhaps less likely to [have] imagine[d] a baby within [their] body.”²²⁴

Modern research also shows a connection between wantedness and prenatal attachment. Specifically, a study of women in Iran found that women with unplanned pregnancies had a significantly lower prenatal attachment score than those with planned pregnancies.²²⁵ Research in the surrogacy context is also relevant. There, researchers have documented the emotional response to surrogacy to counter claims that surrogacy must be problematic because it traumatically forces a person to give up a baby they became attached to during pregnancy.²²⁶ They found that “maternity, bonding and [kinship] are not automatic outcomes of pregnancy, but a choice.”²²⁷ Surrogates “were vocal about

220. FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 164.

221. *See e.g., id.* at 144 (explaining that once abortion was legal, “a substantial proportion of abortions represent what would have been miscarried pregnancies in a previous generation. Therefore, a greater proportion of miscarriages are happening to wanted pregnancies,” so, under a modern understanding, miscarriages happen in wanted pregnancies and abortions occur in unwanted pregnancies).

222. WITHYCOMBE, *supra* note 133, at 34.

223. *Id.* at 30.

224. *Id.* at 21.

225. Sedigheh Pakseresht, Pegah Rasekh & Ehsan Kazemnejad Leili, *Physical Health and Maternal-Fetal Attachment Among Women: Planned Versus Unplanned Pregnancy*, 6 INT’L J. WOMEN’S HEALTH & REPROD. SCIS. 335, 340 (2018).

226. *See* Elly Teman & Zsuzsa Berend, *Surrogate Non-Motherhood: Israeli and US Surrogates Speak*, 25 ANTHROPOLOGY & MED. 296, 297 (2018); Olga B.A. van den Akker, *Psychosocial Aspects of Surrogate Motherhood*, 13 HUM. REPROD. UPDATE 53, 56 (2006) (“Research, which has looked at attachment, has found that surrogate mothers are less attached to the fetus.”).

227. Teman & Berend, *supra* note 226, at 296.

never having the emotions that they felt toward their ‘own’ child.”²²⁸ Thus, intention plays an important role in attachment, and pregnant people may never form an attachment to a fetus they never intend to keep. This also explains why people struggling with infertility might feel attached to an embryo before pregnancy and grieve when an in vitro fertilization (“IVF”) cycle fails.

Like all the factors we list, wantedness is not determinative of attachment. For instance, in pregnancies after loss, pregnant patients often experience less attachment to very wanted pregnancies in order to protect themselves emotionally.²²⁹ And in one interesting qualitative study of the emotional response to miscarriage, the authors conclude that “emotional reactions to miscarriage cannot be predicted by initial pregnancy intentions.”²³⁰ Indeed, some women “with intended and strongly desired pregnancies, experienced only mild disappointment or feelings of inconvenience or even relief.”²³¹ On the other hand, “[o]ther participants, including some with unplanned pregnancies, reported profound grief that continued to affect their lives months later.”²³²

It is important to note that abortion politics over the past fifty years have greatly influenced the experience and characterization of the fetus as a pregnancy, a baby, or a child. Women today grew up consuming, subconsciously or consciously, narratives of pregnancy from the abortion debate.²³³ “One of the most important components of the abortion debates, in terms of their impact on pregnancy loss, is the pro-life argument that human life, and therefore personhood, begins at conception.”²³⁴ For decades, the antiabortion movement has trained us to “invest deeply in pregnancy very early on.”²³⁵ Their hope is this “emotional investment” will lead Americans to “support pro-life legislation.”²³⁶ A woman may look at her eight-week ultrasound and see

228. *Id.* at 299.

229. See Côté-Arsenault & Dombeck, *supra* note 149, at 652–53 (describing the attempts of those who have experienced pregnancy loss to resist “attachment to the fetus” to emotionally protect themselves and their potential to manifest more anxiety, which has been linked to “reduced maternal-fetal attachment”).

230. Rachel Flink-Bochacki, Megan E. Hamm, Sonya Borrero, Beatrice A. Chen, Sharon L. Achilles & Judy C. Chang, *Family Planning and Counseling Desires of Women Who Have Experienced Miscarriage*, 131 *OBSTETRICS & GYNECOLOGY* 625, 627 (2018).

231. *Id.* at 628.

232. *Id.*

233. FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 144 (“[T]he abortion debates of the past several decades have given us much of the public language with which we talk and think about pregnancy and the meaning of embryos and fetuses.”).

234. *Id.* at 147.

235. *Id.* at 149.

236. *Id.* at 147.

nothing that resembles a baby, much less a child,²³⁷ but antiabortion advocacy “teaches the viewer imaginative strategies for connecting emotionally with it as such”²³⁸—indoctrinating that lack of doubt that Justice Alito mentioned in the *Dobbs* opinion.²³⁹ Catholic theologians posited that legal abortion would “cheapen[] life,” but in some ways, the opposite occurred.²⁴⁰ “[P]regnancies that were not aborted demanded commitment” and investment.²⁴¹ “From this perspective, prenatal life appeared cheaper in the nineteenth century, when a woman with an unplanned pregnancy might take a wait-and-see attitude,” hoping for a miscarriage.²⁴²

In contrast, the abortion rights movement has historically described a pregnancy as a “clump of cells,” if it addresses the fetus at all.²⁴³ This messaging is often inconsistent with the abstract idea of pregnancy that women have formed. And when women feel distress after losing a pregnancy, this messaging can be isolating and alienating.²⁴⁴ “Given the lack of meaning attributed to embryos and fetuses in the pro-choice movement, the miscarriage support literature tends to borrow from pro-life language and imagery.”²⁴⁵ The antiabortion movement confirms the appropriateness of sorrow or grief after even early pregnancy loss “because a baby has died.”²⁴⁶ Leaning into antiabortion sentiment for comfort, however, “reinforces the idea that the only appropriate way to treat an early miscarriage is as the loss of a child.”²⁴⁷ There is no alternative, nuanced discussion that gives space for women’s attachment to the fetus during pregnancy, and grief after its loss, without conceding personhood: There is no “cultural imagery regarding pregnancies” beyond the “‘baby vs clump of cells’ dichotomy.”²⁴⁸

237. See *id.* at 160 (“[F]ew people, even those who experience early ultrasound as a moment of profound bonding, would claim to be able to easily see the baby in an early scan.”).

238. *Id.* at 148.

239. *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Org.*, 142 S. Ct. 2228, 2259 (2022) (noting the antiabortion argument that “when prospective parents who want to have a child view a sonogram, they typically have no doubt that what they see is their daughter or son”).

240. FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 142.

241. *Id.*

242. *Id.*

243. Becker & Hann, *supra* note 66, at 1.

244. See FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 149 (explaining that the oversimplified message that personhood begins at birth “is troubling to the many women who are politically pro-choice but mourn their miscarriages”).

245. *Id.*

246. *Id.*

247. *Id.*

248. Becker & Hann, *supra* note 66, at 6.

Some might suggest that this history is only more reason why the fetus should be ignored—that the modern attachment to the fetus is a manipulation of antiabortion messaging that we should be attempting to deprogram. We think this is the wrong response. Like it or not, this attachment in pregnancy is not likely to change—too many cultural shifts have occurred. “Diminishing the fetus” will now only seem “out of touch, misguided, or even callous and cold.”²⁴⁹ And more importantly, women are capable of holding this nuance: “Pro-choice women may scoff at ‘I’m a Child, Not a Choice’ placards and at the same time feel excitement looking at the scan of an expected grandchild. This is not inconsistency but rather an awareness of context.”²⁵⁰ The abortion rights solution, therefore, should not be to “diminish a woman’s joy at imagining the child inside her” or to disengage her from “the magical thinking that lets us have a relationship with our baby before it is born.”²⁵¹ Instead, we argue that the proper response is to emphasize the pregnant person and their subjective relationship with the pregnancy—a relationship that is theirs to craft and not based on any fixed or immutable characteristics of the fetus.

B. Tort Law’s Recognition of Subjective and Variable Loss

The subjective and variable loss suffered in losing a pregnancy is at the center of every tort claim where the plaintiff alleges that defendant’s tortious conduct caused the miscarriage or stillbirth. An example of this type of lawsuit might allege that a drunk driver crashed into a pregnant woman, causing her baby to be stillborn. Even though pregnancy loss researchers stress that the extent of the loss after miscarriage and stillbirth “is not truly measurable,”²⁵² tort law requires a jury to measure the extent of the plaintiff’s loss and award corresponding damages.

Most states allow a wrongful death tort claim for pregnancy loss but only some losses. Currently, many states limit the claim to stillbirths after viability.²⁵³ Even if borrowed from the abortion context,

249. REAL REASON, *supra* note 76, at 19.

250. SANGER, *supra* note 200, at 103–04.

251. REAL REASON, *supra* note 76, at 19.

252. Denise Côté-Arsenault, *Theoretical Perspectives to Guide the Practice of Perinatal Palliative Care*, in PERINATAL PALLIATIVE CARE: A CLINICAL GUIDE 13, 17 (Erin M. Denney-Koelsch & Denise Côté-Arsenault eds., 2020).

253. *See, e.g.*, IND. CODE ANN. § 34-23-2-1 (West 2022) (defining child to include any fetus that attains viability as it relates to establishing a cause of action for wrongful death or injury of a child); *Miller v. Bunch*, No. 2019-CA-1856-MR, 2021 WL 402552, at *3 (Ct. App. Ky. Feb. 5, 2021); *Volk v. Baldazo*, 651 P.2d 11, 14 (Idaho 1982); *Williams v. Marion Rapid Transit*, 87 N.E.2d 334, 335, 340 (Ohio 1949). For a further discussion of the tort claims available for pregnancy loss, see

limiting the claim until after viability is consistent with pregnancy loss research noting that fetal attachment typically grows as the pregnancy progresses, as does the expectation that a pregnancy will end with a living baby.²⁵⁴ A few states allow a claim for stillbirth, including previability stillbirths (twenty to twenty-four weeks), but a much more limited claim for miscarriage.²⁵⁵ Another subset of states allow a tort claim for all pregnancy losses, including miscarriages, allowing all pregnant people at least the chance of recovering damages in tort.²⁵⁶

Two types of tort claims exist in the case of pregnancy loss: a wrongful death claim and a negligence claim.²⁵⁷ The wrongful death claim seeks the parents' damages for the death of the unborn child.²⁵⁸ The negligence claim, on the other hand, seeks damages not for the death of the unborn child, but instead for the birthing parent's injury, the pregnancy loss.²⁵⁹ The wrongful death and negligence claims differ in their origins and their available damages. A wrongful death claim is statutory.²⁶⁰ It is the same claim a parent has if a living child were tortiously killed.²⁶¹ "[A] majority of states recognize [the] wrongful death claim for stillbirth."²⁶² Negligence claims, on the other hand, are based in the common law. Negligence claims have jurisdictional difference. For instance, some states require a physical injury other than the pregnancy loss; others apply the very restrictive negligent

Jill Wieber Lens, *Tort Law's Devaluation of Stillbirth*, 19 NEV. L.J. 955, 1004 n.369 (2019) [hereinafter Lens, NEV.].

254. Lens, NEV., *supra* note 253, at 1005. As Lens has argued, however, this dividing line arbitrarily cuts off lawsuits related to late miscarriage and early stillbirth where the victim's harm was very similar to someone who suffered an early post-viability stillbirth. *Id.* at 1004–05.

255. *See, e.g.*, Thomas v. OB/GYN Specialists of the Palm Beaches, Inc., 889 So. 2d 971, 972 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 2004) (distinguishing between stillbirth and miscarriage for negligence claim and application of the impact rule).

256. *See, e.g.*, ARK. CODE ANN. § 16-62-102 (2022) (using a definition of unborn child encompassing conception through birth for purposes of establishing a wrongful death claim); 740 ILL. COMP. STAT. 180/2.2 (2022) ("The state of gestation or development of a human being when an injury is caused, when an injury takes effect, or at death, shall not foreclose maintenance of any cause of action . . ."); NEB. REV. STAT. § 30-809(1) (2022) (allowing a wrongful death cause of action for any person, "including an unborn child in utero at any stage of gestation"); OKLA. STAT. tit. 12, § 1053 (2022) (using a definition of unborn child spanning conception to live birth for purposes of establishing a wrongful death cause of action).

257. Lens, NEV., *supra* note 253, at 969–70.

258. *See id.* at 969 (applying the states' wrongful death statutes to stillbirths, "parents are allowed to bring a claim and recover damages, whatever type allowed by the statute, if they can prove the death of their unborn baby was due to tort").

259. *See id.* at 969–70 ("Thus, the minority of states also recognize a common law tort claim not for the unborn child's death, but for the emotional distress the mother suffered.").

260. *Id.* at 969.

261. *Id.*

262. *Id.*

infliction of emotional distress duty rules, which severely limit the situations in which a plaintiff can recover such damages.²⁶³

The claims' different focuses—death of an unborn child versus injury to the birthing parent—are clear from the available damages for the two claims. Since the wrongful death claim is statutory, the damages available depend on the statute.²⁶⁴ Most states allow recovery for damages for the lost relationship between the parent and child.²⁶⁵ In the context of a parent suing for the death of a child in utero, these damages compensate for the lost parent-child activities and interactions, activities that would have “generated ongoing, occurrent emotions, ideas, perceptions, and other experiences for both parties.”²⁶⁶ The damages also reflect the lost parental identity, as being a parent is one “of the most important roles we play in life” and the tortfeasor robbed the parent of that experience.²⁶⁷ Recovery of emotional distress damages is less common for wrongful death.²⁶⁸

The reverse is true for the negligence claim, which includes damages for emotional distress but not the lost relationship.²⁶⁹ For example, Texas negligence law allows damages for the “mental anguish . . . resulting from negligent treatment that causes the loss of a fetus as part of the woman’s body,” but not for “the loss of the fetus as an individual.”²⁷⁰ Whether juries can distinguish these types of damages and only award one or the other is, of course, another question.²⁷¹

The most interesting distinction between the wrongful death and negligence claims, however, is their conception of the fetus.

263. *Id.* at 973.

264. *Id.* at 969.

265. See Jill Wieber Lens, *Children, Wrongful Death, and Punitive Damages*, 100 B.U. L. REV. 437, 447 (2020) [hereinafter Lens, B.U.] (discussing the trend toward allowing damages for “the relational injuries that result from the death of a family member”).

266. Joellen Lind, *Valuing Relationships: The Role of Damages for Loss of Society*, 35 N.M. L. REV. 301, 305 (2005).

267. *Id.* at 306.

268. See Andrew J. McClurg, *Dead Sorrow: A Story About Loss and a New Theory of Wrongful Death Damages*, 85 B.U. L. REV. 1, 26–27 (2005) (noting that “[t]wenty-eight states and the District of Columbia appear to have rejected” recovery of damages for grief and mental anguish in wrongful death cases).

269. See Lens, NEV., *supra* note 253, at 976 (critiquing limitations on recoverable damages in a negligence claim arising from “attempts to distinguish between the loss of a baby as a part of the woman’s body versus just as a baby”).

270. *Krishnan v. Ramirez*, 42 S.W.3d 205, 215 (Tex. Ct. App. 2001).

271. See Lens, NEV., *supra* note 253, at 990–92 (criticizing the attempt to parcel damages for the intangible losses after stillbirth); *Krishnan v. Sepulveda*, 916 S.W.2d 478, 489 (Tex. 1995) (Gonzalez, J., dissenting) (“[T]he Court is asking the trier of fact to do the impossible: ascertain damages for mental anguish to the mother ‘as a result of the occurrence in question’ yet unrelated to the unborn baby’s death.”).

Australian law professor Hannah Robert explains the three main schools of thought regarding the fetus: (1) the pregnant person and the fetus are a single entity with the pregnant person being the only legal person; (2) the pregnant person and the fetus are separate, legal persons; and (3) an idea of the pregnant person as “not-one-but-not-two” such that the fetus is an “other,” but not a legal person.²⁷² Robert, also a stillbirth mom, argues that the not-one-but-not-two approach best reflects the complexity of pregnancy and pregnancy loss.²⁷³

A negligence claim after pregnancy loss is consistent with the first school of thought, that the pregnant person and fetus are a single entity where the pregnant person is the only legal person. The pregnancy loss is conceived of as an injury the woman suffers in her body, which she does. It also, however, treats the fetus as a body part. The claim is the same whether her leg is injured or she gives birth to her six-pound stillborn child.²⁷⁴ Presumably a jury would award more emotional distress damages for pregnancy loss than for a broken leg, but no guarantee exists because damages are specific to the plaintiff. There is no objective scale of damages.

A wrongful death claim, on the other hand, follows the second school of thought and conceives of the fetus as separate from the mother, recognizing a self-other relationship. This approach reflects that most women feel the death of their stillborn baby is the death of a child and something much graver than a broken leg.²⁷⁵ This recognition of separateness between mother and (unborn) child in a wrongful death claim is usually seen as an antiabortion victory because numerous courts applied wrongful death law by concluding that the word “person” in the statute also included a fetus after twenty weeks.²⁷⁶ The original motivation for these “person” conclusions, however, had nothing to do with biology, personhood, or abortion.²⁷⁷ Instead, it was due to the strange outcome where a fetus injured in the womb could recover in tort

272. Hannah Robert, *The Bereavement Gap: Grief, Human Dignity and Legal Personhood in the Debate over Zoe’s Law*, 22 J.L. & MED. 319, 319–20 (2014).

273. *Id.*

274. *Cf.* Lens, NEV., *supra* note 253, at 973 (describing how tort law historically equated stillbirth to distinct physical conditions like rashes, vomiting, and loss of bladder control when considering physical manifestations of emotional distress to establish negligence claims).

275. *See* Robert, *supra* note 272, at 324 (explaining how a bereavement gap is created when the law treats a fetal death as only bodily harm to the mother when parents are “grieving their stillborn baby as a person”).

276. *See* Lens, NEV., *supra* note 253, at 448–49 (discussing courts’ interpretation of “person” in wrongful death statutes as including unborn children and the antiabortion goal of increasing the legal recognition afforded to fetuses).

277. *See* Lens, B.U., *supra* note 265 at 449–50 (describing opposition to abortion as a “modern motivation” for applying wrongful death laws to unborn children).

after birth, but no claim existed for tortious fetal death;²⁷⁸ killing a fetus, despite being a graver injury, was not subject to recovery. Courts also applied wrongful death law to stillbirth due to the illogic of allowing parents a wrongful death claim when a baby dies shortly after birth but not before; if parents suffered a cognizable injury in the first instance, they also did in the second.²⁷⁹ Certainly, some more recent legislative amendments to clarify that “person” included fetuses were motivated by antiabortion strategy to accord full legal personhood to a fetus, especially if applied to miscarriage, but this was not the original purpose.²⁸⁰

Even though wrongful death recognizes the parent and potential child as separate, a wrongful death claim is not based on the pregnant person and fetus as separate legal persons. A wrongful death claim creates no legal rights for the fetus. To the contrary, the only one with a legal right under the wrongful death claim is the parent. Moreover, the separate legal persons model “relies on a fictionaliz[ed] view of pregnancy—where both fetus and mother are visible, but disaggregated in a way that ignores . . . the relationship between them”²⁸¹ A wrongful death claim does not ignore that relationship. To the contrary, the relationship between the pregnant person and the potential child is integral to the claim. The pregnant person’s damages are based on that lost developing relationship. Thus, the pregnant person is not displaced with only a focus on the dead fetus.²⁸²

This wrongful death treatment of the fetus is actually more consistent with what Robert called the “not-one-but-not-two” school of thought than the separate legal persons approach.²⁸³ The fetus is not a legal person, but is human, and the woman-fetus relationship is a “developing self-other relationship.”²⁸⁴ This idea of a developing self-other relationship fits in well with the research on prenatal attachment and pregnancy loss described Part III.A above. The main recoverable damages for wrongful death in cases of pregnancy loss reflect this developing self-other relationship. The damages available are either for the lost relationship, emotional distress, or possibly both. This is an

278. *Id.* at 449.

279. *Id.*

280. *Id.* at 447–50.

281. Robert, *supra* note 272, at 327.

282. Robert also argues that the separate legal persons approach erases “the location of the fetus within the mother’s body.” *Id.* As she points out, when tortious fetal death is inflicted through the mother’s body, it also violates “the mother’s bodily integrity” and “the integrity of the maternal-fetal boundary within the mother’s body.” *Id.* at 328. This is a valid criticism.

283. *See id.* at 330 (explaining under the “not-one-but-not-two” approach, “the fetus is not nothing, but also not a full legal person”).

284. *Id.* at 331.

individualized and subjective determination—no presumption exists that the woman is injured by any lost relationship or that she was emotionally distressed by the pregnancy loss.²⁸⁵ To the contrary, the woman must demonstrate her actual injury—the extent, if any, of the relationship lost and/or her emotional distress—to be able to recover damages. The jury may very well find that little relationship existed or minimal emotional distress occurred. This may be especially true in cases of early miscarriage in the few states that allow a wrongful death claim for miscarriage.

Just because a legal claim exists for tortiously caused stillbirth, however, does not mean that pregnancy loss is legally acknowledged to a similar extent as what the woman feels. Miscarriage and stillbirth are both dismissed as just “women’s issues.”²⁸⁶ Legal outcomes reflect cultural underestimation. Pregnancy loss is often culturally perceived as a minor or nonevent. Platitudes like “you can have another,” “it wasn’t meant to be,” or “you’re lucky that it wasn’t one of your living children who died” are common.²⁸⁷ Courts have even considered a subsequent child as evidence that a pregnancy loss was not that injurious,²⁸⁸ even though evidence of remarriage is inadmissible in a wrongful death lawsuit over a deceased spouse.²⁸⁹ And even if the jury was to find that the mother was severely injured, popular caps on the recovery of “noneconomic” damages mean that a mother receives only a reduced amount of her damages in cases of both pregnancy loss and the deaths of living children.²⁹⁰

Notably, this cultural and legal callousness surrounding the value of pregnancy loss sits in stark contrast to the antiabortion

285. “[T]o determine the extent of damages suffered by the parents” for wrongful death damages after stillbirth, a concurring federal judge in Louisiana suggested these factors:

1. the stage of pregnancy at which the stillbirth occurs; 2. the medical history of the mother with respect to previous childbirths; 3. the number of children the couple presently has; 4. whether the mother used artificial means to induce pregnancy, i. e., fertility drugs; 5. the probability of pregnancy going to full term; 6. any prior history of miscarriage; 7. prenatal care of the stillborn child; 8. parental preparation for the forthcoming child, i. e., house additions, baby crib and any other indicia of the degree of expectation exuded by the parents.

Danos v. St. Pierre, 383 So. 2d 1019, 1030 n.15 (La. Ct. App. 1980) (Ellis, J., concurring), *aff’d*, 402 So. 2d 633 (La. 1981).

286. See Lens, NEV., *supra* note 253, at 971–72 (explaining that feminist legal scholars “connect[] tort law’s historical resistance to recognizing emotional injuries to tort law’s resistance to recognizing injuries that happen to women” in evaluating why courts find that stillbirth causes emotional rather than physical harm).

287. See *id.* at 960.

288. *Id.* at 994.

289. *Id.* at 997.

290. Lens, B.U., *supra* note 265, at 472–73.

sentiment that each abortion ends a priceless life and forever traumatizes the woman.²⁹¹ In most states, it would be difficult to recover in tort for a miscarriage at six weeks of pregnancy; certainly, wrongful death damages for a lost relationship are not available. Yet, a woman who terminates her “unborn child” at six weeks ended the life of a baby and will forever be traumatized.²⁹²

C. Subjective Fetal Value is Distinct from Legal Personhood

Roe is gone, but the fight over abortion is far from over. Overruling *Roe* means returning the issue to the states, and roughly half of the states are expected to keep abortion legal.²⁹³ The antiabortion movement has made clear that its goal is to end abortion nationwide.²⁹⁴ It will likely pursue this goal in two paths. First, it will attempt to legislate a federal abortion ban. But so long as the filibuster is in place, it is unlikely that federal legislation will pass.²⁹⁵ The second is to constitutionalize the antiabortion concept of fetal personhood—the idea that life begins for everyone at conception and that the word “person” in the Fourteenth Amendment includes all conceived life.²⁹⁶

291. See, e.g., WIS. STAT. ANN. § 253.10 (West 2022) (requiring disclosure of the risk of “psychological trauma” before abortion); TENN. CODE ANN. § 39-15-214(a)(49) (2022) (“Women who have an abortion suffer from post-traumatic stress disorder at a rate slightly higher than veterans of the Vietnam war. . . . [And] have an eighty one percent (81%) increased risk of mental trauma after an abortion.”).

292. Philosopher Amy Berg has argued that if the antiabortion movement truly believed every fertilized embryo was a person, it would presumably do more to fight pregnancy loss given that many more fertilized embryos die from failure to implant, miscarriage, and stillbirth than abortion. Amy Berg, *Abortion and Miscarriage*, 174 PHIL. STUD. 1217, 1217 (2017).

293. See Caroline Kitchener, Kevin Schaul & Daniela Santamariña, *The Latest Action on Abortion Legislation Across the States*, WASH. POST, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/interactive/2022/abortion-rights-protections-restrictions-tracker/> (last updated May 2, 2022, 9:48 PM) [<https://perma.cc/V57R-S7NH>].

294. See Alayna Treene, *Anti-abortion Leader: Next Step Is Overturning Roe in States*, CONGRESS, AXIOS (June 24, 2022), <https://www.axios.com/2022/06/24/anti-abortion-sba-list-roe> [<https://perma.cc/V9LR-ZGNK>]; Josh Hammer & Josh Craddock, Opinion, *The Next Pro-life Goal Is Constitutional Personhood*, NEWSWEEK (July 19, 2022, 6:30 AM), <https://www.newsweek.com/next-pro-life-goal-constitutional-personhood-opinion-1725698> [<https://perma.cc/AJE3-LQHV>]; Kate Zernike, *Is a Fetus a Person? An Anti-abortion Strategy Says Yes.*, N.Y. TIMES (Aug. 21, 2022), <https://www.nytimes.com/2022/08/21/us/abortion-anti-fetus-person.html#:~:text=In%20the%20Roe%20decision%2C%20the,%E2%80%9Cnot%20include%20the%20unborn.%E2%80%9D> [<https://perma.cc/E4VW-BAJS>]; Petition for Writ of Certiorari, *Doe v. McKee*, No. 22-201 (U.S. Oct. 11, 2022), 2022 WL 6572204 (cert. denied).

295. Cheyenne Haslett, *Ending Roe vs. Wade Opens the Door to a Nationwide Abortion Ban. But How Likely Is It?*, ABC NEWS (July 2, 2022, 9:04 AM), <https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/ending-roe-wade-opens-door-nationwide-abortion-ban/story?id=85947362> [<https://perma.cc/79X4-JFNH>].

296. See generally Brief of Amici Curiae Scholars of Jurisprudence John M. Finniss and Robert P. George in Support of Petitioners at 33, *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Org.*, 142 S. Ct. 2228 (2022) (No. 19-1392), 2021 WL 3374325, at *33 [hereinafter Brief of Amici Curiae Scholars] (asking

This strategy, along with corresponding state efforts to enshrine fetal personhood,²⁹⁷ will thrust fetal personhood into the spotlight in fights ahead. As they have in the past, antiabortion advocates will likely weaponize grief after pregnancy loss as evidence of fetal personhood. This antiabortion strategy will once again make abortion rights activists wary.

This wariness of a slippery slope will create a temptation to stay the course, avoid conceptualizing the fetus, and push back on any efforts that recognize fetal value. Instead of remaining silent on these issues, however, we offer a way forward. The subjective and relational fetal value described above is fundamentally inconsistent with the antiabortion vision of legal personhood, which is objective and independent. Not only is a framework to account for subjective fetal value possible without ceding ground on abortion rights, in Part IV, we argue that this moment demands this new approach.

1. Subjective, Relational Fetal Valuation and Its Inconsistency with the Antiabortion, Personhood-at-Conception Model

As pregnancy loss researchers make clear, their conception of fetal personhood is subjective, anthropological, or philosophical—not legal. Anthropologist Linda Layne, for instance, explains that her research involves an “anthropologically informed view of personhood, that is, that personhood is culturally constructed” and “may be undertaken with some embryos and not others.”²⁹⁸ Feminist bioethicists have named this highly subjective process “calling . . . into personhood.”²⁹⁹ Importantly, they note that the fetus does not innately have any personhood value—someone else must draw that out: “A fetus cannot participate in personhood or the practice of personhood; it must be called into personhood by other persons. When this does not happen, no value accrues.”³⁰⁰ One poignant example Layne offers of this cultural construction of prenatal attachment includes women who test positive

the Court to recognize unborn children as legal persons “guaranteed equal protection and due process by the Fourteenth Amendment”).

297. Already, Georgia has a law in force that grants personhood status to all fetuses at six weeks. Ava Sasaki, *Georgia Abortion Law Says a Fetus Is Tax Deductible*, N.Y. TIMES (Aug. 4, 2022), <https://www.nytimes.com/2022/08/04/us/georgia-abortion-law-fetus-tax-dependent.html#:~:text=Georgia's%20abortion%20law%20goes%20further,the%20fetus%20as%20a%20person> [https://perma.cc/6FNH-NZ89].

298. LAYNE, *supra* note 161, at 240.

299. Byron J. Stoyles, *The Value of Pregnancy and the Meaning of Pregnancy Loss*, 46 J. SOC. PHIL. 91, 94 (2015).

300. *Id.*

for pregnancy but are not actually pregnant—the embryonic sac is empty or it is a molar pregnancy.³⁰¹ No possibility of legal or even biological personhood is possible because of the lack of an embryo, but “if that pregnancy was known and desired, the would-be mother (and others) may have already begun the process of constructing a new person.”³⁰² On the other hand, people mistake early miscarriages for late periods every day. These early pregnancies are not even registered, much less emotionally constructed.

Thus, social construction of pregnancy is subjective and variable, not biological or innate: “[A]lthough a woman and possibly her partner might view their fetus as a person during pregnancy, this judgment does not occur at any one point in time and varies among pregnant women.”³⁰³ And crucially, it may not happen at all.³⁰⁴ As explored above, this construction depends on the pregnancy’s intentionality, its length, ultrasound visualizations, disclosure to others, or the myriad rituals to prepare for the new arrival. For some, this process may begin when partners decide to try to get pregnant.³⁰⁵ Others who have suffered a prior loss may “‘dehumanize, minimize, and medicalize’ . . . [the] subsequent pregnancy” and “postpone and/or attenuate the sociocultural work of constructing a person.”³⁰⁶ And other pregnant people may never begin this process, especially if the pregnancy is unwanted and abortion is quickly decided upon. Every experience is different. The damages calculation in tort confirms this concept of subjectivity: that every person’s loss will be valued differently based on their individual relationship with the potential child.

Fetal value is not only subjective, it is also relational. Neither tort law nor pregnant people view the fetus as entirely separate; the value is in the baby’s relationship with the world, which depends on the pregnant person.³⁰⁷ “[A]ny interaction [the fetus has] with the law or any other legal actor must by necessity involve the mother.”³⁰⁸ Damages

301. LAYNE, *supra* note 161, at 240.

302. *Id.*

303. Côté-Arsenault & Dombeck, *supra* note 149, at 651; *see also id.* at 660 (“Personhood is not based on biological facts, but is a complex sociocultural phenomenon.”).

304. *See* LAYNE, *supra* note 161, at 241 (explaining that women who have miscarried may shy away from or delay in “constructing a person”).

305. *Id.* at 240–41.

306. *Id.* at 241.

307. The pregnant person is not the only one who might be attached to a pregnancy. The other parent, the grandparents, and others might have some attachment or attribute some personhood to the fetus. But the pregnant person’s interest trumps because only she has both the attachment (most likely, the strongest attachment) and is also growing the child in her body. Robert’s concept of not-one-but-not-two seems applicable here—you cannot disaggregate the pregnancy from the pregnant person. Robert, *supra* note 272, at 330. The pregnant person is integral.

308. *Id.* at 321.

for fetal death are based on the birthing parent's perspective. As Lens has written previously: "A wrongful death claim . . . does not create any legal right for the baby. . . . It is the parents' claim and it awards the parents damages for the lost affectional tie, the loss of their relationship with their baby."³⁰⁹ Moreover, "[t]he parent's loss does not depend on the legal status of the child; indeed the absence of the child is the crux of the suit."³¹⁰ The Supreme Court said the same in *Roe*, specifically noting that the tort law concept of wrongful death in pregnancy was relational and therefore did not bestow independent fetal rights: "Such an action, however, would appear to be one to vindicate the *parents' interest* and is thus consistent with the view that the fetus, at most, represents only the potentiality of life."³¹¹

This subjective, relational vision of fetal value is dramatically different from what is promoted by antiabortion legislators, which presumes that life and personhood begin at conception. That is, *every* fertilized egg is equivalent to a breathing baby entitled to life at the moment of conception, and thus, abortion is necessarily illegal. Indeed, this belief forms the basis of a constitutional theory that could lead to a nationwide abortion ban—that the word "person" in the Fourteenth Amendment applies to a zygote at conception,³¹² enshrining the zygote with the right to life and outlawing abortion everywhere (or so the theory goes).³¹³ The Court explicitly rejected this argument in *Roe*,³¹⁴ but that precedent no longer has effect.³¹⁵ Given the current makeup of the Supreme Court, this possibility should be taken seriously. Indeed,

309. Lens, NEV., *supra* note 253, at 1009–10.

310. *Id.* at 1010 (quoting *Dunn v. Rose Way, Inc.*, 333 N.W.2d 830, 833 (Iowa 1983)).

311. *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113, 161–62 (1973) (emphasis added).

312. *See generally* Brief of Amici Curiae Scholars, *supra* note 296 (advocating for the view that unborn children constitute legal persons under the Fourteenth Amendment).

313. Some might argue that even if the Court were to find that the Constitution recognizes personhood at conception, it would not necessarily ban abortion nationwide because it would fail the state action requirement. For instance, in *DeShaney v. Winnebago County Department of Social Services*, 489 U.S. 189, 201–03 (1989), the Court found there was no state action when a state allowed corporal punishment of a child because the state did not inflict the abuse, the parents did. We think this is an important caveat that should be further explored, but our paper focuses on showing that *even if it were true* that personhood-at-conception could ban abortion nationwide, recognizing subjective, relational fetal value will not lead to it. It is also worth noting that even if fetuses were persons, some abortions could still be permissible because of other rights, like the right to self-defense if the pregnancy endangered a woman's life or the right to parental autonomy if the fetus suffered a life-threatening fetal anomaly. *Donley*, MINN., *supra* note 116, at 208–09.

314. *Roe*, 410 U.S. at 158.

315. *Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Org.*, 142 S. Ct. 2228, 2284 (2022).

Alito's opinion in *Dobbs*, though not explicitly taking a position on fetal personhood, hints that he might be persuaded by such an argument.³¹⁶

The Supreme Court has not articulated a consistent framework for constitutional personhood to guide a possible reconsideration of fetal personhood. As Zoe Robinson has described, “‘no coherent body of doctrine or jurisprudential theory exists’ to determine who or what is a constitutional person.”³¹⁷ This means the Court decides personhood on “an ad hoc basis, right-by-right and claimant-by-claimant.”³¹⁸ But we do know that constitutional personhood is fundamentally distinct from biological humanness. Person “has a legal meaning which includes some non-humans (such as corporations), and excludes some humans. Its purpose is not to define human life, but to enable an autonomous interaction with the law.”³¹⁹ For instance, felons and aliens are both humans, but the Court has denied them certain constitutional rights.³²⁰ Thus, “[i]t is important to recall . . . that what is at stake in the designation as a constitutional person is not a declaration of a person's humanity. Rather, at stake is recognition of a legal status under the Constitution.”³²¹ The question of constitutional personhood is therefore completely detached from the philosophical question of when life begins—a question our Article does not address. Rather, we are concerned only about whether the recognition of subjective fetal value discussed above would lead to constitutional personhood; we conclude that it does not.

The subjective fetal value we discuss in this Article does not support the antiabortion personhood-at-conception model. The fetal value is created by, and dependent on, the individual pregnant person—some pregnant people feel attached to their fetus, attributing various levels of “personhood” to it at different points in the pregnancy. Others do not. By contrast, the antiabortion concept is based on biology—it is fixed and objectively presumed for all pregnancies at conception. The

316. See *id.* at 2252 (citing with approval: “to many purposes, in reference to civil rights, an infant *in ventre sa mere* is regarded as a person in being”); *id.* at 2258 (saying *Dobbs* doesn't threaten other substantive due process cases because abortion is different as it involves “potential life” and “unborn human being[s]” (first quoting *Roe*, 410 U.S. at 158; then quoting *Planned Parenthood of Se. Penn. v. Casey*, 505 U.S. 833, 852 (1992))); *id.* at 2261 (rejecting the dissent's view that a fetus lacks “even the most basic human right—to live—at least until an arbitrary point in a pregnancy”).

317. Zoe Robinson, *Constitutional Personhood*, 84 GEO. WASH. L. REV. 605, 608 (2016) (quoting Note, *What We Talk About When We Talk About Persons: The Language of a Legal Fiction*, 114 HARV. L. REV. 1745, 1746 (2001)).

318. *Id.*

319. Robert, *supra* note 272, at 320.

320. See Robinson, *supra* note 317, at 632–45 (analyzing several Supreme Court cases that have limited the constitutional rights of individuals with felon or alien status).

321. *Id.* at 634.

antiabortion personhood-at-conception model erases the pregnant person's subjective experience of pregnancy; subjective fetal value depends on it. The antiabortion concept assumes fetal separateness, where the fetus is an entirely independent person equal to, and cancelling out, the pregnant person; subjective personhood as recognized by tort law treats the pregnant person and fetus as not one but also not two—a developing self-other relationship occurring inside of and subsumed by the pregnant person. These two concepts are radically different and irreconcilable. Antiabortion advocates may try to manipulate the experience of pregnancy loss to support their arguments, but nothing about pregnancy loss automatically undercuts abortion. One can recognize subjective, relational fetal valuation without ceding ground on abortion rights.

Though we have demonstrated that the concept of subjective fetal value and tort law's recognition of it do not support the antiabortion personhood-at-conception model, other legal conceptions do. The most notable example is state criminal law. As we've discussed, tort law is private law, empowering the pregnant person to bring a claim and recover damages for the lost relationship with her baby or her emotional distress at the loss. Criminal law, on the other hand, is public law and is controlled by the state, not the pregnant person. Thirty-eight states have fetal homicide laws, enabling a prosecutor to seek criminal punishment for the killing of a fetus with criminal intent.³²² Twenty-nine of those states allow prosecution at any stage of pregnancy; the other nine limit it to later pregnancies.³²³

Though many of these laws were originally created to vindicate the pregnant person's loss, similar to tort law, they do not similarly reflect a subjective and relational understanding of fetal value. The pregnant person's attachment to the pregnancy controls the recovery of damages in tort law but is irrelevant under criminal law. Most state criminal laws can be enforced as early as conception,³²⁴ whereas tort wrongful death claims are overwhelmingly limited to losses after at least twenty weeks of pregnancy.³²⁵ Criminal laws treat the fetus as

322. *State Laws on Fetal Homicide and Penalty-enhancement for Crimes Against Pregnant Women*, NAT'L CONF. OF STATE LEGISLATURES (May 1, 2018), <https://www.ncsl.org/research/health/fetal-homicide-state-laws.aspx> [<https://perma.cc/L32S-YZCD>].

323. *Id.*; see also Andrew S. Murphy, *A Survey of State Fetal Homicide Laws and Their Potential Applicability to Pregnant Women Who Harm Their Own Fetuses*, 89 IND. L.J. 847, 864–67 (2014) (comparing various states' fetal homicide statutes).

324. Murphy, *supra* note 323, at 864.

325. See Lens, NEV., *supra* note 253, at 1004 (“The current line most states draw is at viability, allowing a [wrongful death] claim only if the unborn baby was old enough to likely survive on his own outside of the mother's womb.”).

entirely separate and erase the pregnant person,³²⁶ whereas the pregnant person's loss is integral to the tort claim.³²⁷ Even more problematically, seven states allow prosecutors to prosecute the pregnant person for the death of her child—most often in the case of illegal drug use in pregnancy, even though the scientific evidence supporting the causal connection between drug use and pregnancy loss is weak at best.³²⁸ Unlike tort law, criminal law creates an independent and objective understanding of fetal value, similar to what antiabortion advocates seek.

Given these dueling legal conceptions, we think it is even more urgent for abortion rights supporters to endorse the subjective version of fetal valuation, instead of rejecting fetal value outright and letting the antiabortion concept persist.

2. Historical and Modern Problems with the Antiabortion Concept of Personhood-at-Conception

The history of pregnancy loss is also relevant to the personhood-at-conception model. This history matters because conservative and originalist Supreme Court Justices will likely ground their determination of constitutional personhood on the meaning of “person” at the time the Fourteenth Amendment was ratified. (Though the Court might be tempted to look to the uptake of modern tort and criminal law statutes that have supposedly bestowed personhood to fetuses, this would require it to adopt a “living” interpretation of the Constitution, which the conservative majority opposes.) In *Roe*, the Court explained that in our country's early years, abortions were common before quickening, the time fetal movement is first felt,³²⁹ meaning fetuses were not considered persons, and indeed, abortion was a fundamental right.³³⁰ A group of constitutional law scholars, however, recently argued in an amicus brief for *Dobbs* that *Roe*'s historical analysis was wrong—that the quickening divide had disappeared and abortion at

326. Some states have written these laws as specific to a fetus or unborn child, defining a criminal punishment for the killing of a fetus or unborn child. CAL. PENAL CODE § 187 (West 2022) (“[m]urder is the unlawful killing of a human being, or a fetus”); 720 ILL. COMP. STAT. 5/9-1.2 (2022) (intentional homicide of an unborn child). Other states, however, have written these laws by defining persons to include fetuses. ARK. CODE ANN. § 5-1-102(13) (2022); KAN. STAT. ANN. § 21-5419 (2022) (defining “person” and “human being” to include a fetus as applied to Kansas homicide statutes).

327. See Lens, NEV., *supra* note 253, at 1002–04.

328. Meghan Boone & Benjamin J. McMichael, *State-Created Fetal Harm*, 109 GEO. L.J. 475, 487 (2020).

329. *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113, 133–40 (1973).

330. For a defense of this position, see David Gans, *Reproductive Originalism*, 75 SMU L. REV. F. 191 (2022).

any point in pregnancy was considered immoral, if not illegal, when the Fourteenth Amendment was adopted.³³¹ Professor Aaron Tang has refuted many of the examples upon which they rely, concluding that “[a]s of ratification, 21 of 37 states continued to recognize the very pre-quickenings abortion right that was universally embraced at the founding.”³³²

The historical analysis thus far has focused on what the contemporaneous abortion laws said, but those laws miss a crucial understanding of how women understood and experienced pregnancy at that time. Knowledge of pregnancy in the mid- and late 1800s was very limited. No lab test existed to determine pregnancy until 1927, and that test was used sparingly, given that it involved injecting the woman’s urine into mice.³³³ Before then, a certain diagnosis of pregnancy wasn’t possible until at least the middle of the second trimester when fetal movement occurred and the doctor could detect a fetal heartbeat.³³⁴ Women did not and could not rely on missed periods to prove pregnancy—missed and irregular periods were common in that time for a variety of reasons, including illness, poor nutrition, and challenging living and working conditions.³³⁵ Miscarriages were also mistaken for late periods, further complicating the predictability of menstrual cycles.³³⁶ Women commonly sought and obtained treatment for irregular periods, not fully understanding when missed periods were for pregnancy or other reasons.³³⁷ It is no wonder the abortion laws at that time were hardly enforced³³⁸—if the state could not prove pregnancy, it could not prove abortion or distinguish the treatment offered to induce periods versus to induce miscarriage. The former, known as menstrual regulation, was common, and products to induce a period were sold openly without any moral or legal concern.

Even if women were aware of pregnancy, there was no widespread belief that a baby or person was growing in the womb. Withycombe notes:

Markedly different from our current obsession with ‘the baby’ inside the bump, nineteenth-century women’s understanding of what was inside their bodies was more

331. See Brief of Amici Curiae Scholars, *supra* note 296.

332. Aaron Tang, The Originalist Case for an Abortion Middle Ground (Sept. 1, 2021) (unpublished manuscript at 58), https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3921358 [<https://perma.cc/7UPV-8LNR>].

333. FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 170–71.

334. *Id.* at 167.

335. *Id.* at 19.

336. *Id.* at 20–21.

337. *Id.*

338. See Tang, *supra* note 332, at 58.

fluid. For some women, it was a person in the making, and for others it was a more nebulous object, or an object that became a person only at birth.³³⁹

A woman knew that whatever was in her “moved and grew,” but she did not necessarily consider it to be a “distinct body or person.”³⁴⁰ This fluidity remained even with the growth of embryology throughout the nineteenth century, a growth made possible due to research on fetal tissue after pregnancy loss. Even with education on fetal development, “many women undoubtedly viewed [the fetus] as animalistic or eerily similar to insects.”³⁴¹

Quickening was also the time at which the general population deemed the fetus “alive.”³⁴² As a result, there was little to no “distinction[] between contraception, abortion, and miscarriage[s]” before quickening, and “no obvious moral distinction [existed] between intervening before or after conception.”³⁴³ “[I]t was impossible to tell whether a woman’s period came because she avoided conception or because she disrupted a very early conception.”³⁴⁴ Similarly, “there was no way to know whether a late period had reappeared because of something the woman did, or whether it would have happened anyway.”³⁴⁵ A few antiabortion doctors insisted that life began at conception and the science of human embryology did develop throughout the nineteenth century.³⁴⁶ But still, the line between spontaneous miscarriages and induced abortions was blurred,³⁴⁷ with one author deeming it equally immoral if a pregnancy ended because the woman orgasmed during sex or if she took drugs.³⁴⁸ Even if a state did ban abortions before quickening, it’s very unclear how these bans could have been enforced with no way to confirm pregnancy until weeks into the second trimester.

Additionally, women did not see their miscarriages or abortions as moral failings, nor did they feel guilt or despair.³⁴⁹ To the contrary, they were part of life and necessary fertility control so that women could better avoid the exhaustion and danger of closely spaced pregnancies.³⁵⁰

339. WITHYCOMBE, *supra* note 133, at 39.

340. *Id.* at 36.

341. *Id.* at 39.

342. FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 38.

343. *Id.*

344. *Id.*

345. *Id.*

346. *Id.* at 39, 85.

347. *Id.* at 39.

348. *Id.* at 39–40.

349. *Id.* at 43; *see also* WITHYCOMBE, *supra* note 133, at 21 (“[N]either women’s reactions to miscarriage nor medical discussions of pregnancy loss employed a language of failure or blame.”).

350. FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 26, 43.

In 1800, a woman had seven children on average.³⁵¹ But by 1900, a woman had an average of between three and four children.³⁵² The reality of the inability to control fertility meant “a social world in which miscarriage was not a failure of motherhood, the products of miscarriage were not children or infants, and doctors could freely take fetal tissues away for scientific study and display.”³⁵³ Miscarriages were also a welcome way to naturally reduce fertility, and “induced miscarriage” (i.e., abortion) was simply another option.³⁵⁴ “Many authors who were trying to convince women that inducing abortion was immoral lamented the uphill battle they seemed to be fighting, since so many women seemed unconcerned by miscarriage and, like the physicians, did not differentiate it from abortion.”³⁵⁵ Again, even if some states did ban abortions before quickening around the time of ratification, at most, this was a projection of (male) legislators’ aspirational beliefs on the populace rather than a reflection of widespread, moral consensus about fetal personhood given women’s opinions and practices.³⁵⁶

Moreover, modern day, practical problems exist with defining a legal person at conception. First, there is an important distinction between conception and implantation—conception occurs when the egg and sperm unite; implantation occurs when the fertilized egg implants in the uterus and starts to grow, typically a week or two later. There is no medical test for conception. Home and hospital-grade pregnancy tests look for a hormone called hCG, which is only released after implantation.³⁵⁷ This is why the earliest a pregnancy can be detected is roughly two weeks after conception—after implantation has occurred. This inability to know if conception has occurred means that after ovulation, any woman could possibly be pregnant, leading to problematic outcomes the public might not tolerate, like refusing nonurgent medical care to women in the second half of their cycles due

351. *Id.* at 36.

352. *Id.*

353. WITHYCOMBE, *supra* note 133, at 6.

354. *See* FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 37.

355. *Id.* at 40.

356. One irony with this line of reasoning is that it might be particularly vulnerable to efforts to reintroduce menstrual regulation through “period pills.” Wendy R. Sheldon & Beverly Winikoff, *Could “Missed Period Pills” Be the Future of Reproductive Health Care?*, MS. MAG. (Jan. 8, 2021), <https://msmagazine.com/2021/01/08/could-missed-period-pills-be-the-future-of-reproductive-health-care/> [<https://perma.cc/9548-7H3B>]. Researchers are currently studying the use of abortion inducing drugs without any confirmation of a pregnancy, creating a possible loophole to state abortion bans because no one is knowingly ending a pregnancy. PERIOD PILLS, <https://www.periodpills.org/> (last visited Oct. 28, 2022) [<https://perma.cc/7NK8-9EBY>].

357. FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 181.

to the possibility of disrupting a preimplantation pregnancy.³⁵⁸ In a similar vein, state personhood measures were often unsuccessful even in antiabortion states because of their potential effects on IVF, where embryos are often destroyed if not used, and certain forms of birth control, like IUDs and emergency contraception, which antiabortion advocates (against medical opinion) argue are abortifacients because they prevent implantation.³⁵⁹ Embryos are also much harder to personify than fetuses.³⁶⁰

The second modern problem with defining a legal person at conception is scientists have estimated that “[o]nly about 30 percent of fertilized eggs successfully implant and develop into term pregnancies and live births. The other 70 percent perish, about half before implantation, and many more during the first weeks after implantation.”³⁶¹ The human reproductive system is remarkably “inefficient” in that a “majority of conceptions are not viable.”³⁶² The distinction between conception and implantation is also why IVF, where a fully developed embryo is injected into a womb under optimal conditions, is successful only fifty-five percent of the time in women under thirty-five, and much less so for every older age bracket.³⁶³ It is much harder to argue that conception guarantees the right to life when

358. Can a woman get a mammogram or be prescribed potentially risky pharmaceuticals in the second half of her cycle when conception might have occurred, but before a pregnancy test can confirm it? This isn't hyperbolic—some hospitals already refuse radiation-emitting tests, like mammograms, in this window unless a person is on birth control. Ghazaleh Moayedi (@dr_moayedi), TWITTER (May 23, 2022, 8:44 AM), https://twitter.com/dr_moayedi/status/1528733727282585601 [<https://perma.cc/RYC7-6JPQ>]. Oklahoma recently passed a law creating civil liability for any “abortion” after *conception*. OKLA. STAT. ANN. tit. 63, §§ 1-745.51, .55 (West 2022). Hospitals and doctors in Oklahoma might become increasingly nervous about treatments that could theoretically prevent implantation after conception (i.e., an abortion under that law).

359. Maya Manian, *Lessons from Personhood's Defeat*, 74 OHIO ST. L.J. 1, 12–17 (2013). The fact that personhood initiatives could outlaw certain fertility treatments is a particularly challenging political hurdle because conservative, “pro family” voters may be especially concerned about efforts to limit families’ ability to procreate. Some legal scholars have argued there might be other consequences that Republicans might not like, like citizenship for fetuses conceived on U.S. soil. See Carliss N. Chatman, *If a Fetus Is a Person, It Should Get Child Support, Due Process, and Citizenship*, 76 WASH. & LEE L. REV. 91 (2020).

360. For instance, polls have shown that less than a third of respondents would be opposed to medical research using the extra embryos created after IVF, even though they will be destroyed. Matthew C. Nisbet, *The Polls – Trends, Public Opinion About Stem Cell Research and Human Cloning* 68 PUB. OP. Q. 131, 146 (2004).

361. FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 186. See also Gavin E. Jarvis, *Early Embryo Mortality in Natural Human Reproduction: What the Data Say*, F1000RESEARCH, May 23, 2017, at 1, 1 (describing the sources of the seventy percent estimation and positing that the percentage might be closer to forty to sixty percent failure to implant).

362. FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 192.

363. Rachel Gurevich, *The Chances for IVF Pregnancy Success*, VERY WELL FAM. (Apr. 20, 2020), <https://www.verywellfamily.com/what-are-the-chances-for-ivf-success-1960213> [<https://perma.cc/KN4J-T29S>].

the vast majority of those conceived ‘persons’ die long before they would be born.³⁶⁴

Last, in the decades since *Roe*, the antiabortion movement has argued that the right to privacy was an affront to states’ rights. One of Mississippi’s prime arguments in *Dobbs* was that *Roe* was wrongly decided because abortion is so divisive that state legislatures should be allowed to come to different conclusions about the legality of abortion.³⁶⁵ Justice Kavanaugh—a needed fifth vote on any abortion case—approvingly cited this line of reasoning during the *Dobbs* oral argument: “[B]ecause the Constitution is neutral, . . . this Court should be scrupulously neutral on the question of abortion, neither pro-choice nor pro-life . . . [.] [W]e should leave it to the states and we should be scrupulously neutral on the question.”³⁶⁶ The about-face that would be necessary to find, years after it declared in *Dobbs* that the “Constitution makes no express reference to a right to obtain an abortion,”³⁶⁷ that abortion must be banned nationwide because of fetal personhood, would be astonishing. Still, many were similarly skeptical that *Roe* could be overruled, yet it was. Abortion advocates need to be prepared for fetal personhood arguments, including the ability to explain that subjective valuation of the fetus does not support legal personhood at conception.

Even though we have argued that acknowledging subjective, relational fetal value does not evidence fetal personhood, we recognize that the antiabortion movement will nevertheless try to capitalize on this recognition and manipulate it. We cannot discount this risk, but the antiabortion movement will pursue this line of argument, exploiting attachment in pregnancy and grief after loss, regardless of whether abortion-rights advocates acknowledge attachment and grief. The abortion-rights advocates’ choice then is either to keep ignoring the subject or provide an alternative view that acknowledges it without harming abortion rights. And as we explain below, we think the benefits

364. FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 186.

365. Reply Brief for Petitioners at 21–22, *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health*, 142 S. Ct. 2228 (2022) (No. 19-1392).

366. Transcript of Oral Argument at 77, *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health*, 142 S. Ct. 2228 (2022) (No. 19-1392). At another juncture, Justice Kavanaugh had this colloquy with respondents:

Justice Kavanaugh: And so, for the—if you were to prevail, the states, a majority of states or states still could or—and presumably would continue to freely allow abortion, many states; some states would be able to do that even if you prevail under your view, is that correct? MR. STEWART: That’s consistent with our view, Your Honor. It’s—it’s one that allows all interests to have full voice and—and many of the abortions we see in certain states that I don’t think anybody would think would be moving to change their laws in a more restrictive direction.

Id. at 44.

367. *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health*, 142 S. Ct. 2228, 2235 (2022).

of an abortion rights movement that can recognize subjective, relational fetal value outweigh the risks. In particular, we describe how it might allow for a unified front in combatting the criminalization of pregnancy and counter the woman-protective rationale promoted by the antiabortion movement.

IV. WHAT THE ABORTION RIGHTS MOVEMENT CAN GAIN FROM RECOGNIZING AND SUPPORTING WOMEN THROUGH PREGNANCY LOSS

Though a longstanding boundary has prevented abortion rights supporters from recognizing fetal attachment, we think a greater acknowledgment of the fetus and, in particular, the bond that can develop in the context of certain pregnancies, could actually help the abortion rights movement. This acknowledgement is necessary to respond to the current moment where abortion is banned in a third of the country and pregnancy losses will increasingly become suspect and criminalized.³⁶⁸ We are already seeing the beginnings of this new reality unfold.³⁶⁹ This Part spotlights that abortion laws harm all pregnant people, especially those experiencing pregnancy loss. An abortion rights movement that can highlight this reality and support all pregnant people—as the reproductive justice movement always envisioned—could win hearts and minds. But to do so, the abortion rights movement needs to be able to defend a variety of pregnant persons' interests—including those who view their loss as the death of a child or potential child.

This Part also highlights that a proper accounting of fetal attachment within the abortion rights movement will also respond to the antiabortion community's repositioning of itself as supporting both the fetus and the woman. An account of subjective fetal value will allow the abortion rights movement to similarly position itself as a defender of the pregnant person and *their* interest in the fetus, potentially resonating with more Americans who hold nuanced views on a pregnancy's value.

Last, this Part argues that the abortion rights and pregnancy loss movements, and all pregnant people, benefit from the

368. See Aziza Ahmed, *Floating Lungs: Forensic Science in Self-Induced Abortion Prosecutions*, 100 B.U. L. REV. 1111, 1145 (2020) (“As more women use medication abortion—with many accessing the medication online and without medical supervision—more women may be vulnerable to prosecution.”).

369. Carrie N. Baker, *Texas Woman Lizelle Herrera's Arrest Foreshadows Post-Roe Future*, MS. MAG. (Apr. 16, 2022), <https://msmagazine.com/2022/04/16/texas-woman-lizelle-herrera-arrest-murder-roe-v-wade-abortion/> [<https://perma.cc/PC4L-EMC2>].

normalization of all pregnancy endings—abortion, miscarriage, and stillbirth.

A. Preparing for the Further Entanglement of Abortion and Pregnancy Loss in a World Without Roe

Abortion rights are at an inflection point. In June 2022, the Supreme Court overturned *Roe v. Wade*.³⁷⁰ As of the time of this writing, twenty states have banned or tried to ban almost all abortions, although the courts have enjoined six of these bans.³⁷¹ Abortion seekers in those states have only a few options. The first is to travel to a state that permits abortion. But given the high cost of last-minute, long-distance travel, coupled with the fact that three-quarters of abortion patients are low income or poor, travel will not be an option for many people.³⁷²

The second option is to continue a pregnancy against their will, exposing them to a variety of physical and financial hardships that can last a lifetime.³⁷³

Forced birth is an inevitable and sad reality, but because of the third option—self-managed abortion at home—it may be less common than people recognize.³⁷⁴ Self-managed abortion involves a pregnant person obtaining medication abortion through the mail from remote or online sources, as described in more depth below. Data from Texas is illustrative: after SB 8 went into effect (a law creating civil liability for abortions performed after six weeks), approximately fifty percent of

370. *Dobbs*, 142 S. Ct. at 2284.

371. Caroline Kitchener, Kevin Schaul, N. Kirkpatrick, Daniela Santamariña & Lauren Tierney, *Abortion Is Now Banned in These States. See Where Laws Have Changed.*, WASH. POST, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2022/06/24/abortion-state-laws-criminalization-roe/> (last updated Nov. 7, 2022 2:33 PM) [<https://perma.cc/CF8T-85JZ>] (counting only bans enacting after the *Dobbs* decision).

372. See Molly Hennessy-Fiske, *Even with Roe vs. Wade in Place, Low-Income Women Struggle to Get Abortions in Texas*, L.A. TIMES (May 8, 2022, 2:00AM), <https://www.latimes.com/world-nation/story/2022-05-08/even-with-roe-v-wade-in-place-low-income-women-struggle-to-get-abortion-in-texas> [<https://perma.cc/4HZ9-CFN5>].

373. See Annie Lowrey, *The Most Important Study in the Abortion Debate*, ATLANTIC (June 11, 2022), <https://www.theatlantic.com/ideas/archive/2022/06/abortion-turnaway-study-ro-supreme-court/661246/> [<https://perma.cc/X7ZB-K2SC>] (examining the financial and physical effects on women forced to carry pregnancy to term).

374. When Texas banned abortion after six weeks, the abortion rate for Texans dropped by only ten to fifteen percent due to abortion travel and self-managed abortion. See Margot Sanger-Katz, Claire Cain Miller & Quoc Trung Bui, *Most Women Denied Abortions by Texas Law Got Them Another Way*, N.Y. TIMES, <https://www.nytimes.com/2022/03/06/upshot/texas-abortion-women-data.html> (last updated Mar. 9, 2022) [<https://perma.cc/9NBN-2434>].

abortion seekers were beyond the six-week legal limit.³⁷⁵ Roughly twenty percent went to neighboring states, fifteen percent self-managed their abortion, and the remaining fifteen percent had unknown outcomes and presumably stayed pregnant.³⁷⁶ Self-managed abortion mimics the experience of pregnancy loss, making it difficult for states to enforce strict abortion laws without further investigating and criminalizing miscarriage and stillbirth. But even outside of criminalization, there are numerous ways that abortion restrictions harm those experiencing pregnancy loss by affecting available medical treatment.

1. Increased Criminalization of Pregnancy Loss and Abortion

Even when *Roe v. Wade* was still law, criminalization of pregnancy and pregnancy loss was on the rise.³⁷⁷ Criminalization is most often based on drug use during pregnancy; the vast majority of prosecutions involve pregnancies that end with the live birth of a healthy child, but some involve pregnancies that end in loss (despite little to no evidence that the drug use caused the loss).³⁷⁸ Aziza Ahmed argues that prosecutions of pregnancy and parenting started to increase as the welfare state retreated: “Rather than support women with social services and treatment, women lost custody of their children and found themselves in prison.”³⁷⁹ Michele Goodwin describes how poor women and women of color are disproportionately targeted for prosecution during all stages of pregnancy in her book, *Policing the Womb*.³⁸⁰ Seventy-five percent of prosecutions of pregnant women are against women of color³⁸¹—even though White women are equally likely to use drugs in pregnancy.³⁸² And when that drug use and pregnancy loss occur together, even when there is no evidence that the pregnant person was intending to end their pregnancy, the perceived relationship

375. See Samuel Dickman & Kari White, Opinion, *How Some Texans Are Getting Abortions Despite a Devastating Law*, N.Y. TIMES (Mar. 24, 2022), <https://www.nytimes.com/2022/03/24/opinion/texas-abortion-funds-sb8.html> [<https://perma.cc/J659-4ANR>].

376. See Sanger-Katz et al., *supra* note 374.

377. See Rewire News Grp. Staff, *When a Miscarriage Becomes a Jail Sentence*, REWIRE (Oct. 21, 2021), <https://rewirenewsgroup.com/article/2021/10/21/when-a-miscarriage-becomes-a-jail-sentence/> [<https://perma.cc/VA58-5AQM>].

378. Boone & McMichael, *supra* note 328, at 487.

379. Ahmed, *supra* note 368, at 1120.

380. See GOODWIN, *supra* note 69, at 1.

381. AMNESTY INT’L, CRIMINALIZING PREGNANCY: POLICING PREGNANT WOMEN WHO USE DRUGS IN THE USA 22 (2017), <https://www.amnesty.org/en/documents/amr51/6203/2017/en/> [<https://perma.cc/AB7S-5F9R>]; see Boone & McMichael, *supra* note 328, at 489–90.

382. Boone & McMichael, *supra* note 328, at 490.

between pregnancy loss and abortion plays a role: “[I]n many cases, these women are collateral damage in the fight over abortion.”³⁸³

As abortion has become more difficult to access in the United States, there has been a significant increase in the utilization of self-management for abortion.³⁸⁴ Self-managed abortion occurs when women obtain abortion care outside of the traditional healthcare setting, typically by purchasing abortion medication online.³⁸⁵ Though many women will purchase medication directly from international pharmacies, other women are accessing abortion with the help of an international provider. The most well-known organization providing this care, AidAccess, started offering abortion services in the United States in 2018 and provides thousands of abortions every year to Americans.³⁸⁶ The AidAccess model pairs patients with a doctor in another country who evaluates whether they are a good candidate for self-management.³⁸⁷ If so, the provider will call in a prescription for medication abortion to an international pharmacy, which will mail her the medication to take at home (even when doing so is illegal).³⁸⁸ A post-*Roe* world could eventually involve a similar setup of providers or private citizens mailing abortion medication from unrestrictive states to women in restrictive states for them to take at home illegally, but the legal risks to providers would be substantial.³⁸⁹

The greater reliance on self-managed abortion will require antiabortion states to change their strategy for criminalization. Historically, it has been untenable to criminalize women for obtaining an abortion.³⁹⁰ The public simply found it unpalatable. The vast

383. The Editorial Board, *When Prosecutors Jail a Mother for a Miscarriage*, N.Y. TIMES (Dec. 28, 2018), <https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2018/12/28/opinion/abortion-pregnancy-pro-life.html> [<https://perma.cc/Q2RA-VQJS>].

384. See Donley, CORNELL, *supra* note 102, at 658–59; see also Rachel Jones & Jenna Jerman, *Abortion Incidence and Service Availability in the United States, 2014*, 49 PERSPS. ON SEXUAL & REPROD. HEALTH 17, 25–26 (2017); RACHEL JONES, ELIZABETH WITWER & JENNA JERMAN, *ABORTION INCIDENCE AND SERVICE AVAILABILITY IN THE UNITED STATES, 2017*, at 9–10 (2019), https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_pdf/abortion-incidence-service-availability-us-2017.pdf [<https://perma.cc/75V5-K8SP>].

385. Donley, CORNELL, *supra* note 102, at 658.

386. JONES ET AL., *supra* note 384, at 10; see generally *Who Are We*, AIDACCESS, <https://aidaccess.org/en/page/561> (last visited Oct. 28, 2022) [<https://perma.cc/ZYP7-V86T>] (providing background information on AidAccess’s purpose and mission).

387. Donley, CORNELL, *supra* note 102, at 660.

388. *Id.*

389. Cohen et al., *supra* note 117 (manuscript at 4).

390. See Michelle Oberman, *Abortion Bans, Doctors, and the Criminalization of Patients*, 48 HASTINGS CTR. REP. 5, 5 (2018) (explaining that the antiabortion movement’s “official position is that women are abortion’s ‘second victims,’ deserving compassion rather than punishment”).

majority of abortion laws instead criminalize providers.³⁹¹ But states may no longer be able to effectively criminalize providers who help women self-manage abortion because those providers will be outside of their jurisdiction.³⁹² This is the same reason that no one has been able to stop AidAccess from its operations. AidAccess continued to provide services even after the Food & Drug Administration (“FDA”) issued a warning letter under the Trump Administration.³⁹³ Because the FDA was unable to reach the organization, it started reaching patients themselves, seizing their medication and blocking their payments.³⁹⁴ At least one instance exists where prosecutors investigated a woman for illegal abortion after her medication was seized.³⁹⁵ If states want effective abortion restrictions without a self-management loophole, they will most likely criminalize those who have abortions and the in-state residents who help them.³⁹⁶

Criminalizing self-managed abortion, however, will be logistically challenging—most self-managed abortions happen in a person’s own home where their privacy is protected.³⁹⁷ But a small percentage of women will need additional medical care. As Michelle Oberman has described: “When illegal abortion goes wrong, women wind up in the emergency room, bleeding.”³⁹⁸ Even when the most effective medication abortion protocols are used perfectly with a provider’s assistance, roughly one percent of women will have incomplete abortions and closer to five percent will seek out additional care.³⁹⁹ Self-management without a provider’s involvement may result in worse outcomes because people may “take the wrong drugs at the wrong dosage too late into pregnancy, all of which puts them at risk of

391. *Id.*

392. States will surely attempt to criminalize conduct outside of their borders, but genuine legal questions exist as to whether they have that authority. *See* Cohen et al., *supra* note 117 (manuscript at 5).

393. *See* FOOD & DRUG ADMIN., MARCS-CMS 575658, WARNING LETTER AIDACCESS.ORG (2019), <https://www.fda.gov/inspections-compliance-enforcement-and-criminal-investigations/warning-letters/aidaccessorg-575658-03082019> [<https://perma.cc/V4EX-68BM>].

394. Sarah McCammon, *European Doctor Who Prescribes Abortion Pills to U.S. Women Online Sues FDA*, NPR (Sept. 9, 2019), <https://www.npr.org/2019/09/09/758871490/european-doctor-who-prescribes-abortion-pills-to-u-s-women-online-sues-fda> [<https://perma.cc/MK8M-9M8F>].

395. *Id.*

396. *See* Oberman, *supra* note 390, at 5–6 (“The advent of abortion drugs complicates the problems of detecting and enforcing abortion laws because there are no doctors involved.”).

397. Yvonne Lindgren, *When Patients Are Their Own Doctors: Roe v. Wade in an Era of Self-Managed Care*, 107 CORNELL L. REV. 151, 224–25 (2021).

398. Oberman, *supra* note 390, at 5.

399. Donley, CORNELL, *supra* note 102, at 634–35.

hemorrhage and incomplete miscarriage.”⁴⁰⁰ Typically, incomplete abortion is treated at a hospital with a surgical procedure to remove the retained tissue.

In states where abortion is illegal, ideological hospital staff may be eager to report people they suspect self-managed abortions.⁴⁰¹ But one serious practical obstacle exists: incomplete abortions look exactly like incomplete miscarriages. The symptoms and presentation are the exact same, and as of right now, there is no clinical mechanism to test someone for abortion-inducing drugs taken buccally.⁴⁰² Thus, there will be no way to tell if a person who appears at the hospital with pregnancy-related bleeding is experiencing miscarriage or complications from a self-managed abortion.

In a way, medication abortion returns us to the late 1800s—a time in which the line between miscarriages and abortions was blurred. Except this time, the difficulty in distinguishing is much more likely to mean increased suspicion surrounding pregnancy loss and villainization of suspected abortions as opposed to the acceptance of both.⁴⁰³ Indeed in El Salvador, where abortion is illegal, hundreds of women have been prosecuted for miscarriages and stillbirths because of suspected abortion.⁴⁰⁴ An investigation of these prosecutions showed that every single one involved a provider in a public hospital reporting an indigent, nonpaying patient.⁴⁰⁵ Poland, another country where abortion is illegal, recently announced that it would create a register

400. Oberman, *supra* note 390, at 5; see Ahmed, *supra* note 368, at 1145 (“[T]he unmonitored use of abortifacients can result in abortions when the pregnancies are outside of the legal or medically proscribed period for an abortion to take place.”).

401. “There have been several cases where hospital workers have called the police on patients after suspecting intent to end their pregnancy, calling patient-provider confidentiality into question.” Gabriela Weigel, Laurie Sobel & Alina Salganicoff, *Understanding Pregnancy Loss in the Context of Abortion Restrictions and Fetal Harm Laws*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (Dec. 4, 2019), <https://www.kff.org/womens-health-policy/issue-brief/understanding-pregnancy-loss-in-the-context-of-abortion-restrictions-and-fetal-harm-laws/> [<https://perma.cc/2JCL-43DZ>].

402. Donley, CORNELL, *supra* note 102, at 700. It is possible that a vaginal application of the drugs will leave traces behind that are detectable.

403. Suspicion did not seem to surround pregnancy loss during the late 1800s and early 1900s. See FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 27 (explaining the honor in acting to space out pregnancies to preserve the woman’s and children’s health); Katherine Parkin, “Joy Turned to Sorrow”: *Stillborns in Howard County, Indiana, 1890-1940*, 45 J. FAM. HIST. 64, 67 (2019) (“[C]ommunity newspapers never reflect a suspicion that women who delivered stillborns had intentionally terminated their pregnancies.”).

404. See Elisabeth Malkin, *They Were Jailed for Miscarriages. Now, Campaign Aims to End Abortion Ban*, N.Y. TIMES (Apr. 9, 2018), <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/04/09/world/americas/el-salvador-abortion.html> [<https://perma.cc/7LDZ-RJX9>] (“In El Salvador, where a total ban on abortion leads to an immediate suspicion of women whose pregnancies do not end with a healthy baby . . .”).

405. Oberman, *supra* note 390, at 6.

where doctors are required to report all known pregnancies and miscarriages, which scholars were quick to point out could be used to identify and prosecute self-managed abortion.⁴⁰⁶

Like it is in El Salvador, “the emergency room will host a crime scene investigation. Doctors will find themselves torn between strong norms protecting confidentiality and the pressure to see their patients as criminals.”⁴⁰⁷ Even with *Roe* on the books, we already saw this unfold in the United States.⁴⁰⁸ An Iowa woman was arrested after she fell down the stairs and confided in a nurse that the pregnancy had been unplanned and she had considered abortion (the police eventually decided not to press charges).⁴⁰⁹ A woman in Texas was arrested after hospital staff reported her miscarriage to authorities as a suspected abortion.⁴¹⁰ A mother in Pennsylvania was sentenced to eighteen months in prison for buying medication abortion online for her daughter after an ER visit.⁴¹¹ Kenlissia Jones and Purvi Patel were criminally charged after self-inducing an abortion later in pregnancy and seeking medical care.⁴¹² And other cases exist where the discovery of self-managed abortion occurred in an emergency room and led to prosecution.⁴¹³ Without any constitutional protection for abortion, all pregnancy losses will be subject to even greater scrutiny.

We know that implicit bias, classism, and racism will play a huge role in which women are suspected and investigated, just as

406. Weronika Strzyżyńska, *Poland Plans to Set up Register of Pregnancies to Report Miscarriages*, *GUARDIAN* (Dec. 4, 2021), <https://www.theguardian.com/global-development/2021/dec/03/poland-plans-to-set-up-register-of-pregnancies-to-report-miscarriages> [https://perma.cc/8KMN-HJAU].

407. Oberman, *supra* note 390, at 6.

408. See Gabriela Weigel, Laurie Sobel & Alina Salganicoff, *Criminalizing Pregnancy Loss and Jeopardizing Care: The Unintended Consequences of Abortion Restrictions and Fetal Harm Legislation*, 30 *WOMEN'S HEALTH ISSUES* 143, 144 (2020) (noting the increasingly common instances of doctors having to navigate confidentiality issues in the context of abortions).

409. *Iowa Police Almost Prosecute Woman for Her Accidental Fall During Pregnancy...Seriously*, *ACLU ME.* (Feb. 11, 2010), <https://www.aclumaine.org/en/news/iowa-police-almost-prosecute-woman-her-accidental-fall-during-pregnancyseriously> [https://perma.cc/V4FH-5R72].

410. Baker, *supra* note 369.

411. Emily Bazelon, *A Mother in Jail for Helping Her Daughter Have an Abortion*, *N.Y. TIMES* (Sept. 22, 2014), <https://www.nytimes.com/2014/09/22/magazine/a-mother-in-jail-for-helping-her-daughter-have-an-abortion.html> [https://perma.cc/XTM6-HQNN].

412. *NAPW Decries Arrest of Georgia Woman as 'Murderer' for Having an Abortion*, *NAT'L ADVOC. FOR PREGNANT WOMEN* (June 9, 2015), <https://www.nationaladvocatesforpregnantwomen.org/napw-statement-napw-decries-arrest-of-georgia-woman-as-murderer-for-having-an-abortion/> [https://perma.cc/5UDY-FYBJ].

413. See Mark Joseph Stern, *A Quiet Victory*, *SLATE* (June 5, 2015), <https://slate.com/human-interest/2015/06/jennie-linn-mccormack-case-court-strikes-down-idahos-abortion-laws.html> [https://perma.cc/L2KF-M45J] (recounting the story of an Idaho woman prosecuted for self-administering an abortion).

prosecutors already target marginalized women when they criminalize drug use in pregnancy. Those least likely to be suspected of abortion are those who were actively trying to become pregnant, already sought prenatal care, and showed up to the hospital at the advice of their OBGYN—a group much more likely to be wealthy, educated, White women.⁴¹⁴

Women who have not yet obtained prenatal care will likely be prime suspects of self-managed abortion. But most pregnancy losses occur within the first eight weeks, often when one might be considering abortion for an unintended pregnancy but before OBGYNs actually schedule the first prenatal appointment.⁴¹⁵ With almost fifty percent of U.S. pregnancies unintended,⁴¹⁶ and thirty percent of women who continue their pregnancy reporting that they considered abortion, the prenatal care proxy will be extremely overbroad.⁴¹⁷ Plus, lack of insurance delays prenatal care for many. In states that have not expanded Medicaid access, poor women (who are predominately women of color) cannot sign up for Medicaid coverage for pregnancy until after they are pregnant,⁴¹⁸ a delay that partially explains why women of color are more likely to receive no or late prenatal care.⁴¹⁹ A recent ProPublica article explained that in Texas, about twenty-one percent of women do not access prenatal care until the second trimester and ten percent do not start until the third trimester, if at all.⁴²⁰

A failure to have the “appropriate” grief response to pregnancy loss—or worse, a response of relief—will also likely create a suspicion of self-managed abortion. But once abortion is illegal, miscarriages will

414. See Vanessa Williamson & John Hudak, *The War on Abortion Drugs Will be Just as Racist and Classist*, BROOKINGS INST. (May 9, 2022), <https://www.brookings.edu/blog/fixgov/2022/05/09/the-war-on-abortion-drugs-will-be-just-as-racist-and-classist/> [<https://perma.cc/7JTK-32AR>] (exploring the racial and class disparities in pregnancy-related prosecutions and their resemblance to historic drug enforcement).

415. Jade M. Shorter, Helen Pymar, Sarah Prager, Arden McAllister & Courtney A. Schreiber, *Early Pregnancy Care in North America: A Proposal for High-Value Care That Can Level Health Disparities*, 104 *CONTRACEPTION* 128, 129 (2021).

416. *Unintended Pregnancy in the United States*, GUTTMACHER INST. (Jan. 2019), <https://www.guttmacher.org/fact-sheet/unintended-pregnancy-united-states> [<https://perma.cc/WEV7-LFSQ>].

417. Weigel et al., *supra* note 401.

418. Nina Martin & Julia Belluz, *The Extraordinary Danger of Being Pregnant and Uninsured in Texas*, PROPUBLICA (Dec. 6, 2019), <https://www.propublica.org/article/the-extraordinary-danger-of-being-pregnant-and-uninsured-in-texas> [<https://perma.cc/C6Z3-DLC7>].

419. Samantha Artiga, Olivia Pham, Kendal Orgera & Usha Ranji, *Racial Disparities in Maternal and Infant Health: An Overview*, KAISER FAM. FOUND. (Nov. 10, 2020), <https://www.kff.org/report-section/racial-disparities-in-maternal-and-infant-health-an-overview-issue-brief/> [<https://perma.cc/CD9N-U7K3>].

420. Martin & Belluz, *supra* note 418.

more often occur in unwanted pregnancies,⁴²¹ meaning more people will feel relief after miscarriage, just as they did before abortion was legal.⁴²² And as discussed in Part II, a person's emotional reaction to the ending of a pregnancy is not necessarily connected to its cause—people may grieve abortions and feel grateful for miscarriages. “The prosecution of women for self-inducing abortion or abandoning a stillbirth represents a moral panic rooted in the idea that women who are pregnant must behave in line with a true maternal instinct.”⁴²³

Early losses are not the only ones that will be scrutinized. The line between abortion and pregnancy loss can similarly be blurry for later losses. The Chrissy Teigen example is illustrative. Teigen had a placental abruption. If Jack died independently in the womb from the abruption, it would have been a pregnancy loss. But if he died during or after labor induction, it would be an induction abortion.⁴²⁴ When abortions are done to save the woman's health or life before viability, some doctors refer to them as “inevitable” pregnancy losses, intentionally blurring the divide. Even Teigen didn't realize she had an abortion until over a year later.⁴²⁵

In a post-*Roe* world, this blurriness will provide an additional reason for states to question second- and third-trimester pregnancy losses. Though medication abortion is only approved to terminate pregnancies in the first trimester, many women self-managing, who may not know how far along they are, have used it much later, often well into their second or third trimesters. When that happens, it will typically induce labor of an incredibly premature fetus, who may or may not be able to survive outside the womb. Again, this mimics a late miscarriage or early stillbirth. In a famous case, Purvi Patel was arrested and convicted of feticide and child neglect after her self-managed abortion led to the live birth of a barely viable baby who

421. FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 144.

422. *Id.* at 139.

423. Ahmed, *supra* note 368, at 1146.

424. See *Induction Abortion*, UNIV. MICH. HEALTH (Oct. 8, 2020) <https://www.mottchildren.org/health-library/tw2562> [<https://perma.cc/3LZD-88DL>].

425. See Alexandra Schonfeld, *Pregnant Chrissy Teigen Says Loss of Her Baby Son Jack Was Actually a Life-Saving Abortion*, PEOPLE (Sept. 16, 2022, 12:42 PM), <https://people.com/parents/pregnant-chrissy-teigen-loss-baby-jack-actually-abortion/> [<https://perma.cc/J3NT-C7CW>]. Teigen's original account implied in numerous locations that Jack did not die before labor was induced, but she did not categorize Jack's death until years later. See Teigen, *supra* note 2 (“a boy that would have never survived in my belly”); *id.* (“After a couple nights at the hospital, my doctor told me exactly what I knew was coming—it was time to say goodbye. He just wouldn't survive this, and if it went on any longer, I might not either.”); *id.* (“I stupidly compared it to dogs I had ‘put down’ in the past—how I never wanted to let go until we absolutely knew it was time, that they were suffering far too much.”).

allegedly died on her bathroom floor.⁴²⁶ Patel needed urgent medical attention for retained tissue and proceeded to the hospital, where she was immediately suspected of self-management. An investigation led detectives to find baby's body and the remnants of the medication she had ordered online.⁴²⁷ After spending two years behind bars, her feticide conviction was overturned,⁴²⁸ but this and many other similar cases suggest that premature labor, late miscarriage, and stillbirth will be investigated in certain situations.

Given this future where abortion and pregnancy loss are even further entangled, we should expect more prosecutions of adverse pregnancy outcomes. Undoubtedly, some people will be prosecuted who genuinely did not end their pregnancy, and many more pregnancy loss patients will endure questioning and scrutiny that adds to their anxiety, self-blame, and grief. Pregnancy-related criminalization of any kind harms *all* pregnant people, not just abortion patients. Neither abortion nor pregnancy loss patients deserve this scrutiny.

2. Abortion Regulations' Unintended Impact on Pregnancy Loss

Criminalization is not the only way that abortion restrictions harm patients suffering pregnancy loss, further underscoring that these communities already share an interest in fighting abortion restrictions.

For instance, byzantine and onerous abortion laws can delay treatment when pregnancy loss is near certain but viability has not been entirely ruled out. Many women learn during their first ultrasound that their pregnancy is measuring weeks behind where it should be.⁴²⁹ When the woman is certain of her last menstrual period or the day she ovulated, this is almost always an indication of a missed miscarriage. But many providers will force the patient to wait two weeks for a second ultrasound to confirm that the pregnancy is not developing; this is done to ensure that a patient is not mistaken about

426. Associated Press, *Indiana Court Tosses Purvi Patel's 2015 Feticide Conviction*, NBC NEWS (July 22, 2016, 11:36 AM), <https://www.nbcnews.com/news/asian-america/indiana-court-tosses-purvi-patel-s-2015-feticide-conviction-n615026> [<https://perma.cc/FZW9-Y6UD>].

427. *See id.*

428. Associated Press, *Judge Says Purvi Patel Should Be Freed Immediately After Feticide Conviction Overturned*, GUARDIAN (Aug. 31, 2016, 10:19 PM), <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2016/sep/01/purvi-patel-freed-immediately-feticide-conviction-overturned> [<https://perma.cc/562Q-J32V>].

429. *See* Colleen Judge-Golden & Rachel Flink-Bochacki, *The Burden of Abortion Restrictions and Conservative Diagnostic Guidelines on Patient-Centered Care for Early Pregnancy Loss*, 138 J. OBSTETRICS & GYNECOLOGY 467, 467 (2021).

her last missed period and earlier in her pregnancy than believed.⁴³⁰ Without that additional failsafe, the providers fear that treating the miscarriage would be confused with abortion, which comes with legal risks.⁴³¹ But forcing someone who is certain of her last missed period—and, therefore, knows with confidence that she is experiencing a miscarriage—to wait two weeks for treatment is emotionally scarring and serves no clinical purpose.⁴³² Often, the only places that will treat these women immediately are abortion clinics.⁴³³ Since *Roe* was overturned, patients in states that ban abortion have reported having to go back multiple times to document the miscarriage before any active intervention will be offered, increasing risks to the patient.⁴³⁴

Similar delays in care have been widely reported for late miscarriage or stillbirth. Catholic hospitals' refusal to perform abortions has a long and well-documented history of delaying care for pregnancy loss, creating life-threatening medical emergencies.⁴³⁵ For instance, if a woman's water breaks weeks before viability, the standard of care is to induce labor or surgically remove the fetus—the fetus will not be able to survive long enough to live outside the womb, and the woman, in the meantime, risks a life-threatening infection. Religious hospitals, however, refuse to perform this medically necessary care if there is any evidence of cardiac activity, risking the woman's life and prolonging her suffering even though the fetus will not survive.⁴³⁶ Physicians have described numerous cases in which women who were septic and near death with fetal parts halfway through their cervixes were denied surgical treatment because of a faint fetal heartbeat.⁴³⁷

430. *Id.* at 468.

431. *Id.*

432. *See id.* at 470 (“By prioritizing 100% diagnostic certainty over patients’ personal preferences and risk tolerance, early pregnancy loss guidelines unnecessarily and harmfully restrict patient autonomy.”).

433. *Id.*

434. *Texas Woman Speaks Out After Being Forced to Carry Her Dead Fetus for Two Weeks*, CNN, <https://www.cnn.com/videos/health/2022/07/18/woman-carried-dead-fetus-texas-anti-abortion-ban-cohen-new-day-dnt-vpx.cnn> (last visited Aug. 21, 2022) [<https://perma.cc/7LB3-RHFP>].

435. *See, e.g.*, Lori Freedman, Uta Landy & Jody Steinauer, *When There’s a Heartbeat: Miscarriage Management in Catholic-Owned Hospitals*, 98 AM. J. PUB. HEALTH 1774, 1776 (2008); Lee Hasselbacher, Luciana Hebert, Yuan Liu & Debra Stulberg, “My Hands Are Tied”: *Abortion Restrictions and Providers’ Experiences in Religious and Nonreligious Health Care Systems*, 52 PERSPS. ON SEXUAL REPROD. HEALTH 107, 114 (2020).

436. *See* Hasselbacher et al., *supra* note 435, at 109. A new report suggests that non-Catholic hospitals in the South similarly limit access to medically necessary abortions. *See* ELIZABETH REINER PLATT, KATHERINE FRANKE, CANDACE BOND-THERIAULT, LILIA HADJIIVANOVA & AMY LITTLEFIELD, LAW, RTS., & RELIGION PROJECT, THE SOUTHERN HOSPITALS REPORT (2020), <https://lawrightsreligion.law.columbia.edu/content/southern-hospitals-report> [<https://perma.cc/MT7A-ZF4Y>].

437. Freedman et al., *supra* note 435, at 1777.

These horror stories have already become commonplace in many states where abortion is not just inconsistent with hospital policy but also illegal. Even secular hospitals must evaluate the legal risks in treating an inevitable miscarriage with abortion. For months, Texans have reported harrowing interstate travel for abortions in cases of life-threatening ectopic pregnancy and early labor.⁴³⁸ One story involved a Texan boarding a flight while in labor with a nonviable fetus because the hospital refused to treat her with a life-saving abortion.⁴³⁹ A recent report from two Dallas hospitals followed twenty-eight patients experiencing similar events, finding that fifty-seven percent of the patients developed a serious morbidity due to the delays in care and none of their babies survived.⁴⁴⁰ With *Roe* overturned, these experiences are now happening across many states.⁴⁴¹ To be clear, this is how some pregnant people will die in a post-*Roe* America. Hospitals will delay care too long and not be able to save the person's life; or her life will be saved, but her uterus will be sacrificed, along with her future fertility. This is what has happened in Poland and Ireland, where abortion has been illegal, and women have died waiting for their fetus's heart to stop beating.⁴⁴²

438. Caroline Kitchener, *The Texas Abortion Ban Has a Medical Exception. But Some Doctors Worry It's Too Narrow to Use*, LILY (Oct. 22, 2021), <https://www.thelily.com/the-texas-abortion-ban-has-a-medical-exception-but-some-doctors-worry-its-too-narrow-to-use/> [<https://perma.cc/F2TV-X47X>].

439. Sarah McCammon & Lauren Hodges, *Doctors' Worst Fears About the Texas Abortion Law Are Coming True*, NPR (Mar. 1, 2022), <https://www.npr.org/2022/02/28/1083536401/texas-abortion-law-6-months> [<https://perma.cc/6TM7-SLME>].

440. See ANJALI NAMBIAR, SHIVANI PATEL, PATRICIA SANTIAGO-MUNOZ, CATHERINE Y. SPONG & DAVID B. NELSON, AM. J. OF OBSTETRICS & GYNECOLOGY, MATERNAL MORBIDITY AND FETAL OUTCOMES AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN AT TWENTY-TWO WEEKS' GESTATION OR LESS WITH COMPLICATIONS IN TWO TEXAS HOSPITALS AFTER LEGISLATION ON ABORTION 2 (2022), <https://www.ajog.org/action/showPdf?pii=S0002-9378%2822%2900536-1> [<https://perma.cc/GYS2-3PH8>].

441. Pam Belluck, *They Had Miscarriages, and New Abortion Laws Obstructed Treatment*, N.Y. TIMES (July 17, 2022), <https://www.nytimes.com/2022/07/17/health/abortion-miscarriage-treatment.html> [<https://perma.cc/BVA8-7DZD>]; Lindsey Tanner, *Abortion Laws Spark Profound Changes in Other Medical Care*, ASSOCIATED PRESS (July 16, 2022), <https://apnews.com/article/abortion-science-health-medication-lupus-e4042947e4cc0c45e38837d394199033> [<https://perma.cc/P96P-PQJE>]; Frances Stead Sellers & Fenit Nirappil, *Confusion Post-Roe Spurs Delays, Denials for Some Lifesaving Pregnancy Care*, WASH. POST (July 16, 2022, 9:09 AM), <https://www.washingtonpost.com/health/2022/07/16/abortion-miscarriage-ectopic-pregnancy-care> [<https://perma.cc/E6JU-TY2M>].

442. Vanessa Gera, *Pregnant Woman's Death Puts Spotlight on Polish Abortion Law*, AP NEWS (Nov. 2, 2021), <https://apnews.com/article/abortion-business-poland-reproductive-rights-warsaw-b341c34d236d51fdda36c2fc7a8aa88c> [<https://perma.cc/TT6N-5D9Y>]; Jessica Valenti, *Justice for Savita*, NATION (Nov. 15, 2012), <https://www.thenation.com/article/archive/justice-savita/> [<https://perma.cc/69HM-UG2Q>].

Abortion laws not only delay the treatment of pregnancy loss, they also restrict the types of treatments available. As Donley has argued, regulations that limit the distribution of an abortion drug, mifepristone, also make the drug nearly impossible to prescribe for missed or incomplete miscarriage, though it is also the more effective treatment.⁴⁴³ As described above, some women experiencing miscarriage may need help starting or finishing the process of expelling the dead pregnancy tissue.⁴⁴⁴ Healthcare providers can prescribe medication for missed or incomplete miscarriages—the same medications used for abortion.⁴⁴⁵ Typically, providers prescribe the drug misoprostol in these instances because there are no limitations on its use, and it is therefore much easier to access. But recent research has shown that when misoprostol is used in combination with mifepristone (the traditional abortion regimen), it is more effective for miscarriage.⁴⁴⁶ The problem is that mifepristone is the only FDA-approved drug to terminate a pregnancy, and it has been subjected to a variety of regulatory hurdles that make it difficult for providers to prescribe.⁴⁴⁷ As a result, it is often not an option for miscarriage management. There has been a recent effort to highlight that the regulations limiting the distribution of mifepristone—which are unnecessary in their own right⁴⁴⁸—are also harming providers' ability to treat miscarriage.⁴⁴⁹

Now that *Roe* is reversed, and abortion is already illegal in many states, accessing *any* medications to treat missed or incomplete miscarriage is becoming very difficult because of the drugs' association with abortion. Some pharmacists in states that ban abortion have stopped filling prescriptions for drugs used to treat miscarriage out of the fear that they could be sued under abortion laws.⁴⁵⁰ The situation has become so dire that the Biden Administration issued a guidance trying to explain that this was illegal under federal law.⁴⁵¹ CVS recently

443. Donley, CORNELL, *supra* note 102, at 137–38.

444. *Id.* at 138.

445. *Id.*

446. *Id.*

447. *Id.*

448. *Id.*

449. Amanda Allen & Cari Sietstra, Opinion, *Miscarriages Are Awful, and Abortion Politics Make Them Worse*, N.Y. TIMES (June 22, 2021), <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/06/22/opinion/miscarriage-abortion.html> [<https://perma.cc/DA7B-AVKX>].

450. See Charlotte Huff, *In Texas, Abortion Laws Inhibit Care for Miscarriages*, NPR (May 10, 2022), <https://www.npr.org/sections/health-shots/2022/05/10/1097734167/in-texas-abortion-laws-inhibit-care-for-miscarriages> [<https://perma.cc/Y47E-H8VE>].

451. See U.S. DEP'T OF HEALTH & HUM. SERVS. OFF. FOR C.R., GUIDANCE TO NATION'S RETAIL PHARMACIES: OBLIGATIONS UNDER FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS LAWS TO ENSURE ACCESS TO COMPREHENSIVE REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH CARE SERVICES (2022), <https://www.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/pharmacies-guidance.pdf> [<https://perma.cc/8ZAW-CB45>].

issued a memo stating that its computer systems would be updated to include a warning system for abortion-inducing drugs.⁴⁵² Even worse, many states have introduced laws that would ban all abortion-inducing drugs in their state, meaning that these drugs would immediately become unavailable for miscarriage management.⁴⁵³ Thus, in antiabortion states, providers may decide that it is too risky to treat miscarriage, instead forcing women to sit with the delayed trauma of prolonged loss as they wait for their bodies to recognize that their baby has died. This is consistent with the experience of other countries; for instance, in Malta, where abortion is illegal, physicians do not prescribe medication or allow surgery to speed up a miscarriage.⁴⁵⁴ Those options are only given after three to four weeks have passed and the woman is facing health risks.⁴⁵⁵

In addition to regulation of abortion medication, bans on abortion procedures also greatly affect the treatment of miscarriage and stillbirth. First, even though the surgical procedures used for abortion are also helpful treatment for women experiencing pregnancy loss, residency programs without abortion training are much less likely to teach them.⁴⁵⁶ As a result, physicians without abortion training are three times less likely to offer surgical procedures to help women experiencing pregnancy loss, even though many women prefer surgical removal over induction and birth of a dead baby.⁴⁵⁷ Recent laws that ban particular abortion procedures, like the bans on the most common second-trimester abortion procedure (D&E), are likely to have a chilling effect on physicians offering D&Es to women experiencing pregnancy loss, even though the laws only preclude D&Es for live fetuses.⁴⁵⁸ Risk averse physicians will be afraid of the procedure's association with abortion and refuse to offer it. In states that ban abortion, D&Es may not be taught or performed, forcing women with late miscarriages or early stillbirths to deliver their dead babies. An antiabortion movement

452. Laura Weiss, *After Roe's Repeal, CVS Told Pharmacists to Withhold Certain Prescriptions*, NEW REPUBLIC (July 20, 2022), <https://newrepublic.com/article/167087/roe-cvs-methotrexate-abortion-pills> [<https://perma.cc/JC79-4EGB>].

453. Caroline Kitchener, Kevin Schaul & Daniela Santamariña, *The Latest Action on Abortion Legislation Across the States*, WASH. POST, <https://www.washingtonpost.com/nation/interactive/2022/abortion-rights-protections-restrictions-tracker/> (last updated May 2, 2022, at 9:48 PM) [<https://perma.cc/7LNL-ZU54>].

454. Jessica Grose, Opinion, *Overturing Roe Will Make Miscarriage Care Worse*, N.Y. TIMES (Dec. 15, 2021), <https://www.nytimes.com/2021/12/15/opinion/roe-miscarriage-health.html> [<https://perma.cc/SN8F-T2UY>].

455. *Id.*

456. Weigel et al., *supra* note 408, at 145.

457. Weigel et al., *supra* note 401.

458. *Id.*; Weigel et al., *supra* note 408, at 144.

that has recently pushed perinatal hospice programs as an alternative to abortion in the context of fetal anomaly is unlikely to worry about this. To them, it is better for women's "mental health" to force pregnancy, labor and delivery, and the death of a child rather than anything that could resemble abortion.⁴⁵⁹

Abortion laws do not exist in a vacuum; they have unintended effects that harm all pregnant people, especially those experiencing pregnancy loss. And abortion bans mean more pregnancy losses, right as the best treatments disappear. "[A] substantial proportion of abortions represent what would have been miscarried pregnancies in a [prior] generation,"⁴⁶⁰ post-*Dobbs*, some of these miscarriages will again occur. Also, especially in cases of fetal anomaly, the illegality of abortion means an increase in stillbirths and infant deaths.⁴⁶¹ Fetal anomalies affect at least three percent of all pregnancies, many of which previously ended in abortion; abortion bans will therefore force more of these pregnancies to continue and instead end in pregnancy loss.⁴⁶² Already, women with pregnancies suffering from severe fetal anomalies have been forced to travel out of state for abortion care, but many will not be able to make those journeys.⁴⁶³ Finally, and perhaps most importantly, without abortion access, more high-risk pregnancies will exist, suggesting that maternal mortality will also increase.⁴⁶⁴

Abortion laws clearly harm those experiencing pregnancy loss, but abortion rights narratives have historically alienated that community by downplaying fetal value. We argue below that a reproductive justice movement that can champion the pregnant person's subjective valuation of their fetus will create a bigger tent that supports both the pregnancy loss and abortion rights communities, winning hearts and minds in the process.

459. Donley & Lens, *supra* note 166, at 2181.

460. FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 144.

461. Donley & Lens, *supra* note 166, at 2171 n.183.

462. Donley, MINN., *supra* note 116, at 181.

463. See, e.g., Madlin Mekelburg, *Her Fetus No Longer Viable, Texas Woman Describes Crossing State Lines to Have an Abortion*, <https://www.statesman.com/restricted/?return=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.statesman.com%2Fstory%2Fnews%2Fpolitics%2Fstate%2F2021%2F11%2F18%2Ftexas-abortion-law-austin-woman-shares-story-crossing-state-lines%2F6271335001%2F> [https://perma.cc/97ZU-JLJF] (providing the story of a woman forced to cross state lines for abortion care after discovering her fetus was not viable).

464. See Amanda Jean Stevenson, *The Pregnancy-Related Mortality Impact of a Total Abortion Ban in the United States: A Research Note on Increased Deaths Due to Remaining Pregnant*, 58 DEMOGRAPHY 2019, 2023 (2021).

B. Rejoinder to the Woman-Protective Rationale

“It has long been a truism of the abortion debate . . . that those who support abortion rights concentrate on women and those opposed focus on the fetus.”⁴⁶⁵ But antiabortion advocates started to deviate from this truism decades ago to strategically focus on women *and* the fetus.⁴⁶⁶ This shift started before *Roe* and significantly took hold after the Supreme Court decided *Casey*.⁴⁶⁷ When the Court agreed to hear *Casey*, Americans United for Life had “hoped to legislate and litigate *Roe*’s reversal; instead, *Casey* entrenched *Roe* and explained the abortion right as protecting women’s liberty as equal citizens.”⁴⁶⁸ In the 1990s, “the nation was coming to understand women as constitutional rights holders differently than at the time of *Roe*.”⁴⁶⁹ *Casey* was a reflection of those changing norms—in upholding the right to an abortion before viability, the Court articulated the right as necessary for women “to participate equally in the economic and social life of the Nation.”⁴⁷⁰

The antiabortion movement then realized that rebranding itself as pro-woman was necessary to win public support.⁴⁷¹ As Reva Siegal notes: “Seeking to respond to *Casey*—and to appropriate the political authority of feminism—antiabortion advocates increasingly began to argue that women’s liberty, equality, and health required banning abortion.”⁴⁷² Under the guise of “feminist frames,” it “called this new generation of health-justified abortion restrictions pro-woman, pro-life laws.”⁴⁷³ Within a decade of the *Casey* decision, “abortion foes launched a fresh war against legal abortion by presenting themselves as the true protectors of women’s health.”⁴⁷⁴ It focused on its success in *Casey*, emphasizing the need for informed consent and waiting period statutes to protect women from uninformed decisions they would later regret.⁴⁷⁵

465. Frances Kissling, *Is There Life After Roe? How to Think About the Fetus*, CONSCIENCE, Winter 2004/5, at 10, 18.

466. Reva B. Siegal, *Why Restrict Abortion? Expanding the Frame on June Medical*, 2020 SUP. CT. REV. 277, 277.

467. MARY ZIEGLER, AFTER ROE 173–75 (2015).

468. Siegal, *supra* note 466, at 296.

469. *Id.* at 281.

470. Planned Parenthood of Se. Pa. v. Casey, 505 U.S. 833, 856 (1992).

471. MARY ZIEGLER, ABORTION AND THE LAW IN AMERICA 145 (2020).

472. Siegal, *supra* note 466, at 297.

473. *Id.* at 281.

474. ZIEGLER, *supra* note 471, at 145.

475. *Id.*

Common second-trimester abortion procedures have been banned to protect women from supposed psychological distress.⁴⁷⁶

The rise of the woman-protective rationale is well documented in the legal scholarship.⁴⁷⁷ Many have called attention to its reliance on sexist stereotypes.⁴⁷⁸ Most of the laws are based on the idea that pregnant women are vulnerable to the undue influence of abortion providers and that pregnant women need to be encouraged toward their natural role as mothers, because of their inevitable regret and psychological distress following abortion.⁴⁷⁹ Though the changed makeup of the Supreme Court has made antiabortion activists more shameless about promoting fetal life above all else,⁴⁸⁰ the woman-protective rationale remains a vital part of antiabortion strategy and legislation.⁴⁸¹ And more importantly, the antiabortion movement has long seen this rationale as the key to “changing hearts and minds.”⁴⁸² “By stressing arguments about the damage that abortion did to women, pro-lifers hope to convince voters that most movement members were more compassionate, honest, and reasonable than their opponents.”⁴⁸³ The narrative shift made an impact: “The appeal to traditional roles in the language of feminism was powerful, taking persuasive authority from each.”⁴⁸⁴

In essence, we suggest that the abortion rights movement consider pursuing a mirror strategy—that in light of this potential partnership with the pregnancy loss community, there is an opportunity for greater recognition of the fetus in traditional abortion rights messaging. We are not the first to argue that the abortion rights movement needs to find a way to account for the fetus. As described below, abortion providers started this discussion a decade ago. We are the first, however, to bring the debate into the legal scholarship and, crucially, to argue that recognizing subjective, relational fetal value is distinct from legal personhood, which is the main reason supporters avoid it.

In 2011, Francis Kissling—the former president of Catholics for Choice and director of an abortion clinic—argued that the abortion

476. Donley & Lens, *supra* note 166, at 2174–79.

477. *See, e.g.*, Siegal, *supra* note 466, at 281–82.

478. *See, e.g., id.* (“As movement sources show, pro-woman, pro-life laws restrict abortion to protect a pregnant woman’s health *and* to protect unborn life, reasoning from the traditional sex-role-based assumption that becoming a mother promotes a woman’s ‘health.’”).

479. *Id.*

480. *See* Brief of Amici Curiae Scholars, *supra* note 296.

481. Donley & Lens, *supra* note 166, at 2148.

482. ZIEGLER, *supra* note 471, at 144–45.

483. *Id.* at 144.

484. *Id.* at 21.

rights movement should better account for the fetus in its messaging. She noted that “people can hold contradictory things and complex values at the same time” and that an abortion rights movement that is “able to talk both about fetuses and their value and about women is . . . going to win over the majority of the American people.”⁴⁸⁵ Kissling recommended working toward “the development of an abortion praxis that combined respect for the fundamental right of women to choose abortion with an ethical discourse that included the exploration of how other values might also be respected, including the value of developing human life.”⁴⁸⁶ Jeannie Ludlow supported Kissling’s vision, suggesting that “a more accurate understanding of abortion, which includes a full range of women’s relationships to their fetuses and how these various relationships shape individual abortion experiences” could “begin the process of deconstructing the dichotomies that characterize the U.S. abortion debate and strengthen general public support for even challenging situations.”⁴⁸⁷ Fundamentally, Kissling and Ludlow argued that the complete avoidance of the fetus in canonical abortion rights discourse was alienating the many people who did not see abortion as a black and white issue.

Kissling’s statements prompted a backlash among those supporting abortion rights,⁴⁸⁸ but providers continued Kissling’s message. For instance, a group of OBGYNs and abortion providers at the University of Michigan have argued that “[a]cknowledging the fetus, its meaning and value, may also make a powerful contribution to pro-choice discourse.”⁴⁸⁹ It is not surprising that abortion providers are the ones leading this charge. “Despite the erasure of the fetus in many public prochoice narratives, providers see fetuses every day in their work and are able to process complex and sometimes conflicting ideas about the fetus while remaining resolved and committed to abortion care.”⁴⁹⁰ In fact, some have even been critical of Kissling’s account because they thought it missed the abortion provider experience, which has never been “coarsened toward fetal life” and frequently finds many ways to respect it.⁴⁹¹ But the truth is that the abortion provider

485. Talk of the Nation, *Op-Ed: Time for a New Approach to Abortion Rights*, NPR, at 01:39, 01:48 (Feb. 21, 2011), <https://www.npr.org/2011/02/21/133941176/Op-Ed-Time-For-A-New-Approach-To-Abortion-Rights> [<https://perma.cc/8EKM-WNPV>] (interviewing Frances Kissling).

486. Kissling, *supra* note 465, at 12.

487. Ludlow, *supra* note 162, at 28, 43.

488. *Id.* at 29–30.

489. Lisa A. Martin, Jane A. Hassinger, Michelle Debbink & Lisa H. Harris, *Dangertalk: Voices of Abortion Providers*, 184 SOC. SCI. & MED. 75, 82 (2017).

490. Becker & Hann, *supra* note 66, at 3.

491. Joffe & Shields, *supra* note 163, at 1.

narrative is still quite disconnected from the broader national discourse. In fact, providers specifically spoke out because they felt their perspective was marginalized within the broader abortion rights movement.⁴⁹²

Despite these nontraditional voices growing louder, the “canonical pro-choice discourse” continues to focus entirely on the woman.⁴⁹³ This is despite the fact that “the ‘keep your laws off my body’ ” messaging “has, over time, lost some of its impact.”⁴⁹⁴ “When we talk about abortion only through frames of privacy or autonomy, we create a situation that is in some ways the inverse of a woman’s invisibility: now, it is the fetus that is invisible.”⁴⁹⁵ Failure to acknowledge the fetus “in the course of battling so-called ‘fetal personhood’ initiatives will not be strategic with middle audiences” who see this omission “as disconnected at best, and dishonest or manipulative at worst.”⁴⁹⁶ “The question for the movement is how you can acknowledge the fetus appropriately without losing your primary focus on the woman.”⁴⁹⁷ Just as the antiabortion movement moved to incorporate the visibility of women into their messaging after *Casey*, we suggest that the abortion rights movement can similarly allow the fetus to be visible without undermining its own position. “The goal is to construct a frame that holds not just the fetus, and not just the woman, but the two of them together.”⁴⁹⁸

We suggest the answer lies in the lived experience of pregnancy and in how tort law values the fetus: subjectively and in relationship with the pregnant person. As described in Part III, some women develop an attachment with the fetus over the course of pregnancy, but at different rates and for different reasons. And some women never do. If an attachment has formed and that baby dies naturally or through abortion, she grieves the lost relationship—both the present relationship and the imagined future relationship. It is *her* loss that is compensated if the baby dies and her grief to carry. The death of the fetus happens in her body. No one can claim to have a greater interest in the fetus than her. “To deny [that social construction of personhood] take[s] place is simply foolish.”⁴⁹⁹ Rather, “[a] cross-culturally informed, progressive, ‘social constructionist’ model of personhood would help

492. Martin et al., *supra* note 489, at 82.

493. REAL REASON, *supra* note 76, at 8.

494. *Id.*

495. *Id.* at 16 (emphasis omitted).

496. *Id.* at 9.

497. *Id.* at 16.

498. *Id.* at 20.

499. LAYNE, *supra* note 161, at 241.

feminists deal with the thorny issues of how to reconcile” the moral and social importance of fetuses and an abortion rights stance.⁵⁰⁰ By embracing a subjective, relational understanding of fetal attachment, the abortion rights movement can open itself up to recognizing and supporting women through all kinds of emotionally difficult pregnancy endings—miscarriage, stillbirth, and abortion.

Antiabortion messaging has attempted to use the intuitive conception of separateness (i.e., the fetus as separate from the woman) to create an adversarial relationship between the separate entities.⁵⁰¹ But that extrapolation is itself counterintuitive. In all other areas of law, parents are presumed to have natural love and affection for their children that make them the best decisionmakers for their kids.⁵⁰² The fact that so many women who terminate identify their pregnancy as a baby and contemplate their relationship to the potential child before terminating “complicate[s] the simplistic politics of abortion by emphasizing the similarities between abortion and motherhood and collapsing the differences between concern for women . . . and concern for fetal life. In other words, . . . abortion as one possible outcome of potential motherhood.”⁵⁰³

By reemphasizing the relationship between the woman and her fetus in the context of a wanted pregnancy *and* possibly abortion, it reminds the listener that women consider their options seriously when choosing abortion because it is *their* relationship at issue. The interests of the fetus are often on the forefront of women’s minds when considering termination. They are worried about bringing a child into the world when they cannot give it the love, financial security, physical protection, time, or energy they believe their child deserves. They may think they could be a better mother to a future child, who might not exist but for the abortion. And most who have abortions are already mothers; for them, their other children are also central to their decision. In fact, a “high proportion of women cit[e] their obligation to their current children as a primary reason for terminating a pregnancy.”⁵⁰⁴ Jamie Abrams has described the impossible bind women can find themselves in when they think abortion is the best parenting decision

500. *Id.* (citation omitted).

501. See Isabel Karpin, *Legislating the Female Body: Reproductive Technology and the Reconstructed Woman*, 3 COLUM. J. GENDER & L. 325, 326 (1992) (“Attempts by science and the law to legislate the female body as a separate and adversarial container for the fetus are attempts to reconstruct Woman in a system of patriarchal description and control.”).

502. Donley, MINN., *supra* note 116, at 192.

503. Ludlow, *supra* note 162, at 43–44.

504. Andrea Becker, *My Abortion Made Me a Good Mom’: An Analysis of the Use of Motherhood Identity to Dispel Abortion Stigma*, 20 REPROD., HEALTH, & MED. 219, 225 (2019).

for their living children. In this scenario, which child's best interest should they honor, the living or the unborn?⁵⁰⁵ It is ironic that the antiabortion movement paints these parents as villains for trying to do what is best for the kids who already exist.

This reframing of abortion as an act of motherly love (either in the best interests of living children, future children, or even the child aborted) cuts against abortion stigma by challenging the assumption that abortion and motherhood are antithetical.⁵⁰⁶ In fact, studies on abortion storytelling show that the predominate, autonomy-based rhetoric of the abortion rights movement are “apparent but not dominant” in women's accounts.⁵⁰⁷ Rather, women often discuss their abortion in the context of morality—i.e., that their abortion was morally unremarkable, morally justified, or morally desirable.⁵⁰⁸ Moreover, the fact that “many storytellers conceptualized the moral status of their fetus as a life or potential life” was “not incompatible with framing abortion as morally desirable.”⁵⁰⁹

This approach has some risks—the main ones being that it reinforces traditional gender norms and the idea of the “good” abortion.⁵¹⁰ It also completely erases those who have abortions to avoid parenthood altogether. We are not suggesting, however, that the autonomy-based narrative should disappear; rather, it can be supplemented with nuance that recognizes that women make abortion choices for a variety of reasons, many of which consider their relationship with the fetus, their living children, and their communities in addition to their own needs and interests. The antiabortion messaging, which frames abortion decisions as selfish, is simplistic and inaccurate.

505. Abrams, *supra* note 63, at 1329.

506. Becker, *supra* note 504, at 234–35.

507. Sarah Larissa Combellick, “*My Baby Went Straight to Heaven*”: *Morality Work in Abortion Online Storytelling*, SOC. PROBS., 2021, at 2; *see also*, Becker, *supra* note 504, at 235 (“Since normative sexual morality divides women into dichotomous categories of *the good girls, wives, and mothers* and the *bad girls and fallen women* . . . women who wish to dispel abortion stigma actively seek to bridge these two categories.” (citation omitted)).

508. Combellick, *supra* note 507, at 2.

509. *Id.* at 14.

510. *See* Sanger, *The Birth of Death*, *supra* note 51, at 310 (discussing how this approach suggests that any person who experiences a pregnancy loss *should* feel like they lost a child); Rigel C. Oliveri, *Crossing the Line: The Political and Moral Battle over Late-Term Abortion*, 10 YALE J.L. & FEMINISM 397, 431 (1998) (discussing how abortion rights activists have inadvertently played into stereotypes that limit women's reproductive options and amplify traditional gender norms).

C. Normalizing All Pregnancy Outcomes

Each year in the United States, millions of pregnancies end in miscarriage.⁵¹¹ Hundreds of thousands of pregnancies each year end with abortion.⁵¹² Tens of thousands of others end in stillbirth.⁵¹³ Other babies are born alive but not expected to live long. A large proportion of people will experience at least one of these endings in their reproductive lives, along with pregnancies that end with the birth of a living, healthy baby. A natural reproductive life involves a variety of pregnancy outcomes. It is not abortion *or* a healthy baby *or* pregnancy loss. It is abortion *and* pregnancy loss *and* healthy babies all in one lifetime. “[D]espite the rhetoric of the antiabortion movement drawing clear lines between women who are mothers and women who abort pregnancies, in reality pregnancy loss or termination and motherhood often coexist for the same woman.”⁵¹⁴

Abortion is not usually thought of as a pregnancy loss, but it easily qualifies as a pregnancy ending and is just as common. Normalizing all pregnancy endings—those that end with a live birth and those that don’t—will go a long way to end the shame and stigma that surround both pregnancy loss and abortion.

“Re-integrating abortion with other common pregnancy outcomes advances our thinking about what a ‘normal’ pregnancy is. As a result, we can have a much richer idea of the possible scenarios when a woman finds she is pregnant—and that has big payoffs for everybody involved, not only advocates for abortion rights.”⁵¹⁵

When pregnancy loss is normalized, abortion is no longer “an abrupt interruption before a natural goal is reached” that “subverts nature.”⁵¹⁶ To the contrary, it is just one of the many ways pregnancies end before birth. “While our first goal may be to work on bringing abortion in from the margins, we will eventually need to find ways to enhance our shared consciousness of the *full range* of a real pregnancy’s possible outcomes.”⁵¹⁷

Women after miscarriage and stillbirth may not appreciate being associated with abortion, possibly resenting the decision to voluntarily end a pregnancy. But acknowledging both abortion and pregnancy loss as pregnancy endings does not conflate them. And their

511. ZUCKER, *supra* note 156, at 79.

512. CDCs *Abortion Surveillance*, *supra* note 99.

513. *What Is Stillbirth?*, *supra* note 15.

514. WITHYCOMBE, *supra* note 133, at 32–33.

515. REAL REASON, *supra* note 76, at 28.

516. *Id.* at 23.

517. *Id.* at 24.

hesitancy might loosen as they realize that abortion bans have collateral consequences that harm them too. Abortion, miscarriage, and stillbirth are different, albeit not nearly as different as mainstream discourse implies.

Normalizing all pregnancy outcomes should help alleviate some of the stigma associated with outcomes other than live birth. The current “normal” pregnancy ending of a “warm and healthy infant in its mother’s arms” means that “anything else at all is a kind of aberration,” leading to self-blame and silence.⁵¹⁸ The emphasis should instead be that there is more than one normal ending to pregnancy.⁵¹⁹ That ending may be undesired, but it is normal. And the more that all pregnancy outcomes (from abortion to pregnancy loss to premature birth) are normalized, the less women will feel isolated and stigmatized for non-“normal” outcomes. At the very least, raised awareness should help with some of the shock that women can feel after miscarriage and stillbirth, shock that is likely at least somewhat caused by the abstract single-path idea of pregnancy.

Plus, normalizing all pregnancy outcomes could help fight criminalization efforts by making it more difficult for prosecutors to prove causation after pregnancy loss. For instance, in one “homicide by child abuse” case after stillbirth, the “prosecut[ion] advanced a seriously distressing proposition related to perfection in pregnancy” that assumed “all pregnancies produce healthy babies and that absent so-called depraved conduct on the part of pregnant women, stillbirths do not occur.”⁵²⁰ That’s obviously not true. Many people who smoke, use recreational or pharmaceutical drugs, or consume alcohol in pregnancy go on to have healthy babies; many people who do none of those things experience miscarriage and stillbirth. Causation should be difficult to prove—especially when the burden of proof is beyond a reasonable doubt. This reality, however, is hidden by silence and stigma.

The abortion rights movement could promote this normalization in a variety of ways. In litigation, abortion rights groups can better acknowledge the reality of pregnancy loss. When describing the burdens on women if forced to continue a pregnancy, they should not only mention those burdens related to giving birth and raising a child. Rather, some forced to continue a pregnancy will instead bear the burden of pregnancy loss. By reinforcing this possibility in abortion

518. *Id.*

519. FREIDENFELDS, *supra* note 18, at 193 (“We need a new picture of pregnancy that includes the 70 percent of conceptions that do not make it to nine months.”).

520. Goodwin, *supra* note 95, at S19, S21.

messaging, it will help to break this abstract single-path idea of pregnancy within abortion discourse.

Additional examples include Connecticut's remarkable legislative moves to support numerous reproductive endings. In the same month that Connecticut passed the nation's first shield law protecting abortion providers from extraterritorial lawsuits and expanding abortion access,⁵²¹ Connecticut also passed the nation's largest income tax credit for stillbirth.⁵²² We also admire a California bill, signed into law in September 2022 that prohibits any criminal or civil liability for a pregnant person based on miscarriage, stillbirth, or abortion.⁵²³ The law also provides a cause of action for anyone whose reproductive rights are violated.⁵²⁴ Another example is a recent federal bill that provides three days of paid leave after a pregnancy loss, an unsuccessful assisted reproductive technology attempt, a failed adoption match, a failed surrogacy arrangement, or "a diagnosis or event that impacts pregnancy or fertility."⁵²⁵ This last instance is very broad, seemingly including a fetal diagnosis that may motivate an abortion. The bill is admirably inclusive, recognizing that growing one's family is not always easy and that abortion may be involved. These efforts have an important destigmatizing effect, and abortion rights groups should look for ways to partner with the pregnancy loss community on efforts like these.

Normalization would also involve an emphasis that abortion is not just health care but one part of reproductive life—a life that can include infertility, pregnancy, fetal anomalies, miscarriage, stillbirth, childbirth, abortion, nursing, postpartum care, etc. This broader emphasis of abortion as just one piece of the reproductive puzzle is especially important because women can and do experience several of these outcomes. The reproductive justice movement is already doing some of this work, highlighting that the right not to have a child is not

521. Veronica Stracqualursi & Paul LeBlanc, *Connecticut Governor Signs Law Protecting Abortion Seekers and Providers from Out-of-State Lawsuits*, CNN (May 5, 2022, 6:01 PM), <https://www.cnn.com/2022/05/05/politics/connecticut-abortion-protection-law-out-of-state-lawsuits/index.html> [https://perma.cc/4J8K-3KRR].

522. Brittney Crystal, *The Stillbirth Tax Credit Creates Parity and Supports Families*, BLOOMBERG L. (June 7, 2022, 4:45 AM), <https://news.bloomberglaw.com/tax-insights-and-commentary/the-stillbirth-tax-credit-creates-parity-and-supports-families> [https://perma.cc/VX44-JJSK].

523. A.B. No. 2223, 2022 Leg., Reg. Sess. (Cal. 2022); *New Protections for People Who Need Abortion Care and Birth Control*, OFF. OF GOVERNOR GAVIN NEWSOM (Sept. 27, 2022), <https://www.gov.ca.gov/2022/09/27/new-protections-for-people-who-need-abortion-care-and-birth-control/> [https://perma.cc/UTC3-DPRL].

524. A.B. No. 2223, 2022 Leg., Reg. Sess. (Cal. 2022).

525. S. 2390, 117th Cong. § 102(a)(1), (b)(1)(E) (2021).

any more important than the right to have a child and to parent that child with dignity.⁵²⁶ As mentioned, though, the movement has somewhat shied away from miscarriage and stillbirth, with only a few mentions within its literature and advocacy, presumably due to fears related to personhood. If the reproductive justice community could “dispel this fear [of personhood] and overcome our paralysis, there are numerous ways feminists can help alleviate some of the unnecessary suffering and alienation that now accompanies pregnancy loss.”⁵²⁷

Moreover, this fear of personhood makes it extremely difficult to rally support for legal measures that could help prevent stillbirth. It’s almost impossible to say the word “baby” or even “fetus” without triggering fears of the slippery slope, especially now as abortion rights are crumbling. Normalization and integration of pregnancy loss and abortion rights can specifically help with pregnancy loss legal measures, highlighting that both sides have an opportunity to gain from this partnership.

Family planning providers can also play a role in this normalization by expanding their scope of practice. One innovative approach is being tested at the University of Pennsylvania’s Division of Family Planning.⁵²⁸ The family planning center modeled itself after early pregnancy care centers that exist in other countries.⁵²⁹ The center not only provides family planning counseling and abortions but also prenatal care for pregnant people who are too early in their pregnancies for their first OB appointment. The primary issue they see is early pregnancy bleeding. Because their facilities already have ultrasound imaging, they can easily determine whether the bleeding is indicative of a miscarriage, helping women avoid treatment at an emergency department, where people (especially women of color) report greater dissatisfaction with their care.⁵³⁰ And because pregnancy loss management and abortion care are treated with the same medication and surgical procedures, their providers are more than competent to treat these patients if a loss is occurring.⁵³¹ Not only does this model integrate abortion, prenatal care, and pregnancy loss management in an interesting way, but it would also provide a safe haven for pregnant people experiencing a suspected loss who might be worried about criminalization. Indeed, in a post-*Roe* America, providers in red states might establish similar centers with the goal of treating both pregnancy

526. Lens, WASH. U., *supra* note 17, at 1067–68.

527. LAYNE, *supra* note 161, at 241.

528. Shorter et al., *supra* note 415, at 129.

529. *Id.*

530. *Id.* at 129.

531. *Id.* at 129–30.

loss and complications from self-managed abortion without asking questions or ever knowingly offering abortion care.

CONCLUSION

The “Unborn Children Garden” is located on the grounds of the Buddhist Zojoji Temple in Tokyo, Japan.⁵³² It is filled with stone Jizo statues that represent aborted fetuses, miscarriages, and stillborn babies.⁵³³ People “come to stand before these monuments to express their grief, fears, confusions[,] and hopes of forgiveness.”⁵³⁴ Abortion is entirely legal before twenty weeks in Japan and “is not sundered by the kind of debates about abortion that are common in the West.”⁵³⁵

As the Garden signifies, pregnancy loss and abortion have much more in common than in contrast. This Article breaks down the presumed tension that has divided abortion rights and pregnancy loss advocates and recasts them as great potential allies in the fight for reproductive justice moving forward. Abortion rights supporters need not fear that any recognition of fetal value—a recognition that the experience of pregnancy loss demands—would cause a slippery slope to fetal personhood. Fetal value within pregnancy loss is subjective and relational, irreconcilably distinct from the fixed and biological understanding of fetal personhood pushed by those opposing abortion. Though some risk exists that this nuance would be manipulated, we explain that the abortion rights movement has more to gain than lose from acknowledging subjective, relational fetal value, especially in a post-*Roe* world where abortion and pregnancy loss are inexorably intertwined.

Changing hearts and minds is imperative post-*Roe*. Abortion rights advocates need to experiment with new narratives that might capture new allies. We suggest a framing that champions both the pregnant person and *their* interest in the fetus, if any interest exists. This framing could appeal to the many people who hold nuanced views on the meaning of pregnancy without also threatening abortion rights.

532. *Garden of Unborn Children*, ATLAS OBSCURA (Oct. 3, 2018), <https://www.atlasobscura.com/places/garden-of-unborn-children> [<https://perma.cc/RZH5-AGD8>].

533. Sheryl Wudunn, *In Japan, a Ritual of Mourning for Abortions*, N.Y. TIMES (Jan. 25, 1996), <https://www.nytimes.com/1996/01/25/world/in-japan-a-ritual-of-mourning-for-abortions.html> [<https://perma.cc/XRP7-FAZR>].

534. *Id.*

535. *Id.*