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Ambivalence and Activism: Employment Discrimination in China

Timothy Webster*

ABSTRACT

Chinese courts have not vigorously enforced many human rights, but a recent string of employment discrimination lawsuits suggests that, given the appropriate conditions, advocacy strategies, and rights at issue, victims can vindicate constitutional and statutory rights to equality in court. Specifically, carriers of the hepatitis B virus (HBV) have used the 2007 Employment Promotion Law to ground legal challenges against employers who discriminate against them in the hiring process. Plaintiffs’ relatively high success rate suggests official support for making one prevalent form of discrimination illegal. Central to these lawsuits is a broad network of lawyers, activists, and scholars who actively support plaintiffs, suggesting a limited role for civil society in the world of Chinese law. Although many problems remain with employment discrimination, China has made concrete steps toward repealing a legal edifice of discrimination that stretches back decades and reshaping policies and attitudes to eradicate a prevalent form of discrimination, targeting carriers of infectious disease.

* Lecturer-in-Law & Senior Research Scholar, Yale Law School; Senior Fellow, The China Law Center. I thank Debbie Davis, Cindy Estlund, Paul Gewirtz, Li Cheng, Lu Jun, Annelise Riles, Randy Peerenboom, Sida Liu, and Yan Tian for informative comments and discussions at various stages. I also appreciate feedback from audiences at the Social Science Workshop on China at Yale, Clarke Colloquium Series at Cornell Law School, the Law and Society’s Association Early Career Workshop, and the Overseas Young Chinese Forum at the University of Chicago. I dedicate this piece to Lu Jun, and the indomitable courage and broad vision he has brought to the protection of disadvantaged people throughout China.
In 2003, two young college graduates almost got jobs in China's elite civil service. Zhou Yichao, handsome and twenty-three years old, scored well on both the written test and the oral interview, ranking eighth of the 157 applicants. Zhang Xianzhu, twenty-five years old and bespectacled, ranked first among the thirty applicants in his hometown. Both men then took medical examinations, the final phase of the Chinese hiring process. Their medical examinations revealed that both men carried the hepatitis B virus (HBV), and according to provincial regulations on civil service

examinations, this rendered them ineligible for government posts. Both were surprised by this result.

Zhou Yichao first contemplated suicide, but upon reconsideration, he bought a paring knife, went to the government office to ask about the results, and then stabbed two civil servants, killing one. Zhang Xianzhu also took an unexpected course of action. He retained a prominent discrimination lawyer and sued the government agency for violating his constitutional rights. Around these two cases—the murder trial of Zhou Yichao and the administrative litigation initiated by Zhang Xianzhu—a legal movement coalesced. China's HBV community, which at that point consisted of a couple of online chat rooms and discussion boards, seized upon these cases to launch a multifaceted campaign to introduce antidiscrimination laws, eliminate employment discrimination, and change social attitudes more generally. Somewhat remarkably, HBV advocates have largely succeeded in the first mission, lobbying government bodies to institute legal protections. However, like discriminatory attitudes more generally, discrimination by employers remains deeply rooted in contemporary China.

Since these events unfolded in 2003, advocates have petitioned government bodies, conducted campaigns to increase public understanding of the actual health risks of HBV, and litigated dozens of discrimination lawsuits. The government's response has been impressive. In at least three national laws (falü) and six administrative regulations (guize), Chinese government bodies have addressed the employment rights of disadvantaged Chinese, including women, ethnic minorities, the disabled, and carriers of infectious diseases (like HBV and AIDS). Central to the government's various legislative responses has been the careful mobilization of the HBV community, which has drafted recommendations and petitions to government agencies, sent open letters to Chinese government leaders, and commented on draft legislation prepared by the national legislature. This campaign opened a discussion about the nature of discrimination in China, as well as the role that citizens play in eradicating it.

Discrimination is a prevalent but poorly understood social problem in China. The shift from a state-controlled to a market-based economy in the past thirty years has impacted virtually every aspect of Chinese society, from raging economic growth to a floating population larger than most countries. But it has also opened up new opportunities for people to assert their free will, whether by purchasing new products, enjoying new forms of leisure, or engaging in new occupations. It has also given employers, once bound by the diktat of centralized economic planning, considerable autonomy to hire, as well as to discriminate in that process.
Of course, discriminatory practices and attitudes do not materialize out of thin air. One of this Article’s primary arguments is that the Chinese government—at all levels—plays a leading role in promoting discrimination.\(^2\) By mandating an earlier retirement age for women, as it has done since the early 1950s, the Chinese government signals to society that women are frail, merit special treatment, and cannot work as hard or as long as men. Likewise, the household registration system (\textit{hukou}) stamps a person as either rural or urban and links his access to social benefits to the locality where he is registered. Given the enormous gap between rich cities and poor villages, as well as the difficulty of changing one’s \textit{hukou} status, Chinese villagers face dim job prospects and a thicket of municipal restrictions on hiring out-of-towners. Official barriers also remain intact against carriers of infectious diseases. As seen above, civil service positions require applicants to be free of infectious diseases, even when the job itself presents no risk of contagion.

Facing these policies and social attitudes that reflect distrust and disfavor, advocates of various stripes—nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), public interest lawyers, concerned citizens, government-operated nongovernmental organizations (GONGOs), and others—have mobilized to challenge discrimination. In close contact with the media and online channels, these advocates have raised awareness, lobbied legislative and administrative bodies to repeal discriminatory regulations and pass protective ones, and filed dozens of lawsuits claiming employment discrimination. This Article argues that these mobilization efforts, as well as the legislative and administrative responses by government bodies, indicate a newfound responsiveness of the Chinese government to equal employment, a core issue of human rights. More importantly, the fact that courts have routinely found for victims of discrimination suggests that the level of commitment is more than merely “discursive,” or paper on the books. Although we can, and will, rightly question the efficacy of remedies ordered by Chinese courts, it is clear that officials across various sectors of the Chinese government agree that discrimination against HBV carriers, if no one else, is worth proscribing.

This Article proceeds in five parts. Because the field of employment discrimination is so vast, this Article narrows the scope by focusing on three large disadvantaged groups: women, migrant workers, and carriers of infectious diseases. Discrimination itself is a multifaceted phenomenon. Therefore, in analyzing the current status of discrimination in China and the laws that prohibit it, this Article adopts several methodologies, including interviews with lawyers and activists involved in discrimination issues, analysis of verdicts brought by victims of discrimination, sociological materials on social movements, and conventional methods for the excavation and interpretation of current (and annulled) Chinese law and regulation. An interdisciplinary approach permits reflection both on the nature of existing Chinese law and on the processes and people that have led to its reformulation and revision.

Part I briefly describes the historical context for the discussion and reviews competing concepts of employment discrimination as developed in the West.

Part II describes the most common—and perhaps the most serious—manifestations of employment discrimination in contemporary China. These manifestations can be overt: job advertisements openly express preferences or limitations based on gender, health status, age, height, household registration, and so on. The prevalence of discriminatory preferences in job advertisements reflects both the popularity of discriminatory attitudes and the impunity employers enjoy in screening candidates in this manner. Alternatively, more covert forms of discrimination are practiced in the selection process itself. Employers deploy a wide range of techniques to exclude women, hepatitis B carriers, and other disfavored groups (women under 5'2" or over thirty years old; men under 5'5" or over thirty-five years old). Medical examinations, photographs, CVs, written examinations, and other "objective" forms of documentation provide employers with the pretextual grist needed to weed out undesirable applicants. During the interview itself, employers may ask female candidates about their marital status and future plans for children. These processes produce distinctively discriminatory workspaces, which are reproduced and normalized in factories, stores, and offices.

Part III outlines the law of employment discrimination prior to the Employment Promotion Law. It attends to laws that both promote and proscribe discrimination, highlighting the essentially ambivalent role that the Chinese government has played in this regard. On the one hand, China has instituted a number of discriminatory laws and practices in its sixty-year history. Women,

for instance, must retire before men, a form of paternalism perhaps plausible in the 1950s, when the regulation was first passed, but no longer apposite. Likewise, State Council regulations issued in the 1980s—and still in effect—foreclose job opportunities to infectious diseases carriers due to concerns about contagion. These restrictions sweep broadly and do not accurately reflect the medical risk that potential workers may pose to their colleagues and customers. On the other hand, national legislation—such as the Labor Law and the Women's Law—has prohibited discrimination against various disadvantaged groups since the early 1990s. But they have provided little legal protection and few remedial opportunities or mechanisms. More recent regulations have further sought to entrench the rights of migrant workers, with varying degrees of success.

Part IV explains how advocates for HBV carriers have effected legal change in the area of employment discrimination. Using sociological and political theory, this Part describes how a social movement coalesced around the issue of HBV discrimination. Spurred by the cases of Zhou Yichao and Zhang Xianzhu, HBV advocates have activated a wide range of civil society actors—carriers, public interest lawyers, concerned citizens, online discussion boards, and NGOs—to champion the rights of HBV carriers. Using media pressure and online tools to mobilize public opinion, HBV advocates adopted a multipronged strategy to challenge many forms of discrimination, including employment discrimination. By litigating cases and encouraging thwarted jobseekers to come forward and sue, they frame the struggle as one with deeply human consequences. Judges are often sympathetic to the discrimination claims of HBV carriers because employment rights are the core socioeconomic rights that China claims to privilege. Activists also petition Chinese government bodies to suggest ways to resolve problems with existing law, and they point out areas that law should cover. These various strategies have convinced several government agencies to issue regulations to protect the rights of roughly 120 million carriers.

Part V examines the 2007 Employment Promotion Law and its progeny of lawsuits. The law expands existing legal protections, but it also offers victims of employment discrimination a new tool with which to challenge discrimination: access to courts. By suing, affected jobseekers can vindicate labor rights that existed almost

4. See infra notes 115–25 and accompanying text.
5. See infra notes 115, 117, 125.
6. See infra notes 213–18 and accompanying text.
exclusively as theory or principle for the past two decades. It is too early to decide whether litigation will meaningfully alter the practices and attitudes of Chinese employers, but the threat of losing a lawsuit may deter some from discriminating against applicants. Still, a great deal of work must be done to advance this key human right. Accordingly, the end of this Part offers ways to make the law more effective.

I. BACKGROUND

A. Historical Background

Throughout its short history, the People's Republic of China (PRC) has always had antidiscrimination law. The 1954 Constitution, in a nod to the recently annexed Tibet and Xinjiang, guaranteed the right to equality for all ethnic groups: “The People's Republic of China is a unified multiethnic nation. All ethnicities shall be equal. It is prohibited to discriminate against or oppress any ethnicity . . . .”9 This is the sole appearance of the word “discriminate” in the 1954 Constitution,10 and one of two in the current Constitution,11 but it was not the only provision to address discrimination. Its close correlate, “equality,” also appeared—as it has in all four of China's constitutions—to guarantee equality between men and women in the social, political, cultural, and educational fields.12 Gender equality has long been a central concern for the Chinese government, but it remains an elusive goal to achieve.

During the early years of the PRC, women gained traction in the fields of culture, education, and employment, but made less progress in social rights, and remained largely invisible in the political sphere (as they do today).13 In other words, a wide gulf separates the

---

9. XIANFA art. 3 (1954) (emphasis added).
11. Id. art. 4 (“Discrimination against and oppression of any nationality are prohibited.”); id. art. 36 (prohibiting discriminating “against citizens who believe in, or do not believe in, any religion”). Both provisions remain aspirational.
12. See XIANFA art. 48 (1982) (“The state protects women’s rights and interests, implements equal pay for equal work between men and women, and cultivates and promotes female cadres.”); XIANFA art. 53 (1978) (specifying further the principle of equal pay for equal work (tonggong tongchou)); XIANFA art. 27 (1975) (providing that women and men enjoy equal rights in all aspects); XIANFA art. 96 (1954) (providing that men and women enjoy equal rights in politics, economics, culture, society, family life, and all other aspects).

No woman has ever served on the all-powerful Politburo Standing Committee, and Ms. Wu [Yi] was the only woman on the outgoing 24-member Politburo,
aspirations of equality enshrined in the Chinese Constitution from the promotion of equality and proscription of discrimination in everyday life. Moreover, because individuals cannot assert constitutional rights, the Constitution, without some kind of implementing legislation, continues to inspire but not safeguard basic rights.

In the early days of the PRC, the Chinese government made concerted efforts to increase the role and visibility of women in the workplace. The central government issued administrative regulations to staff women in fields traditionally dominated by men, such as the commercial and service sectors. Women were also encouraged to enter professions formerly monopolized by men, such as tractor drivers, railroad engineers, and pilots. In this period, women worked in “every sector of the national economy, every industry, and every profession, revealing a huge potential labor force that had never been recognized or understood by the society or by women themselves.”

Under the planned economy, government personnel offices made most employment decisions, which filled quotas in line with national economic policy. If an employee possessed little education, she usually was assigned to the same work unit as her parent. A more educated employee could take an examination for entry into more specialized positions like teacher, scientist, or engineer.

At the same time, China also put in place restrictions that, however well-intentioned, laid the foundation for today’s discriminatory edifices, practices, and attitudes. The differential retirement age for men and women is the leading example of these unintended consequences. Aimed at shortening the time a woman had to work in acknowledgement of her role in delivering and rearing

---

ranked one notch below the Standing Committee. Before her, just three women had been full Politburo members, and all were wives of top leaders, including Mao’s wife, Jiang Qing . . . .

14. ZHOU WEI, XIANFA JIBEN QUANLI SIFA JIUJI YANJIU [STUDIES ON JUDICIAL REMEDIES FOR BASIC RIGHTS IN THE CONSTITUTION] 118–19 (2003). Instead, lawyers must rely on statutes that implement constitutional protections in order to file cases in People’s Courts. Id. at 119.
16. Id.
17. Id. at 210–11.
19. Id.
20. Id.
children, the policy, when initially promulgated in 1957, was seen as a boon to women.\textsuperscript{21} Fifty years later, the policy has come to signify something different: that women are somehow less capable or suitable than men for both labor and cadre positions. As a result, many women are let go, often forcibly, five to ten years before their male counterparts, even if they want to keep working.\textsuperscript{22}

Likewise, when instituted in 1958, the household registration system (\textit{hukou}) restricted the movement of all Chinese citizens, keeping urban residents in cities and rural residents in the countryside.\textsuperscript{23} Since that time, the Chinese government has systematically privileged the development of urban areas over rural ones. Better funded education, transportation, health care, social benefits, and other services have made China’s cities much more attractive places to live.\textsuperscript{24} However, because the government restricted travel, and made changing one’s \textit{hukou} status very difficult, rural residents were condemned to a lifetime of poverty,\textsuperscript{25} enjoying none of the “access to economic and social opportunities, activities and benefits” that their urban compatriots enjoyed.\textsuperscript{26} At present, urban residents tend to view rural residents (many of whom migrated to the cities for low-end jobs) with a mixture of contempt and hostility, while city governments have issued a raft of ordinances that privilege local (urban) residents over outside (rural) residents in employment, education, and social services.\textsuperscript{27}

With the move away from central planning and the opening up of the market economy in the 1980s and 1990s, the problem of discrimination crept into employment decisions. No longer firmly fixed to their work units, Chinese citizens had greater mobility to pursue a broader range of employment opportunities. Employers, no longer bound by centralized hiring decisions, could select whom to employ with little outside input. In this newly emergent space, a host of traditional attitudes about women, outsiders, illness, and disability resurged. Stereotyped thinking still retains currency in the minds of


\textsuperscript{22} See infra Part III.B.

\textsuperscript{23} FEI-LING WANG, ORGANIZING THROUGH DIVISION & EXCLUSION: CHINA’S HUKOU SYSTEM 24 (2005).

\textsuperscript{24} See CONG.-EXEC. COMM’N ON CHINA, CHINA’S HOUSEHOLD REGISTRATION SYSTEM: SUSTAINED REFORM NEEDED TO PROTECT CHINA’S RURAL MIGRANTS 2–3 (2005), http://www.cecc.gov/pages/news/hukou.pdf?PHPSESSID=d7ba37ae655f864e3c62880ef8999df9a (describing how the Chinese government gave benefits to non-agricultural workers and encouraged movement to urban areas to combat the rural labor surplus).

\textsuperscript{25} See infra Part III.B.

\textsuperscript{26} FEI-LING WANG, supra note 23, at 24.

\textsuperscript{27} See infra notes 165–71 and accompanying text.
many Chinese and finds analogues across a wide variety of
government policies and legislation.

B. Defining Discrimination

Before examining the legal edifices that promote and proscribe
employment discrimination, some discussion of discrimination itself
is needed. Employment discrimination is a complicated phenomenon,
involving conscious decisions and unconscious assumptions about
people due to qualities supposedly possessed by virtue of their sex,
race, national origin, or other immutable characteristics.

In the United States, federal courts distinguish direct
discrimination, also known as disparate treatment, from indirect
discrimination, or disparate impact. Direct discrimination—"the
most easily understood type of discrimination"\(^28\)—occurs when an
employer treats a person less favorably than someone else due to a
protected trait, such as his or her gender, age, or race.\(^29\) Indirect
discrimination is somewhat harder to grasp, as it involves a facially
neutral practice or policy with "a disproportionately adverse effect on
minorities."\(^30\) Chinese scholars are fond of citing an 1870 ordinance
from the San Francisco City Council banning the use of shoulder
poles (or danbao).\(^31\) Although facially neutral, the ordinance clearly
targeted Chinese immigrants, who retained the use of the pole to
transport objects in their adoptive homeland.\(^32\)

Finally, systemic discrimination refers to policies or practices
that have a disproportionately broad impact on a larger
subpopulation (racial, geographical, professional, or otherwise).\(^33\)
This Article argues that the hukou system constitutes the most
pernicious form of systemic discrimination in contemporary China.
Systemic discrimination, though developed in the United States and
other Western societies, is relevant to the structural elements of
discrimination in the PRC: lopsided development, inequality of
educational opportunity, and uneven access to social services. In
other words, the manifestations and structural causes of
discrimination travel quite well.

Worth Bank & Trust, 487 U.S. 977, 985–86 (1988)).
\(^{30}\) Id.
\(^{31}\) See, e.g., Zhonghua Renmin Gongheguo Jiuye Cujin Fa Jiedu [An
Interpretation of the Employment Promotion Law of the People's Republic of
China] 70 (Xin Chunying ed., 2007) [hereinafter Promotion Law Interpretation].
\(^{32}\) Id.
\(^{33}\) See U.S. Equal Emp't Opportunity Comm'n, Systemic Task Force
(defining systemic cases as those which have "a broad impact on an industry,
profession, company, or geographic location").
The preceding definitions are particularly important because Chinese statutory law, like U.S. statutory law, does not define discrimination, even as many laws proscribe it. The lack of a formal definition has not hobbled the judicial development of antidiscrimination law in the United States, where courts routinely step in to fill gaps in legislation by defining discrimination, creating judicial mechanisms such as burdens of proof by which to capture discriminatory conduct, or prescribing remedies. But Chinese courts play a far less active role in defining and creating law and legal mechanisms. Instead, Chinese courts play a more active role in the enforcement of existing laws than the creation or critique of law and policy.

II. CHINESE DISCRIMINATION

Chinese scholars identify many types of discrimination in China. One Chinese scholar identified over a dozen forms of discrimination in employment alone. Some of these forms, such as age and disability, are similar to recognized forms of discrimination in U.S. federal law. Others would not properly be considered discrimination in the United States, but rather proxies of an employee's abilities, such as academic background, CV, and work experience. Still others reflect China's unique socio-political biases,

34. See Washington v. Ill. Dep't of Revenue, 420 F.3d 658, 660 (7th Cir. 2005) ("Title VII does not define 'discrimination'... Lack of a definition leaves unresolved the question how important a difference must be to count as 'discrimination.'").

35. See HAROLD S. LEWIS, JR. & ELIZABETH J. NORMAN, EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION LAW AND PRACTICE 164-66 (2d ed. 2004) (describing the variety of ways in which appellate courts have defined discrimination).

36. See Benjamin L. Liebman, China's Courts: Restricted Reform, 21 Colum. J. Asian L. 1, 32 (2007) (noting the "modest reach of judicial innovation in China").

37. Of course, the Supreme People's Court (SPC) can issue judicial interpretations (sifa jieshi) of a particular law, which offer guidance to judges applying the law. See, e.g., Introduction to China's Legal System, Law Library of Cong., http://www.loc.gov/law/help/china.php (last visited Apr. 8, 2011). But the SPC has issued no such document regarding employment discrimination.


40. Federal law Protects against discrimination based on race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability, and genetic information. The framework has been gradually built up over four decades, from the 1964 Civil Rights Act to the 2008 Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act. See generally U.S. EQUAL EMP’T OPPORTUNITY COMM’N, FEDERAL LAWS PROHIBITING DISCRIMINATION QUESTIONS AND
such as region (e.g., antipathy for people from Henan province), household registry, and membership in the communist party.41 Other forms of discrimination reflect concerns about the human body, such as height and appearance, or concern over the spread of disease.42 To this list one must add categories already protected in Chinese national legislation, such as sex, religious belief, race, and ethnicity.43

Despite this profusion of categories, certain classifications are more pernicious than others. Discrimination based on a person's academic background, CV, and work experience may usefully identify talent, giving employers a shortcut to wade through the large number of job applicants in China's crowded job market. Yet other forms of discrimination—such as sex, health status, and household registration—serve only to disqualify persons based on outmoded thinking and irrational presumptions about the capacity to work productively.

This Part examines three types of empirical evidence of employment discrimination: job advertisements, statistical surveys, and personal narratives. Job advertisements, both in print and online, help depict the culture of job recruitment. Since these ads are often the first link in the chain of the hiring process, they are particularly valuable indicia of what is permissible and expected of applicants. Advertisements also reveal the expectations and preferences that employers bring to bear on their personnel decisions. Similarly, statistical surveys about attitudes and experiences of discrimination provide an empirical basis to understand which forms of discrimination are most widespread. Different studies arrive at divergent conclusions about which forms of discrimination are most prevalent, but such divergence may be inevitable whenever perceptions, personal experiences, and other subjective forms of knowledge are analyzed. Finally, personal narratives shed additional light on the experience of discrimination from the applicant's perspective, while illuminating the techniques used to weed out candidates. Interview questions, e-mail exchanges, requests for photographs, and other devices to smoke out "undesirable" job candidates may not be captured by statistics or other sources, but these techniques are critical to understanding the mechanics of employment discrimination in China.


41. Lou Yaoxiong, supra note 38, at 64.

42. Id.

A. Job Advertisements

In the United States and the United Kingdom, critical assessment of job advertisements has provided important insights into the discriminatory preferences of employers. In the United States, for instance, gender and age discrimination surfaced in advertisements throughout the 1980s, even though federal law had banned such preferences since the 1960s. In one survey, researchers found 9.5 percent of advertisements in the classified section of various Sunday newspapers to be either "questionable or blatantly illegal." Of these discriminatory advertisements, 90 percent discriminated based on sex, whether for men or women. In the United Kingdom, researchers noted a decline in the number of advertisements with age preferences from 1981 to 1991, suggesting that one form of illegal discrimination was on the wane but still evident.

More recently, it has become common for U.S. employers to counter discriminatory preferences in job advertisements. Companies and organizations now routinely state that they are "Title IX" employers, or otherwise encourage applications from women and minority candidates. Whether this change reflects greater tolerance by employers or a heightened recognition of the value (moral, economic, or otherwise) of a diverse workforce remains an open question. Alternatively, this greater openness could simply respond "to tightened government regulation and threats of lawsuits." Whatever the underlying reason, a notable shift away from discriminatory job advertisements is clearly detectable in the United States and United Kingdom.

China presents a far different picture, as recent studies show. Zhihong Gao's study of online recruiting and Zhou Wei's research on

---

45. Id. at 10.
46. Id. at 11.
48. See, e.g., Recruiting and Hiring Policy, VANDERBILT UNIV. (Sept. 1, 2009), http://hr.vanderbilt.edu/POLICIES/hr-017.pdf.
50. Id.
Many advertisements contained multiple forms of discrimination—women under the age of thirty, men over 5'5"—so these categories should not be thought of in isolation.53

Gao surveyed 955 white-collar job advertisements on ChinaHR.com, a leading employment website.54 She found that the most frequently cited discriminatory basis was age, which appeared in 24.2 percent of the ads, followed by gender (12.3 percent), and physical appearance (10.5 percent).55 Further deconstruction of the categories led to interesting, if predictable, results. For example, gender restrictions targeted both men (5.5 percent) and women (6.7 percent); most of the former were for managerial positions, while most of the latter were for sales and clerical positions.56 These advertisements reinforce the traditional power structure that subordinates women to men. Moreover, many ads for women contained other restrictions, such as age (typically under thirty) and appearance ("'good looking,' 'having a nice image,' 'tall enough'").57 This multiplicity of restrictions suggests "a more demeaning dimension to gender discrimination" in China, namely that Chinese women "are treated . . . as sex objects . . . to please the eyes of male bosses and clients."58

Other surveys of China's advertising reveal that age and gender weigh heavily on employers' minds. One study surveyed 568 firms across eight different job sectors, including goods and manufacturing, research and development, commerce and logistics, finance and public finance, and administrative and personnel.59 To ensure a broad

52. Id. at 369, 390. Ning and Zhao surveyed 242,520 advertisements from four newspapers (two in Shanghai, two in Chengdu) over a ten-year period. Id. at 338-39, 345. Age, academic background, and work experience were among the most prevalent preferences that appeared in print. On the other hand, height restrictions appeared in 3.4 percent of the advertisements, while household registration restrictions appeared in 7.8 percent. Id. at 369, 390.
53. Id. at 347.
55. Id. at 406-07.
56. Id. at 407.
57. Id. at 411.
58. Id.
59. See Zhu Aisheng, Sun Weixiang & Zhao Butong, Zhaopinzhong Qishi Xianxiangde Shizheng Yanjiu [An Empirical Study of Discriminatory Phenomena in
snapshot of discrimination, the authors surveyed state-owned enterprises, collective enterprises, privately owned companies, foreign invested companies, and shareholding companies.\textsuperscript{60} Again, age was the preeminent factor, appearing in 54.4 percent of the advertisements examined.\textsuperscript{61} Among the more discriminatory sectors were finance, administration and personnel, and commerce and logistics; in these sectors, over half of the advertisements contained age restrictions, targeting candidates who were either eighteen to twenty years old or, somewhat more commonly, twenty-five to thirty-five years old.\textsuperscript{62} By contrast, few employers specifically targeted persons aged thirty-five to forty-five years old or forty-five and over.\textsuperscript{63}

Gender was a less prominent factor than age, but the use of gender varied considerably across sectors. Certain sectors preferred men. Twenty-two percent of the advertisements in production required men, while only four percent required women.\textsuperscript{64} Research and development was similarly skewed: 14 percent required men, while only 2 percent required women.\textsuperscript{65} Commerce and logistics likewise favored men by a ratio of 28 percent to 6 percent.\textsuperscript{66} However, other sectors sought women: administrative and personnel preferred women by a ratio of 31 percent to 8 percent, and education and hygiene preferred women by a ratio of 30 percent to 6 percent.\textsuperscript{67} These statistics certainly support the idea that men are preferred in industries that require physical labor or scientific skills, while women are valued for administrative skills or ability to teach.

Although somewhat less prevalent than age or gender, \textit{hukou} status also matters to many employers. Statistical evidence is limited, but one survey of Chongqing showed that only 7 percent of positions contained \textit{hukou} restrictions, but that over 20 percent of employers restricted labor in this way.\textsuperscript{68} The author of the survey conducted phone interviews with twenty of the employers that

\textsuperscript{60} Id.
\textsuperscript{61} Id.
\textsuperscript{62} Id. Several of the bases discussed in this Article—such as academic background, professional title, or work experience—would not constitute discrimination under U.S. law. This reveals an important difference in American and Chinese conceptions of discrimination.
\textsuperscript{63} Id.
\textsuperscript{64} Id.
\textsuperscript{65} Id.
\textsuperscript{66} Id.
\textsuperscript{67} Id.
\textsuperscript{68} Id.

Yuan Weiqin, \textit{Chongqing Wailai Wugong Jiuye Qishi Diaocha} [Survey of Employment Discrimination Against Chongqing's Outside Workers], in \textit{JINZHI JIUYE QISHIDE FALU ZHIDU YU ZHONGGUODE XIANSHI} [LEGAL SYSTEMS OF ANTI-DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT & CHINA'S REALITY] 171, 183–84 (Zhou Wei et al. eds., 2008) (presenting research that indicates 683 of 9,606 positions contained such restrictions, while 142 employers out of 683 implemented such restrictions).
included these restrictions. When asked why they discriminated against outsiders, the employers' responses included: (1) "We don't know what to make of outside laborers; we do not know if they are thieves or hooligans"; (2) "We have never hired outsiders, nor thought to hire them"; (3) outsiders require a certain period of time to acclimate to Chongqing; and (4) "Outsiders' habits are different from ours... We hired one before, but he was stubborn as a mule."\textsuperscript{69} Whether based on ignorance or limited experience, the prevailing attitudes in at least one of China's metropolitan areas suggest a deep prejudice toward outside labor.

A culture of discrimination has congealed at the first step of the Chinese hiring process, presaging a process replete with discrimination. Little wonder that workspaces in China are so clearly gendered, with men occupying posts at the managerial levels and women suffusing the lower rungs of the service sector.\textsuperscript{70} Although the evidence is more limited, negative attitudes toward "outsiders" (Chinese citizens with non-local residency status) likewise characterize many employers. If China seriously intends to be rid of employment discrimination, some effort must be made to end discriminatory advertisements, which both naturalize and reproduce artificially segregated workspaces.

**B. Statistics**

Statistical surveys provide another glimpse at the problem of employment discrimination. Surveys usefully reflect both reality (which groups work at what levels of society) and perceptions of reality (have you ever been discriminated against?). Both reality and its perception are critical in understanding discrimination because of the important role that perception plays in perpetuating discrimination.

In May 2006, scholars at China University of Political Science and Law interviewed 3,500 people about their attitudes and experiences with discrimination in ten large cities: Beijing, Guangzhou, Nanjing, Wuhan, Shenyang, Xi'an, Chengdu, Zhengzhou, Yinchuan, and Qingdao.\textsuperscript{71} The results provide a relatively

\textsuperscript{69} Id. at 185.

\textsuperscript{70} Given the Chinese preference for numerical slogans, it is no surprise that scholars have described this phenomenon as "the three many and the three fews." Namely, "many deputies, but few executives; many low-level workers, few high-level workers; many idle, few busy." See generally Yi Xiaorong, Zhongguo Funü Quanyi Baohu Tanwei: yi Falü Yuanyuan wei Shijiao [A Micro-Exploration of the Protection of Chinese Women's Rights and Interests: From the Perspective of Legal Sources], in SHEHUI XINGBIE PINGDENG YU FALD: YANJIU HE DUICE [GENDER EQUALITY AND LAW: RESEARCH AND SOLUTIONS] 17, 22 (Jia Lin & Jiang Xiuhua eds., 2007) [hereinafter GENDER EQUALITY].

\textsuperscript{71} Zhongguo Zhengfa Daxue Xianzheng Yanjusuo [Constitution Research Ctr. of the China Univ. of Politics and Law], Zhongguo Shida Chengshi Jiuye Qishi
comprehensive picture of the circumstances of discrimination in today's China.

When asked if there is discrimination in employment today, 85.5 percent responded affirmatively, while 50.8 percent of respondents believed that discrimination was "extremely severe." A mere 6.6 percent replied that there was no discrimination. Similarly, a majority of respondents (54.9 percent) believed that they had personally experienced some kind of discrimination in the hiring process, and almost one-sixth (15.7 percent) of respondents had experienced "very severe" or "relatively severe" discrimination.

Further breakdown of the types of discrimination is also revealing. According to 62.6 percent of respondents, the most common form of discrimination is academic discrimination, meaning that the employer overemphasizes a candidate's academic background or that the educational requirement exceeds the actual necessities of the job. Health discrimination came in second at 47.7 percent, followed by appearance (36.7 percent), age (32.9 percent), household registration status (28.7 percent), and finally sex (21 percent). Although this study did not find that sex discrimination is a particularly widespread strain of employment discrimination, other studies have concluded otherwise. For instance, in a survey conducted by the Women's Federation of Jiangsu Province, 80 percent of female college students had been rejected for a position due to their gender, and over one-third of these women had multiple rejections of this sort.

Likewise, the China Women's Federation, together with the National Bureau of Statistics, conducted national surveys on women's employment and social status in 1990 and 2000. Over the course of that decade, employment rates for men and women dropped, but the decline was steeper for women. In 1990, 90 percent of men were employed, but that number fell to 81.5 percent in 2000 (constituting a 659
9 percent decrease).\textsuperscript{81} By comparison, 76.3 percent of women were employed in 1990, but that number fell to 63.7 percent in 2000 (representing a 16 percent decrease).\textsuperscript{82} For young and middle-aged urban women, the employment rate dropped from 80 percent to 72 percent.\textsuperscript{83} Women also accounted for a larger proportion of those laid off (56 percent), even though they make up a minority of the work force.\textsuperscript{84}

Local surveys also indicate the relative absence of women in positions of leadership. Hebei Province, for example, conducted a survey of government employment in 1994.\textsuperscript{85} The percentage of women in high-level management positions—whether in government enterprises, agencies, or institutions—was well below 1 percent.\textsuperscript{86} A more recent survey found that only 4.1 percent of top leaders in government were women.\textsuperscript{87}

The situation is not much better for carriers of infectious disease, especially China's 120 million HBV carriers. Yirenping, a leading NGO that advocates on behalf of people with HBV, has recently surveyed the hiring practices of multinational corporations with offices in China.\textsuperscript{88} According to a 2006 survey, 77 percent of multinational corporations claimed that they would refuse applicants carrying HBV.\textsuperscript{89} Two years later, the same survey found that (a) 84 percent of multinationals still require job applicants to take physical examinations and submit the results, and (b) 44 percent would still turn down applicants who tested positive.\textsuperscript{90} The 33 percent decrease from 2006 to 2008 is a positive development, but the fact that 44 percent would still discriminate, even though such discrimination is now clearly illegal after the 2007 Employment Promotion Law,\textsuperscript{91} is surprising. It suggests, among other things, that foreign corporations are either unaware of legal developments in Chinese labor law or unconcerned about their consequences.\textsuperscript{92}

81. Id.
82. Id.
83. Yi Xiaorong, supra note 70, at 22.
84. Id.
85. Yong-Qing Fang, Women's Development in Hebei Province, PRC, in EMPLOYMENT OF WOMEN, supra note 18, at 157, 157.
86. Id. at 162.
87. Yong-Qing Fang et al., supra note 18, at 65 (citing a 2003 survey).
89. Id.
90. Id. The second survey was conducted from October to December of 2008. Id.
92. Chinese public sector employers, on the other hand, are perhaps more enlightened. The majority of cases I have found online target corporations, Chinese or foreign. See Appendix. Moreover, five government departments conducted a "knowledge competition," complete with prizes, concerning the contents of the Labor Contract Law
Likewise, scholars have conducted independent research on various subpopulations in China. Ye Jingyi and Shi Yuxiao surveyed carriers of the hepatitis B virus about their experiences with employment discrimination. Over half of the respondents (52.3 percent) claimed that they had been refused a job. Of these people, 72.3 percent claimed they were denied the job because they failed to pass a physical examination, while only 11.7 percent believed the denial was for another reason. Over half of respondents (56.3 percent) claimed to have experienced some form of discrimination while on the job, while almost one-third (32 percent) had been fired at one time. In this last category, over 70 percent of respondents claimed that their employers told them the truth—that they were fired because they carried the hepatitis B virus—while 18.8 percent said their employer used an excuse to terminate them.

Statistics offer another window into the realities of employment discrimination, illuminating the experiences of employees and applicants, as well as the attitudes and perceptions of employers and society at large. A degree of subjectivity infuses many of these surveys, reflecting the nature of discrimination itself. The experience of discrimination presumably involves subjective determinations by job applicants who feel discriminated against, particularly if the reason for their refusal is never explicitly stated, but it also presumably includes conscious and unconscious discrimination by employers, who may be unaware of their own biases. Still, the surveys make clear that discrimination (perceived and otherwise) is widespread, affecting various groups of people.

C. Personal Experiences

Although unverifiable through statistical means or numerical measures, anecdotal experience rounds out the portrait of

94. Id.
95. Id. The Chinese hiring process typically involves three examinations. If one passes the written examination, she may then be invited for an oral examination. If successful at this stage, the candidate then must typically go for a physical examination at a nearby hospital.
96. Id. at 319.
97. Id.
98. Id.
discrimination. Personal narratives convey three related themes. First, they bring to light actual techniques used by employers to exclude qualified candidates. Second, when repeated across time and space, anecdotal experience illuminates which forms of discrimination are most prevalent. Third, and with the first two factors in mind, such narratives can guide discussions of ways to stop discrimination in the workplace. For example, if employers can pose personal questions to female job applicants during an interview—about marital status, boyfriends, plans to have children, and so on—women face a substantial barrier to securing employment. Although dozens of anecdotes portray the actualities of hiring, two in particular shed light on the techniques used to weed out women.

First, a female job applicant e-mailed her résumé to a company. She did not indicate her sex on the application, and she did not include a photograph, a common accompaniment of many job applications in China. The employer called her the next morning, telling her that she did not include a photograph. The applicant offered to send one off right away, but the employer stalled, saying they still wanted to “research other applications.” She never heard back from the company, but she believes that the company liked her résumé. Upon realizing she was female—as they could determine through the phone call—they lost interest. The authors of the study from which this anecdote is drawn suggest that this technique is commonly used to ferret out female candidates, and that it constitutes a form of sex discrimination.

Second, a female law graduate described her classmate’s interview with a small company. The interview centered around three questions: “Are you married?”; “Do you have a boyfriend?”; and “How much do you want for a salary?” Interviewers frequently question women about childcare or pregnancy (planned or past), questions that would be illegal under U.S. law. They either ask these questions directly or ask if the applicant would be willing to

100. Id.
101. Id. at 254.
102. Id.
103. See King v. Trans World Airlines, Inc., 738 F.2d 255, 259 n.2 (8th Cir. 1984) (“We note that . . . questions about pregnancy and childbearing would be unlawful per se in the absence of a bona fide occupational qualification.”); see also id. (emphasizing that questions about childcare are technically neutral, but may disparately impact women).
move around to different cities with the job; if the applicant is unwilling to move, the employer will ask if she has a boyfriend.  

Although this may seem like an unwelcome intrusion into the applicant's personal life, employers are not simply being nosy. Because employers typically pay for the ninety days of maternity leave required by the Labor Law, many profit-minded employers would prefer to avoid this liability altogether. Therefore, the extirpation of discrimination against women will likely require a change in thinking about responsibilities for child care (a normative matter) and the reallocation of the costs of providing maternity leave and child care (a policy matter).

With some understanding of the manifestations of employment discrimination and a basic grasp of their underlying rationales, Part III turns to the laws that both proscribe and promote discrimination.

III. THE LAW OF EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION

A. Antidiscrimination Law

In the 1990s, Chinese law began to guarantee equal rights in employment. The 1990 Law to Protect Disabled Persons mandated that "[n]o discrimination shall be practiced against disabled persons in recruitment, employment, obtaining permanent status, technical or professional titles, payment," and other areas. The 1992 Law on the Protection of Rights and Interests of Women (Women's Law) first extended protections to women in numerous areas of employment, including hiring and firing; titles, promotions, and salaries; and marriage, pregnancy, and nursing. Likewise, the 1994 Labor Law ensured that workers "regardless of their ethnic group, race, sex, or religious belief, shall not be discriminated against in employment." Despite these provisions, however, employers could discriminate with impunity against any protected class because the mechanisms to implement these laws were either weak or nonexistent. Unlike the
United States, China does not have an Equal Employment Opportunity Commission to investigate allegations of employment discrimination. Moreover, China lacks implementing regulations or judicial interpretations that tell courts how to handle employment discrimination claims or remedy successfully litigated claims.\textsuperscript{110} Indeed, some courts refuse to accept employment discrimination claims on the grounds that they are not listed in the Regulation on the Causes of Action for Civil Cases, issued by the Supreme People's Court.\textsuperscript{111}

In summary, before the passage of the Employment Promotion Law (EPL) in 2007, Chinese law proscribed employment discrimination but offered very little by way of remediation. Before turning to the impact of the EPL, however, it is first necessary to examine the obverse of Chinese antidiscrimination law: laws promoting discrimination.

\textbf{B. Discriminatory Laws and Their Repeal}

The Chinese government, both at the central and local levels, has promulgated a number of laws, regulations, and ordinances that exclude persons from certain jobs, force women to retire early, or otherwise disadvantage certain applicants based on immutable characteristics. State-sponsored discrimination both naturalizes discriminatory practices and perpetuates the marginalization of various groups outside the power structures of Chinese society. The continued development of antidiscrimination law requires a careful review of the underlying rationales for discriminatory laws, a comparison of these rationales with contemporary realities, and a decision as to whether amendment or withdrawal may be appropriate. Many policies developed in the 1950s—when the PRC was a new country inculcating a revolutionary spirit in its people—no longer match contemporary realities. In some instances, the government has already responded with appropriate changes, often after sustained pressure from the public, petition drives, and media scrutiny. This work should continue, either through incremental revisions or by passing an all-encompassing antidiscrimination law.\textsuperscript{112} This Part outlines some of the major laws and policies that promote discrimination based on gender, \textit{hukou} status, and infectious disease. It then discusses recent changes or revisions to these discriminatory laws and policies.

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{110} Interview with Liu Minghui, Professor, China Women's College (Mar. 18, 2009). Other courts have, of course, accepted such cases as falling within the "other torts" category.
  \item \textsuperscript{111} \textit{Id.}
  \item \textsuperscript{112} Scholar Zhou Wei has drafted a comprehensive antidiscrimination law (on file with author).
\end{itemize}
\end{footnotesize}
1A. Gender Discrimination

A number of Chinese laws promote employment discrimination against women. The most widely cited is the differential retirement age, which mandates that women must retire before men. In 1958, China’s highest executive body, the State Council, issued Temporary Guidelines Handling the Retirement of Workers and Professionals, which initially set the differential retirement ages.113 Although these “temporary” guidelines were withdrawn in 2001, other State Council Guidelines from the 1970s propagated this practice into the present.114

Differential retirement ages may have made sense in the 1950s. Under the influence of the Soviet Union, and with a mind toward protecting women, not inhibiting gender equality, China passed a differential retirement age for men and women.115 Because women needed time for maternity leave, maternal protections, and raising children, it was “reasonable” for them to work less and retire earlier.116 In the immediate post-revolutionary period, traditional notions about the division of labor still prevailed, despite some revolutionary stirrings about the proper role of women.117 In practice, however, during that period women handled more of the domestic responsibilities, and men provided for the family through outside work.118

113. Guowuyuan Guanyu Gongren, Zhiyuan Tuixiu Chuli de Zanxing Guiding [State Council’s Temporary Guidelines Handling the Retirement of Workers and Professionals] (promulgated Nov. 16, 1957, withdrawn Oct. 6, 2001) art. 2. Article 2(1) set the retirement age for male workers and professionals at 60 years, female workers at 50 years, and female professionals at 55 years. In addition, for particularly laborious work, such as jobs at high altitudes or high temperatures, the retirement age for men was 55 years, and 45 years for women. Id. art. 2(2).

114. See infra notes 120–21 and accompanying text.


117. See Chen Weimin & Li Ying, Tuixiu Nianling Dui Zhongguo Chengzhen Zhigong Yanglaojin Xingbie Chayide Yingxiang Fenxi [An Analysis of the Influence of the Retirement Age on Gender Differences in Pensions of Chinese Urban Workers and Professionals], in GENDER EQUALITY, supra note 70, at 39, 45 (describing the rationale for a post-revolutionary law on women’s retirement age).

118. Feng Yuan, Cong Tongling Tuixiude Zhenglun Kan Gonggong Zhengce Juece Guocheng [Looking at Decisional Process in Public Policy from the Point of View
A generation later, in 1978, the State Council issued another set of “temporary” guidelines, both of which mandated differential retirement ages and remain in effect: the Temporary Measures on the Retirement and Resignation of Workers (Workers’ Measures) and the Temporary Measures on the Proper Arrangement of the Elderly, Weak, Infirm and Disabled Cadres (Cadres’ Measures). The Workers’ Measures provide that “Workers in state-owned enterprises, institutional units, government or [communist] party organs, or mass organizations must retire upon reaching any of the following conditions: (1) males reaching 60 years, and females reaching 50 years, after they have worked continuously for ten years.” The Cadres’ Measures, on the other hand, provide that “Cadres working in party or government organs, mass organizations, enterprises or institutional units can retire upon reaching any of the following conditions: (1) males reaching 60 years, and females reaching 55 years, after they have participated in revolutionary work for ten years.” In other words, the retirement age for men is sixty whether they are cadres or workers, whereas female cadres must retire at fifty-five and female workers at fifty. It is important to note that private companies are not bound by either directive, although they frequently force women to retire before men.

By law, then, many female professionals are let go upon reaching the age of fifty-five. But many female professionals have been misclassified as workers, either because they were wrongly classified when they began their jobs in the 1980s, or because they have elevated to professional status over the course of their careers. Doctors, teachers, accountants, journalists, health care professionals, and many others are fired at the age of fifty. Moreover, when they sue in court, or pursue labor arbitration, these women face...
insurmountable obstacles, often because the decision to fire them is authorized by local government policy.\textsuperscript{125}

Differential age restrictions harm women in several ways. First, for many women, their professional lives may only begin to blossom at age fifty, particularly if they deferred professional commitments to raise children, obtain advanced degrees, or both. To require women to retire at fifty-five, five years before their male counterparts, nullifies a lifetime of toil, trouble, and deferred gratification.

Second, retirement pensions are tied directly to the number of years worked. If a woman retires five to ten years before a man, her pension will be somewhere between 7 percent and 20 percent less than a man's.\textsuperscript{126} This ensures that differential treatment persists long after retirement.

In addition to early retirement, Chinese law also prohibits women from engaging in certain jobs, including working in mines,\textsuperscript{127} felling and stacking timber, setting up scaffolding, demolishing buildings, working on electric power lines, and other jobs requiring dangerous or intense physical labor.\textsuperscript{128} The labor regulations establish broader protections for menstruating women, pregnant women, and nursing mothers, who are barred from working in walk-in refrigerators, cold water, or other jobs involving low temperatures.\textsuperscript{129} It is unclear whether this form of paternalism is any more justifiable than the concerns underlying the early retirement system. Some test of strength, stamina, or agility would better replicate a person's physical capacities than a blanket ban. Although arguably well intended, these regulations underscore the general notion that women are not fit for the workplace, or some sizable fraction of it.

Local regulations likewise discriminate against women. In 2003, Hunan published Temporary Measures for Physical Examinations of Civil Servants, which apply to civil servants and those working in state-sponsored institutions such as universities and hospitals.\textsuperscript{130} The Hunan guidelines require that women who work in a medical facility's gynecological department have "normally developed secondary sexual characteristics; symmetrical breasts without lumps; vulva [that is not] inflamed, ulcerous or tumorous; and uterus [that is

\textsuperscript{125} Id. at 4.

\textsuperscript{126} Chen Weimin & Li Ying, supra note 117, at 46.


\textsuperscript{128} Nüzhigong Jinji Laodong Fanweide Guiding [Regulation on the Scope of Prohibited Labor for Female Workers] (promulgated by the Ministry of Labor, Jan. 18, 1990) art. 4 (establishing a blanket ban on these and other activities).

\textsuperscript{129} Id.

\textsuperscript{130} Cai Dingjian, Fanjiuye Qishi Zhonghe Yanjiu Baogao [Overview Report of Employment Discrimination], in EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION IN CHINA, supra note 71, at 5, 23.
This regulation certainly sounds like per se discrimination, at least to one trained in U.S. antidiscrimination law, because there are no corresponding anatomical specifications for male workers. As they stand, these requirements heighten the burden on women, subjecting their bodies to notions of anatomical correctness that have no bearing on their ability to perform the job. Moreover, this regulation might eliminate disabled persons and breast cancer survivors, suggesting multiple forms of discrimination.

1B. Efforts to Reform Gender Discrimination

The most significant legal reform in the area of women’s rights generally, and discrimination specifically, was the 2005 revision of the Law on the Protection of Women’s Rights and Interests (Revised Women’s Law). This law addresses several weaknesses in China’s existing legal structure, such as the underrepresentation of women in national and local politics, the fragility of women’s property rights, and the prevalence of sexual harassment in the workplace. For the first time, the law explicitly states that the promotion of equality between men and women is a basic “state policy,” lending additional rhetorical support to a position that the government has long exhorted.

A group of elite scholars and officials began drafting revisions to the existing law in 2003, drawing heavily on international and comparative experience. This group included members of
government-run entities like the All China Women’s Federation and the Chinese Academy of Social Sciences, as well as civil society actors such as the Center for Women’s Law and Legal Services at Peking University. Through workshops, conferences, and roundtables, these scholar-activists helped channel recommendations from United Nations committees and other countries’ laws into the legislative process of the PRC. Although not all their suggestions made it into the law, they laid out several issues—including discrimination, domestic violence, and property rights—for future regulations and provincial implementation.

Despite various improvements made in the law, the Committee on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women issued a long list of concerns and recommendations in its 2006 Report. First, the Report expressed concern over China’s “capacity to understand the meaning of substantive equality and non-discrimination.” Because many Chinese still do not understand the concept or problems of discrimination, the Report specifically recommended that China include “a definition of discrimination against women in its domestic law, encompassing both direct and indirect discrimination.” As we saw above in Part II, discrimination against women is quite widespread in China; one reason may be a lack of understanding as to why discrimination is a problem.

A second concern was the lack of “effective legal remedies” for violations of the Women’s Convention, as well as a lack of “awareness-raising and sensitization measures about such legal remedies against discrimination so that women can avail themselves of them.” Indeed, the lack of effective remedies and the general failure to implement laws are constant criticisms leveled at the Chinese legal system. As discussed in Part V, discrimination
against women continues in China, but no plaintiff has stepped forward to challenge it.\(^\text{146}\)

A third concern was “traditional stereotypes regarding the role of women and men in society.”\(^\text{147}\) In other words, the divide between men's work and women's work remains relatively clear in China, with men occupying higher rungs and women down below. The Report recommended a broad campaign of education and awareness raising, particularly directed at men and boys, through radio, television, and print media.\(^\text{148}\) The Report also suggested the inclusion of gender sensitivity into school curriculum and textbooks.\(^\text{149}\) The Report made other suggestions, but they lie beyond the scope of this Article.

Debate continues over the differential retirement age, but little forward momentum is detectable. In April 2009, one of China's most senior female politicians, Chen Zhili, called on the city of Beijing to take the lead in equalizing the retirement age for cadres.\(^\text{150}\) Noting that Beijing's decision to do so "would have a huge influence on the rest of China," Ms. Chen believed that leveling the retirement age would greatly advance equality between the sexes.\(^\text{151}\) The mere fact that a person of Ms. Chen's stature would make such an appeal is significant, and it indicates some level of support among Chinese government officials. Still, the fact that this proposal has not moved forward in over a year does not bode well for its implementation.

2A. Hukou Discrimination

The household registration, or hukou, system helps maintain the physical separation of urban residents from their rural brethren. Instituted in 1958, the system initially permitted the government to distribute resources and benefits more effectively, to control migration from rural areas to urban settings, and to keep closer tabs on criminal activity.\(^\text{152}\) Citizens were categorized according to their place of residence, as well as whether they were rural ("agricultural")

\(^{146}\) See infra Part V.B.
\(^{147}\) See CEDAW Report, supra note 140, ¶ 17 (“The Committee is concerned that these prevailing attitudes continue to devalue women and violate their human rights.”).
\(^{148}\) Id. ¶ 18.
\(^{149}\) Id.
\(^{150}\) See Zhang Haiyan, Quanguo Fulian Zhuxi Chen Zhili Huyu: Beijing Shuaixian Shixing Nannü Tongling Tuixiu [Chairwoman of the All China Women's Federation Chen Zhili Calls on Beijing to Take the Lead in Implementing Equal Retirement Age], FAZHIWANG [LEGAL DAILY], Apr. 3, 2009, http://www.legaldaily.com.cn/0801/2009-04/03/content_1064630.htm (noting that the committee chairwoman urged the city of Beijing to experiment with a workplace policy that calls for the same retirement age for male and female upper level employees).
\(^{151}\) Id.
\(^{152}\) Migrant Workers in China, CHINA LAB. BuLL. (June 6, 2008), http://www.china-labour.org.hk/en/node/100259.
or urban ("non-agricultural"). If a citizen had a Beijing hukou, for instance, that citizen benefited from the panoply of benefits (insurance, education, social welfare, and even food rations during the PRC's more tumultuous periods) that Beijing provided its residents. If, on the other hand, you lived in Beijing without a Beijing hukou, you did not have access to these services.

In recent years, the system has relaxed somewhat. Wealthy or educated rural residents can apply to become local residents of various cities, depending upon criteria set out by the city. The estimated 150 million migrants working in Chinese cities offer proof that people are no longer as tightly bound to their native villages as they were in the early days of the PRC. However, this change does not mean that life has become any easier for today's migrant workers. Typically, they toil at low-wage jobs without social security, a guaranteed wage, or access to medical care. Equally troubling, their children are often ineligible to attend local schools, creating another generation of marginalization.

A number of regulations reinforce the hukou system and further disadvantage migrant workers. National regulations, for instance, instruct local employers—private, public, and state organs alike—on how to hire workers who come from outside their locality. One regulation from the Ministry of Labor provided that outside workers could be hired only if no local person was qualified to fill the position and the local labor and employment agencies approved the employer's request for an outside hire. In other words, local people were preferred in job recruitment, and outsiders could fill positions only when a local agency approved of the placement. Although this

154. Id. at 7–8 (education).
156. See, e.g., Cong.-Exec. Comm'nn on China, supra note 24, at 5 (noting that Zhejiang Province allows individuals who have purchased a home of a certain price or size to obtain a local hukou).
157. China's New-Generation Migrant Workers Number 100 Million, People's Daily Online (June 22, 2010), http://english.peopledaily.com.cn/90001/90782/7033954.html ("Data from the National Bureau of Statistics shows that there were a total of 230 million rural migrant workers in China in 2009, including 150 million working outside their hometowns.").
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160. See, e.g., Nongcun Laodongli Kuasheng Liudong Jiuye Guanli Zanxing Guiding [Temporary Regulation on the Administration of Cross-Provincial Employment of Rural Workers] (promulgated by the Ministry of Labor, Nov. 17, 1994, withdrawn Feb. 7, 2005) art. 2. The regulations apply to private companies (qiye), individual economic organizations and state organs, enterprise organizations, and social groups. See id.
161. Id. art. 5.
regulation has since been withdrawn, it set a precedent to which many cities have clung. Nanjing, for instance, still encourages employers to hire local laborers: “first urban, then rural; first this city, then other cities; first this province, then other provinces.” By mandating “first this city, then other cities,” this principle privileges not only Nanjing residents, but also any other urban residents. This preference represents discrimination against rural people in its purest form.

Other cities restrict migrant labor in even less nuanced ways. Some cities, either by enacting a strict numerical target or by setting an acceptable percentage of outsiders in the total work force, impose quotas on the number of outside workers allowed to work in the city. Other cities prohibit or severely restrict the use of migrant workers in specific positions. Shanghai, for instance, closed several positions, including shop assistants, maintenance staff, and custodians, to persons without local residency. Although these positions are not high-status positions, they would likely appeal to the frequently less educated and underprivileged migrant class. More expansively, Beijing placed restrictions on various white-collar positions, including administrators in the financial and insurance sectors, accountants, bank tellers, and staff at “star-level” hotels. These regulations restrict the number of positions available to migrant workers and steer them toward the most grueling jobs.


164. Id.


167. Bingqin Li, supra note 165, at 22.

Apart from job restrictions and prohibitions, cities also levy fees on employers that hire outside workers; these fees fall under the various guises of “registration fees,” “work management fees,” “processing fees,” “administrative service fees,” and so on.169 The effect is to drive up the cost of hiring outside workers and to encourage the hiring of local employees.

Finally, cities may require migrant workers to obtain work permits, residency permits, health permits, and other documentation in order to work.170 The hassle of obtaining such permits, coupled with the fear that one’s status as a non-resident is automatically disqualifying, may dissuade many migrant workers from applying for this paperwork. In this way, cities can prevent migrant workers from taking up local posts and greatly restrict the number of eligible candidates. At the same time, these regulations generate revenue from people who can least afford it.171

2B. Efforts to Reform Hukou Discrimination

The new millennium has witnessed a number of reforms to the hukou system. While these reforms were percolating in the 1990s,172 a wave of dissatisfaction hit the Chinese press in 2000.173 In December 1999, the Beijing government published 103 additional job categories from which migrant workers would be barred.174 After vigorous academic debate, and most likely with the imprimatur of high officials, Chinese newspapers ran editorials and personal pleas from migrant workers that questioned the hukou system.175 One editorial acknowledged the problem of local protectionism but questioned whether senior officials had considered the national picture.176 Another noted that China was “treating its precious labor resources as a burden and inhibiting the economic interests, the very livelihoods, of tens of millions of rural laborers.”177 News websites...
and Internet bulletin boards also posted criticisms of the system and calls for its reform.\(^\text{178}\)

Over time, even the official state news media began to criticize the \textit{hukou} system. An article from \textit{People's Daily} made a number of strong claims, such as (a) that residency and migration were “basic rights” (with the implication that they should not to be violated by state or local policy); (b) that the \textit{hukou} system itself was incompatible with China’s current economic development and tarnished its image of reform and openness; (c) that the system enabled corruption, as evident in the prevalence of bribes to officials and the auctioning of local residency permits by small cities; and (d) that abolishing the current system followed “the general trend of history.”\(^\text{179}\) The article ambitiously predicted that the system would one day be considered a relic of the planned economy.\(^\text{180}\)

As noted, the central government had already initiated modest reform efforts. In 1997, the State Council initiated an experimental program permitting migrant workers to obtain local \textit{hukou} in selected small towns and cities.\(^\text{181}\) If they lived in the locality for at least two years, with a stable income and a permanent residence, migrants could apply for permanent residency.\(^\text{182}\) Over 540,000 migrants became full residents in 328 cities,\(^\text{183}\) and this success led the State Council to expand the experiment to cover all small towns and cities.\(^\text{184}\) Nevertheless, given the episodic nature of many migrant workers’ work assignments (such as construction or infrastructure) and the seasonal rhythms of their lives (returning home to their native villages during holidays), these requirements still exclude highly transient migrants, who are arguably the most precariously positioned. Additionally, large cities such as Beijing, Shanghai, and Shenzhen—each of which employs millions of migrant workers—were exempt from the program.\(^\text{185}\)

\begin{enumerate}
\item[178.] See \textit{Fei-Ling Wang}, supra note 23, at 181–82.
\item[179.] He Jun, \textit{Huji Zhidu Zuizhong Jiang Chengwei Jihua Jingjide Canyu Biaozhi} [In the End, the Hukou System Will Become a Vestige of the Planned Economy], \textit{Renmin Ribao} (Aug. 28, 2001).
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The State Council continues to issue directives that relax the *hukou* system and mitigate the discriminatory effects of various local regulations. In 2003, for instance, it issued a Notice on Successfully Managing Employment and Services for Migrant Workers. The preface describes the current employment prospects for migrant workers in unusually candid terms: they "still face a number of irrational restrictions in employment; their rights and interests are not effectively protected; they are not paid their due wages; they are charged excessive fees; and they are subject to other serious phenomena." The Notice then calls on local governments to "abolish irrational restrictions on the employment of migrant workers," including the abolishment of administrative approvals, professional restrictions excluding migrants, and registration requirements. It also mandates that local governments impose the same technical qualifications and health standards on migrant workers as on local residents. With the Notice, the central government took a first step toward peeling away the encrustation of discriminatory provisions that had piled up from the 1990s.

Subsequent regulations in 2004 and 2006 likewise target discriminatory regulations. The 2004 Notice on Further Improving the Employment Environment for Migrant Workers praises the "large-scale work" of various cities and local departments since the 2003 Notice. But it also recognizes the manifold problems facing migrant workers, which include inadequate training services and recruitment opportunities, employment fees, numerous procedures, unpaid wages, violations of their rights and interests, and "illegal elements" who defraud migrant workers by charging recruitment fees for nonexistent jobs. The 2004 Notice repeats, almost verbatim, the 2003 Notice's instruction to abolish administrative approvals, professional restrictions, and registration requirements, but the 2004 Notice uses the terms "discriminatory regulations and irrational restrictions," an acknowledgment that these regulations offend both morality and reason.

In 2006, the State Council issued Certain Opinions on Resolving Problems of Migrant Workers. The Opinions acknowledge the
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193. *Guowuyuan Guanyu Jiejue Nongmingong Wentide Ruogan Yijian* [State Council Opinions on Resolving Problems of Migrant Workers] (promulgated by State
"important contributions" that migrant workers have made to the development of China and articulate a series of programmatic steps to alleviate the burden of this disenfranchised subpopulation:

Migrant workers are a new labor force that has sprung up in the processes of industrialization and urbanization during China's reform and opening up. Their residency status is rural, but they engage primarily in non-agricultural production. Some go out to do both industrial and agricultural work during the non-agricultural season, and are very mobile. Others have been employed in cities for a long time, and comprise a large proportion of industrial workers. A large number of migrants work in cities and towns, where they have made important contributions to the construction of a modern China.194

Article 5 of the Opinions sets out basic principles, such as "equal treatment." It calls on cities to "[r]espect and maintain migrant workers' legal rights, eliminate discriminatory regulations and systemic obstacles to their working in cities, [and] permit them to enjoy equal rights and obligations in urban professional employment."195 Other provisions address now-familiar dilemmas of unpaid wages, labor contracts, employment services and training, and social protections.196

But the problem, as the title intimates, is that these are merely opinions. Although legally binding,' they do not specify the sanctions a court should impose upon finding a violation. As a result, it is unlikely that a court of law would find against a municipality for contravening these regulations. Like so much Chinese law, the Opinions propose prohibitions but not sanctions to enforce them.

Despite some changes in the residency requirements, the essential structure of the hukou system remains largely intact. By dividing citizens into "agricultural" and "non-agricultural," then allocating resources unevenly between the city and countryside, the PRC continues to reinforce the marginalization of hundreds of millions of citizens. Although recent reform efforts aim to ameliorate some of the discriminatory burdens that cities have attached to migrant workers, the systemic discrimination remains untouched. By permitting greater mobility than it did fifty years ago, China has

Council, Mar. 27, 2006). Article 5 lists the "Basic Principles," the first of which reads "Equal treatment. Respect and protect the legal rights and interests of migrant workers; eliminate discriminatory regulations and systematic obstacles facing migrant workers who work in cities; make them enjoy the same rights and obligations as city residents in the professional fields." Id. art. 5.
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197. See Wang Chenguang, Introduction: An Emerging Legal System, in INTRODUCTION TO CHINESE LAW 1, 16 (Wang Chenguang & Zhang Xianchu eds., 1997) ("According to the Constitution, the State Council has the legislative power to make administrative regulations . . . . [which] are mandatory for the people's courts to follow . . . . ").
slightly blurred the division between urbanites and villagers. Yet, as one scholar concluded, "Chinese society by and large can still be divided into an 'agricultural' segment and a 'non-agricultural' one, and glaring differences remain in entitlements between the two."198

3A. Discrimination Against Infectious Disease Carriers

With an estimated 120 million carriers of the hepatitis B virus,199 4.5 million known cases of tuberculosis,200 and 700,000 carriers of HIV,201 a sizeable portion of the Chinese populace faces the possibility of a different form of discrimination. Quite apart from the public health concerns raised by these diseases, the Chinese government has also had to deal with employment-related issues, such as workplace safety and discrimination.202 For a long time, the government's thumb was decidedly on the side of public health and safety. Numerous laws and regulations excluded qualified but diseased persons from a variety of positions. Recent reform efforts, however, suggest both a growing willingness to strengthen the rights of disease carriers and a clearer awareness of the actual threat that such persons pose to public safety generally and the workplace in particular. Perhaps more importantly, citizen activism has played a critical role in promoting reform; the mobilization of public opinion has signaled to the Chinese government that hepatitis B discrimination is palpable, destructive, and in certain instances deadly.

A number of national laws and regulations prevent persons who carry infectious diseases from engaging in certain lines of work. These regulations reflect the comprehensible concern that placing an infectious disease carrier in certain occupations might facilitate the spread of disease. Thus, the Food Safety Law prohibits persons with "dysentery, typhus, viral hepatitis, active pulmonary tuberculosis, or purulent or weeping skin diseases" from "working in direct contact with food for consumption."203 This provision excludes a narrow range of job possibilities for a narrowly tailored group of disease

202. See PROMOTION LAW INTERPRETATION, supra note 31, at 82 (discussing legal safeguards against employment discrimination against carriers of infectious diseases).
carriers. Hepatitis A, for instance, can be spread through contaminated food or water, while tuberculosis can be spread aerially when an infected person coughs, sneezes, or even speaks. Prohibiting carriers of these diseases from working in food production bears a rational relationship to public safety.

Unfortunately, other regulations are less defensible. The Administrative Regulations on Public Hygiene, for instance, restrict the same carriers of disease listed in the Food Safety Law from a wide range of posts, mainly involving direct contact with customers: hotels, restaurants, inns, cafes, bars, teahouses, public baths, barber shops, beauty salons, movie theatres, dance halls, concert halls, gyms, public parks, museums, libraries, and more. Without doing a separate epidemiological study for each disease, it seems clear that this regulation sweeps too broadly.

Hepatitis B provides a good example: it can be spread by birth; sex with an infected person; sharing needles, razors, syringes, or toothbrushes with an infected person; direct contact with blood or open sores of an infected persons; or exposure to blood from sharp instruments (as used in ear-piercing or tattooing). It seems highly improbable that a person with the hepatitis B virus would spread the disease if working at a movie theatre or a museum, for example, although one can imagine a hypothetical situation where such contact might occur. But by restricting carriers of HBV from all manner of public employment, the regulation sends a strong symbolic message, both to carriers and a public that is largely uninformed about the transmission of such diseases, that carriers pose a health risk, should not work in public places, and should avoid coming into contact with the general public.

Similarly, the 1989 Supervisory Regulations on Hygiene in Cosmetic Products ban some people from working directly in the production of cosmetics. In addition to carriers of the diseases listed above (viral hepatitis, tuberculosis, and dysentery), persons with eczema, ringworm, and other dermatological conditions are also

204. Hepatitis A Information for Health Professionals: Hepatitis A FAQs for Health Professionals, CTRS. FOR DISEASE CONTROL & PREVENTION (June 9, 2009), http://www.cdc.gov/hepatitis/HAV/HAVfaq.htm. Uncooked contaminated foods have led to outbreaks. Id.


prohibited.\textsuperscript{209} This prohibition may be rational for ringworm, which can be spread through indirect contact if a second person touches an object that has been touched by the infected person. Eczema, however, is not contagious.\textsuperscript{210} Prohibiting persons with eczema from working in cosmetic production serves no purpose except to discriminate against them. By excluding people in this way, the State Council signals that their skin problem—a superficial blemish—renders them unfit for the work of “beautiful people.”

These restrictions entrench discrimination against carriers of diseases, excluding capable workers from jobs based on unfounded fears rather than genuine science. Regulators should instead determine the nexus between the spread of the disease (whether through casual contact, blood, bodily fluid, etc.) and the likelihood of contagion for a particular position. They can then specify which diseases are particularly contagious, and which positions should be off limits for medical reasons. Some comfort can be drawn from the fact that these quite sweeping regulations were issued in the 1980s, whereas more recent laws—like the 2009 Food Safety Law noted above—significantly narrow the scope to a few forbidden posts.\textsuperscript{211} However, until these regulations are formally withdrawn, they remain valid law in the PRC. Moreover, because recently promulgated laws often explicitly carve out job posts proscribed by early regulations and directives passed decades ago,\textsuperscript{212} these regulations continue to generate prejudice against people.

3B. Efforts to Reform Discrimination Against Infectious Disease Carriers

Not long after the Zhang and Zhou incidents,\textsuperscript{213} various government bodies began revising laws and regulations. In 2005, the Ministry of Personnel issued the government’s first responsive regulation, permitting some carriers of the hepatitis B virus to work
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in civil service positions.\textsuperscript{214} Applicants with "chronic or acute hepatitis" were still barred, but "antigen carriers" who had been examined could still work.\textsuperscript{215}

In 2007, the Ministry of Labor and Social Security promulgated two regulations that secure the employment rights of HBV carriers. The first, Opinion on Protecting the Employment Rights of Carriers of the Hepatitis Surface Antigen, deals exclusively with carriers.\textsuperscript{216} It provides, in pertinent part, that "[a]part from those jobs specifically proscribed by national laws, administrative regulations, and regulations from the Ministry of Health due to ease of contagion, employers may neither refuse to hire, nor fire, a carrier of the hepatitis B antigen because he carries the antigen."\textsuperscript{217} The regulation "strictly regulates the employer's request and use of medical examinations," permitting them only when there is "actual need" based on the exigencies of the job.\textsuperscript{218} An employer cannot force a job applicant to take a hepatitis B test unless HBV carriers are prohibited from taking the job by national laws or administrative regulations.\textsuperscript{219} The regulation also calls on medical institutions to "protect the privacy rights of hepatitis antigen carriers," suggesting that they too have a responsibility to keep this delicate information in the proper hands.\textsuperscript{220} Finally, the regulation calls on local offices of the Labor Ministry, as well as labor arbitration committees, to protect the legal rights and interests of laborers in general. Specifically, the regulation calls on these actors "to prevent occurrences of the employment discrimination issue" in hiring and employing workers.\textsuperscript{221} This regulation represents a targeted response to the problem of discrimination against HBV carriers. By calling on labor arbitration committees, the regulation holds out the promise of implementing antidiscrimination law.

Second, the Ministry of Labor of Social Security promulgated Regulations on Employment Services and Employment Administration, which complement the Employment Promotion Law in various ways,\textsuperscript{222} including prohibitions of discrimination against
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\item G|ongwuyuan Luyong Tijian Tongyong Biaozhun (shixing) [General Standards for Physical Examinations in the Employment of Civil Servants (provisional)] (promulgated by Ministry of Personnel, Jan. 17, 2005).
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\item Jiuye Fuwu yu Jiuye Guanli Guiding [Regulations on Employment Services & Employment Administration] (promulgated by the Ministry of Labor & Soc. Sec., Nov. 5, 2007, effective Jan. 1, 2008) art. 1. (noting that the "regulations were
women, disabled persons, and carriers of infectious diseases.\footnote{Regulations on Employment Services & Employment Administration, arts. 16, 18–19.} Apropos of HBV, the Regulations, for the first time, prescribe a punishment for employers that discriminate against carriers. If an employer requires an HBV test for a job not prohibited to carriers by national law or administrative regulation, the employer faces a fine of up to 1,000 rmb (about $150).\footnote{Id. art. 68.}

In this way, the regulation addresses a fairly common type of indirect discrimination in China, as analyzed more fully in the following section. Employers may claim that the medical examination (of which the HBV test forms a part) is a neutral policy designed to ensure the health of all applicants, but they may instead use the results to exclude HBV carriers. Although 1,000 rmb is a small sum for many Chinese employers, the Regulations are helpful in articulating a sanction, something Chinese labor law has tended to avoid.

In February 2010, the Chinese government fully scrapped HBV testing.\footnote{Guanyu Jinyibu Guifan Ruxue he Jiuye Tijian Xiangmu Weihu Yigan Biaomian Kangyuan Xiedaizhe Ruxue he Jiuye Quanlide Tongzhi [Notice Further Regulating the Items on Physical Examinations for Education and Employment to Protect the Educational and Employment Rights of Hepatitis B Surface Antigen Carriers] (promulgated by the Ministries of Educ., Health, and Labor & Soc. Sec., Feb. 10, 2010) [hereinafter 2010 Notice].} Three ministries issued a Notice to prohibit employers and schools from requesting HBV testing in medical examinations, requesting the results of an applicant’s HBV tests, or asking the applicant if he carries the antigen.\footnote{Id. art. 1.} Medical institutions are likewise banned from giving schools or employers the results of the medical examination.\footnote{Id.} The Notice also recognizes the important privacy rights that attach to this information by making it for the examinee’s eyes only.\footnote{Id. art. 2 (“The hepatitis B items on the examination report should be sealed, only to be opened by the examinee. No employer or individual may open another person’s examination report.”).} Finally, the Notice includes sanctions against employers and schools that illegally request HBV examinations.\footnote{See id. art. 3 (“If employers violate this Notice, and request an applicant sit for an HBV examination, they should timely terminate the request, correct the mistake, and be punished according to the Regulations on Employment Services and Employment Administration.”).}
IV. THE HBV SOCIAL MOVEMENT

In 2003, the cases of Zhou Yichao and Zhang Xianzhu first raised the profile of HBV discrimination. Zhou's criminal trial and Zhang's administrative trial received national and international attention. The trials informed government officials, among others, that employment discrimination against HBV carriers required a rapid response. But the cases also set in motion a wider chain of events—a social movement that has clamored for legal reform. Before examining the various activities and channels by which HBV advocates have fomented legal change, this Part provides a rudimentary primer to illuminate the basic concepts of social movements.

Sociologists attend to three facets of social movements to explain their emergence, evolution, and success (or failure). First, scholars direct attention to the particular group's political opportunities and constraints, including changes in institutional structures or informal power relations. This analysis may also include the openness of the political system, the stability of elites that support the system, and the state's capacity for repression. In other words, one must appreciate the broader political context and the internal dynamics that permit a movement to gain traction in a particular society.

Second, and inseparable from the issue of context, scholars consider the "mobilizing structures" of a movement: the collective vehicles, networks, and organizations through which people advocate for change. For a movement to survive and achieve success, however defined, activists must create organizational structures to sustain collective action. The individual accomplishments of people like Martin Luther King, Jr. and Susan B. Anthony are impressive, but neither would likely have succeeded without an organization of supporters, such as the Southern Christian Leadership Conference or the National Women's Rights Convention. The context of rights-promotion for HBV carriers in China is, of course, vastly different from late nineteenth-century New England or mid-twentieth-century Georgia, but the importance of organizational
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structures in sustaining collective action runs throughout most social movements.236

Third, a proper analysis must account for "framing processes," the shared meanings through which advocates and affected people understand their situation.237 Social movements are predicated upon the tension between shared anxieties about a particular problem and optimism that collective action can redress it.238 The framing process asks: What stories do they tell to explain their particular conditions? How do they interpret events to fit within these dominant narratives?239

To sum up, any analysis of social movements needs to account for the sociopolitical context in which a movement emerges, the organizational networks that foster the desired change, and the signification processes through which agents perceive the problem and the solutions. There is room, of course, to quibble with the lines between these categories,240 but they seem to constitute three vital acts of any social movement.

As noted, 2003 was "the year of antidiscrimination" for HBV carriers.241 Within months of each other, the Zhou and Zhang cases showed how serious and widespread discrimination was; moreover, they showed that the government itself was an agent of discrimination. The incidents provided a useful political opportunity around which antidiscrimination advocates could raise awareness of the problem of employment discrimination, particularly discrimination against carriers of HBV. Two ambitious and accomplished young men sought to join government service, the highest calling for aspiring youth in traditional China from the Tang Dynasty to the present.242 Both passed the "substantive" portions of the challenging civil service examination, yet another proud tradition that ensures that only the most qualified enter government service.243 Yet both were denied positions due to a factor that they
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could not control, a factor that would imperil neither their ability to work nor the health of their colleagues.\textsuperscript{244}

In recent years, high-profile cases such as Zhou's and Zhang's have catalyzed legal reform efforts, or at the very least symbolized larger problems that litigation aims to address.\textsuperscript{245} The criminal trial of Zhou Yichao and his subsequent execution may not have aroused much public sympathy, but the affair surely captured the attention of the government. In contrast, Zhang's decision to channel his disappointment through administrative litigation served more than his own ends, coupled with extensive media coverage, ensured that hundreds of millions of people in and outside of China.\textsuperscript{246} The court's highly technical decision did not vindicate Zhang,\textsuperscript{247} but it did spark a debate about the human rights of one of China's largest disadvantaged groups and the function of courts in protecting those rights. At the time, Chinese courts manifested little sympathy for plaintiffs suing for discrimination and generally avoided ascribing any kind of culpability to government actors.\textsuperscript{248} However routine the filing of an employment discrimination action may seem to American readers, Zhang's decision to litigate was by no means automatic. His parents firmly opposed the decision to sue.\textsuperscript{249} At that time, very few
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people had sued for employment discrimination, and those who did had little to show for it.250

In September 2003, Zhang’s name appeared on gandan xiangzhao, an online discussion board for HBV carriers.251 The site’s webmaster and various posters encouraged Zhang to take legal action to protect his rights.252 The site also served to connect Zhang with Professor Zhou Wei of Sichuan University in Chengdu.253 In 2002, Professor Zhou made headlines by litigating one of China’s first employment discrimination cases, which involved height discrimination.254 He wanted to expand his work in the field of constitutional litigation, ever hoping to “marry theory and practice.”255 He heard about Zhang’s case through gandan xiangzhao, and he instructed a student to contact Zhang through the website.256 Professor Zhou wanted “to fight for the basic survival rights of 120 million HBV carriers” and to “protect the basic rights of humanity.”257 Even at this early stage, the issue of employment discrimination was framed as a basic human right to survival.258

250. The plaintiffs whose cases appear in this book sued on the understanding that their personal rights had been violated . . . . At the time they filed, most knew that the majority of lawsuits had been unsuccessful up until that time, but they nevertheless chose to litigate in order to balance individual rights and interests, transmit legal knowledge, and support the public interest. Id. at ii.
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258. In this way, HBV advocates share similarities with rural rights resisters as theorized by O’Brien and Li, who define rightful resistance as a “popular form of contention that operates near the boundary of authorized channels, employs the rhetoric and commitments of the powerful to curb the exercise of power, hinges on locating and exploiting divisions within the state, and relies on mobilizing support from the wider public.” KEVIN J. O’BRIEN & LIANJIANG LI, RIGHTFUL RESISTANCE IN RURAL CHINA 2 (2005). HBV advocates use the language of the state—constitutional law, national law, regulations, and so on—to frame their struggle, such as the right to survival. But they are quite keen on using authorized channels to effectuate legal change, as seen in the numerous petitions they have submitted to the National People’s Congress. They are also less concerned about exploiting the central-rural divide. For the most part, HBV advocates are comparatively wealthy, well educated, and more Internet-savvy than rural resisters. Moreover, rightful resisters occasionally agitate quite demonstrably (i.e., tearing up ballots, grabbing microphones to denounce local
With Professor Zhou as his advocate, Zhang Xianzhu sued the Wuhu Personnel Bureau in November 2003. At the time, there was no law on point, so Professor Zhou fashioned a number of innovative corollaries to press the case. For instance, Zhang's complaint alleged that the Bureau's conclusion not to hire him because of HBV constituted "malicious discrimination" and "did not fulfill the state's legal obligations to respect and protect human rights, and to treat citizens equally under the law." It was, in other words, a violation of the right to equality enshrined in Article 33(2) of the Chinese Constitution. In subsequent filings, Professor Zhou noted that Chinese laws—such as the Law on the Prevention of Infectious Diseases and the Food Safety Law—and regulations—such as the Public Safety Hygiene Regulations A—bar HBV carriers from employment in potentially high-risk positions like medical facilities and food production plants. He argued that these concerns were inapposite to an office job in a personnel bureau.

The court found the hospital—not a party to the lawsuit—had erred in concluding Zhang was unfit for the position. Anhui Province’s Provisional Implementing Regulations for the Physical Examination of National Civil Servants disqualified actively infected carriers of HBV, but it did not disqualify passive carriers like Zhang. Moreover, the hospital exceeded its jurisdiction in ruling on Zhang's suitability; jurisdiction properly belonged to the Personnel Bureau. Ultimately, the court found that it could order no remedy because the recruitment cycle ended with the second-placed candidate filling the post. Zhang won a symbolic victory on a very minute
point of law, and his case made headlines all over China, but he left the courthouse as unemployed as when he entered it.

Zhang’s lawsuit was itself an event, providing an opportunity to call attention to the larger issue of discrimination against HBV carriers. Advocates informed the media about Zhang’s case, ensuring that dozens of journalists attended the hearings and reported on the announcement of the verdict. Members of the online support group gandan xiangzhao also attended the hearings, both to show support for Zhang and to increase visibility for their cause. Press reports about a figure as sympathetic as Zhang opened up discussions and allayed people’s fears about working alongside HBV carriers.

The gandan xiangzhao website quickly gained a large following among HBV carriers and others. An international domain name since 2001, the site had attracted 85,000 registered members by December 2004, and it served as a sounding board for the frustration of carriers and their experiences in education, employment, housing, public services, and other fields. The ability to communicate openly, freely, and honestly about this topic brought together a large community of HBV advocates who had neither discussed the issue publicly nor publicly identified themselves as a group in need of legal protection.

The website also functioned as a collective action center. On August 13, 2003, advocates posted a proposal on the site, asking the Standing Committee of the National People’s Congress (the highest legislative body of China) to review the constitutionality of the

---

268. See Zhou Wei & Li Cheng, supra note 246, at 76 (listing the newspaper articles covering the case, from such sources as People’s Daily, Xinhua, CCTV, Southern Weekend, and others).

269. Zhang has not had an easy time finding work. He was let go from a job in a Guangzhou factory after his boss recognized him from the lawsuit. He also taught at an elementary school in Guizhou. He has received offers from hospitals and pharmaceutical companies to serve as a spokesperson, but he worried that such positions would “be equal to selling my own soul.” See generally Huang Maowang, Zhang Xianzu: Yigan Zhidu Qishi Yin Ta Zhibu [Zhang Xianzu: Systemic Discrimination Against Hepatitis B Stopped Because of Him], FAZHI ZHOUBAO [LEGAL WEEKLY], Aug. 29, 2008, http://www.dffy.com/sifashijian/jj/200808/20080829151838.htm.

270. See Zhou Wei & Li Cheng, supra note 246, at 78–79 (noting that fifty journalists attended the December 19, 2003, hearing and over thirty journalists, from all over the country, attended the verdict on April 2, 2004).


272. Some of the plaintiffs analyzed in Part V of this Article also used the gandan site for legal and moral support. Plaintiffs 3 and 21, among others, used the site. See infra Appendix.

provincial regulations on civil servant examinations.\textsuperscript{274} Over 1,600 Chinese citizens signed the petition before sending it to the Standing Committee in November 2003.\textsuperscript{275} The petition warned of "dozens, even hundreds, of Zhou Yichaos" if the government did not attend to this "serious social problem." Invoking domestic laws and international human rights law,\textsuperscript{276} the petition urged "the Chinese State and Central People’s Government to honor their solemn commitment to the people of the world, and ensure the collective right to exist of 120 million people. If we improve the living conditions of 120 million people, this would be China's contribution to all of humanity."\textsuperscript{277}

The petition contained a number of proposals, some of which have since been adopted. First and foremost, the petition called on the State Council to remove HBV from the list of disqualifying diseases on the civil service examinations,\textsuperscript{279} a task realized gradually by regulations issued in 2007 and 2010.\textsuperscript{280} Another proposal suggested the protection of privacy rights for HBV carriers;\textsuperscript{281} this proposal subsequently materialized in the 2010 Notice discussed above.\textsuperscript{282} Perhaps most importantly, this petition served as a template for subsequent requests made to government bodies. Lu Jun, one of China’s leading HBV advocates, has called on government bodies to pass various types of protective legislation and regulation.\textsuperscript{283} HBV advocates continue to petition the National
People's Congress, the Supreme People's Court, and various ministries to enhance the protections of HBV carriers and disadvantaged people more generally.\footnote{See Guanyu “Jiuye Cujin Fa” Bufen Taokuan Jinxing Sifa Jieshide Jianyi [Proposal to Create a Judicial Interpretation for Some Provisions in the "Employment Promotion Law"] (on file with author) (proposing to have district courts consider hearing employment discrimination cases, distinguish employment discrimination cases from labor dispute cases, and order defendants to pay for emotional pain and suffering damages when necessary). The petition was sent to the Supreme People's Court in early 2010.}

Upon the success of the gandan xiangzhao website, advocates established other websites and organizations to agitate on behalf of HBV carriers. For instance, hbver.com includes a message board, news articles about HBV, information about cirrhosis of the liver, and links to medicines and prevention methods.\footnote{See Waidi Canjiren ye Xiang Guangzhoushi Gongjiao Youhui [Non-resident Disabled People Also Enjoy Transportation Benefits from Guangzhou City], YANGCHENG WANBAO, May 16, 2010, http://www.ycwb.com/ePaper/ycwb/html/2010-05/16/content_829080.htm (noting that 171 disabled people from twenty-five provinces signed a petition to the Guangzhou Transportation Commission requesting preferential services on Guangzhou public transit).} Another site, ganbaobao.com, contains a special section on rights protection, with articles on the prevalence of discrimination, news about various suits, and advice on how to handle rights infringements.\footnote{See Zhansheng Yigan [Fighting for Victory], HBVER.COM, http://www.hbver.com/Index.html (last visited Apr. 9, 2011) (providing comprehensive information for hepatitis B patients including new medical discovery, news, and discrimination against hepatitis B carriers).}

Another legally oriented site is fanqishi.com, which links to articles on laws and lawsuits involving various forms of discrimination.\footnote{See Ganbaobao [Precious Liver], GANBAOBAO.COM.CN, http://www.ganbaobao.com.cn (last visited Apr. 9, 2011). The section on "rights protection by friends of the liver" (ganyou weiquan) appears in the lower right hand section of the front page.}

These sites continue to play the role of informant and sounding board for HBV carriers, allowing people from all over China (and the world) to participate in the discussion. In addition, specialized organizations have sprung up to raise awareness, educate citizens, litigate cases, and petition government bodies to enhance protections. The most successful of these organizations is probably Yirenping, which litigates cases, surveys employer attitudes, publishes a bimonthly newsletter with recent developments, and submits petitions to government bodies on behalf of HBV carriers.\footnote{See generally Anti-Discrimination Laws, FANQISHI.COM, http://www.fanqishi.com (last visited Apr. 9, 2011) (containing hepatitis B discrimination news, cases, and statutes against employment discrimination from both China and abroad).}

In society more generally, for the first time, a wider discussion of discrimination took place online, both on hepatitis B websites and on state-run news agencies such as CCTV. They debated public safety
concerns, legal issues such as the rights to equal employment and privacy, and other matters related to hepatitis B. HBV came out of the closet and onto the tongues and screens of millions of Chinese. Significant media attention helped the mobilizing structures keep the issue on the public radar.

Finally, there is the issue of signification processes. How do HBV advocates understand their struggle? What language or discourse do they use to frame the debate between carriers and the employers who seek to avoid them? As a socialist country with a long history of state-sponsored employment, China privileges social and economic rights (such as food, education, social welfare, and employment) over civil and political ones. In complaints to courts of law, signs brandished during demonstrations, and interviews with journalists and others, the issue is framed in socioeconomic terms. For example, advocates carry signs that state “Eliminate Hepatitis B Discrimination—Construct a Harmonious Society,” or “Eliminate Hepatitis B Discrimination—Promote Equal Employment,” or even “It Is Not Easy to Deprive 120 Million HBV Antigen Carriers of the Right to Survive.” By framing the issue in terms used by the government—such as “harmonious society”—the movement aligns itself with government interests and avoids appearing confrontational or oppositional. Instead, they present their cause as one of necessity, equality, and the right to a job. No one is asking for charity or a politically sensitive privilege such as the right to vote. Instead, advocates insist that HBV carriers simply want to work, to contribute to society, and to live. No official could cavil about such a platform of demands.

By raising public awareness, petitioning for legal reform, and mobilizing media support for their cause, activists have made HBV discrimination one of China’s leading equality issues. Their actions have helped create a legal foundation from which they can assert rights and perhaps change employer behavior. The success of their assertion of rights is taken up in the final section.

289. See, e.g., Xiaochu Yigan Qishi [Eliminating Hepatitis Discrimination], CCTV (Feb. 18, 2004), http://www.cctv.com/program/jkzlzmb/20040218/100084.shtml (transcribing an interview between a CCTV reporter and Tsinghua University’s Yang Suiyan).

290. See McAdam et al., supra note 231, at 16–17.


292. During demonstrations, activists have carried banners with these and other slogans. Jiang Yongping, supra note 80.

293. Women’s rights advocates, by contrast, have framed the discussion in terms of international human rights law. See generally de Silva de Alwis, supra note 137, at 207 (noting China’s obligations under international human rights conventions).
V. Employment Promotion Law

The Employment Promotion Law of 2007 would have helped HBV carriers like Zhang Xianzhu and Zhou Yichao. Drafting began in late 2003, perhaps spurred by the public outcry surrounding Zhang and Zhou. Initially, however, the drafters were mainly focused on irregularities in China’s labor market, which they sought to rectify through training programs, regulation of employment agencies, and boosting investment in employment services. There was particular concern about the oversaturation of labor in industries like manufacturing, as well as the lack of technical expertise in other industries, such as high-tech fields.

Although these issues were the primary concerns of the National People’s Congress (NPC), public comment on an early draft brought to light a topic not then on the agenda. The NPC’s General Office of Standing Committee posted a draft of the Employment Promotion Law (EPL) on its website from March 25 to April 25, 2007, during which time the general public submitted over 11,000 opinions, 7,000 of which concerned discrimination in employment. People recounted their personal experiences with discrimination in many forms, including sex, age, appearance, and disability discrimination. Public opposition to discrimination against hepatitis B carriers was particularly acute. Based on this response, the NPC’s Legal Affairs Commission introduced an entirely new chapter into the law: Chapter 3, “Equal Employment,” systematized the smattering of protections interspersed throughout the earlier draft and expanded protections to five groups of disadvantaged people.

---


296. Id.


299. See PROMOTION LAW INTERPRETATION, supra note 31, at 65.

300. Id. at 81.

This process marked an important innovation in the drafting of Chinese law. Since 2005, the NPC has posted draft laws on the Internet to solicit feedback from concerned citizens and interest groups. The experience with the EPL shows that public opinion—when directed at the right target and conveyed through the proper channels—can have an enormous impact on the final form that the law takes.

Since entering into effect on January 1, 2008, the EPL has generated a large number of lawsuits challenging a few types of employment discrimination. Unlike previous guarantees of equality in employment, found in such laws as the Labor Law or Women’s Law, the EPL grants access to People’s Courts, an invitation that many discrimination victims have taken up. The following subparts discuss the relevant provisions, several representative lawsuits, and a number of suggestions for improving the implementation of the EPL.

A. Provisions

The third chapter of the EPL guarantees equal employment, providing the most robust set of protections against employment discrimination in Chinese law. By granting victims of discrimination access to courts, the EPL overcomes the common critique that Chinese labor law exists solely on paper and rarely leads to meaningful implementation.


302. See NPC Invites Public’s Comments on Draft Employment Law, CHINA DAILY, Mar. 27, 2007 (noting that the NPC publicized the draft Property Law in 2005 and the draft Labor Contract Law in 2006).


The EPL contains a general proscription of employment discrimination, mirroring language found in the Labor Law and Labor Contract Law. More importantly, it provides specific prohibitions for five disadvantaged groups: women, ethnic minorities, disabled persons, people with infectious diseases, and rural workers. Unlike U.S. federal law or China's Labor Law, which simply list bases on which it is illegal to discriminate, the EPL grants different levels of protection to each group. This structure allows the EPL to adapt to the existing legal framework and to address problems unique to each group.

Three provisions in particular—on women, infectious disease carriers, and rural residents—are germane to the present discussion. Article 27 provides:

The state ensures that women have labor rights equal to those of men.

When an employer hires personnel, it may not refuse to employ a woman on the basis of her sex, except for jobs or positions that the state has specified as being unsuitable to women, or set standards for the employment of women that are higher than those for men.

When an employer hires a female, it may not include provisions in her employment contract that place restrictions on her getting married, having children, etc.

Although this provision appears to provide robust protections for women, scholars have criticized the Employment Promotion Law for including the exception for jobs deemed "unsuitable to women." This exception may encourage employers to classify jobs as "unsuitable" for women based on stereotyped or outdated notions of women's capacities, further segregating the workforce and possibly pushing women into low-paying jobs.

It has been said by some employers in China that labor laws are irrelevant due to the unlikely prospect that employees will complain to the authorities or that the laws will be enforced. Further, there is little evidence to suggest that either the promise of remedies or the threat of penalties enhance enforcement.

Id.

306. Article 4 echoes Article 12 of the Labor Law, by providing that individuals "shall have the right to equal employment and to select their own jobs in accordance with the law. The employment of workers shall not be subject to discrimination on the basis of such factors as ethnicity, race, sex, religion, etc." Employment Promotion Law art. 4.

307. Id. arts. 27–31.


309. See Labor Law art. 12 (listing prohibited bases of discrimination).


311. Id. art. 27.

312. Guo Huimin, Gender-Based Employment Discrimination, in EMERGING DEVELOPMENTS, supra note 298, at 90, 95.
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An additional concern involves the use of the word "hire" in section 2 (zhao yong) and "employ" (luyong) in section 3.\textsuperscript{314} Women face discrimination in various phases and aspects of their jobs, from hiring to retirement, promotion, pregnancy leave, wages, and sexual harassment. By using the word "hire," the law limits its scope to the period before the woman becomes an employee. Although the word "employ" in paragraph 3 would seem to dispense with this concern, that paragraph only covers terms that appear in the "employment contract."\textsuperscript{315} An employer is unlikely to indicate that he will sexually harass a female employee in the terms of the employment contract. In short, the law does not protect women in promotion, training, titles, termination, and other phases of employment, as similar laws do in Japan\textsuperscript{316} and the United States.\textsuperscript{317}

The Employment Promotion Law also safeguards the rights of carriers of infectious disease. Article 30 provides:

When hiring personnel, an employer may not refuse to employ someone on the grounds that he or she is a carrier of an infectious disease. However, a certified carrier of an infectious disease may not, until he or she has recovered, or the suspicion of infectiousness has been eliminated, engage in work prohibited by laws, administrative statutes or the State Council’s health authority, due to the fact that it would facilitate the spread of the disease.\textsuperscript{318}

Again, one could argue that the specification of prohibited jobs may reinforce the notion that disease carriers should be excluded from the workplace. However, by requiring employers to base their judgments on a certification that the person carries a disease, the role of suspicion and misinformation, which occasionally trump sound medical reasoning, will be minimized.\textsuperscript{319} As we shall see in Part V.B, this is the most actively litigated provision of the EPL.

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{314} Employment Promotion Law art. 27.
\item \textsuperscript{315} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{317} Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 covers hiring, promotion, discharge, pay, fringe benefits, job training, classification, and other aspects of employment. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e (2006).
\item \textsuperscript{318} Employment Promotion Law art. 30.
\item \textsuperscript{319} Even in mid-2010, after the passage of the Employment Promotion Law and regulations banning the use of HBV tests in hiring, HBV carriers worry that employers are uninformed about the law. See generally Jiang Dahong, Yigan Qi shi Hai Meiyou Tingzhi: Chao Liuchengde Ruzhi Tijian Hai Zai Jiancha [Discrimination Against Hepatitis B Still Has Not Stopped: Over Sixty Percent of Medical Examinations Still Test for It], RENMIN WANG [PEOPLE’S WEB] (Mar. 24, 2010), http://health.people.com.cn/GB/11212873.html (noting that one month after the issuance of the Notice on HBV Examinations, less than one-half of government departments interviewed had implemented the Notice, and less than 20 percent had received the Notice itself).
\end{itemize}
Finally, the EPL protects the rights of migrant workers by providing that “Rural workers employed in cities shall enjoy labor rights equal to those of urban workers. No discriminatory restrictions may be set against rural workers seeking employment in cities.” While this provision addresses a key problem discussed above—the plethora of discriminatory restrictions that cities have imposed against migrant workers—it is not clear how the law should be implemented. First, and unlike the above provisions on women and carriers of infectious diseases, this article says neither that “the state ensures that rural workers have labor rights equal to those of urban workers” (as it does for women in Article 27), nor that “the state safeguards the labor rights of rural workers” (as it does for the disabled in Article 29). It thus arguably offers a lower level of protection to rural residents than it does to women and carriers of infectious diseases.

Second, if a migrant worker were to bring a lawsuit based, for instance, on a city regulation that favors hiring local residents, against whom would he file the case? The employer who did not hire him could simply point to the municipal agency that promulgated the discriminatory regulation. But the agency did not cause the harm—the employer refused to hire the plaintiff. Just as in the Zhang Xianzhu case, a court could find that the municipal agency exceeded its jurisdictional limits or the agency's actions lacked a factual basis, then deem itself powerless to devise a remedy. Moreover, a court cannot annul a regulation passed by an administrative agency. Of course, until a migrant worker brings a lawsuit challenging discrimination under the EPL, we will have to wait to see how a court enforces this provision.

B. Cases

The twenty-five lawsuits found and analyzed by this author took place all over China, in Beijing, Shanghai, Xinjiang, Guangxi,
Zhengzhou, Shenzhen, and many other places. The widespread use of lawsuits suggests that plaintiffs trust courts to entrust them with the adjudication of cases involving basic human rights. The overwhelming majority of suits—twenty-two of twenty-five—came from HBV carriers, a fact that signals both the strength and the limitations of the EPL. Of the nineteen fully adjudicated cases, fifteen resulted in compensation for the plaintiff, while the court ruled for the defendant in four cases. Many plaintiffs are young and college-educated, and the lawsuit involves their first job.

It is important to note that, to date, no plaintiff has filed a lawsuit under the EPL for discrimination due to gender, disability, ethnicity, or rural status. In addition, the foregoing analysis is limited to lawsuits that appeared in the Chinese media and a small number of verdicts that the author obtained through contacting human rights lawyers in China. Because it is difficult to evaluate a judge's reasoning based on a small sample of media reports, the analysis is necessarily limited. Still, basic similarities across many cases offer the contours of the typical case.

HBV lawsuits follow a pattern, roughly analogous to Zhang Xianzhu's. Plaintiff passes the written and oral examinations of his prospective employer, who then makes a job offer, possibly conditioned on a medical examination. When the applicant's medical examination reveals that he carries HBV, the employer either rejects

---

326. See infra Appendix.
327. I have discovered one case brought to a labor arbitration committee, but that seems to be the exception. *Zhongguo Yiyu Zhenghuanzhe Fanqishi Dizian de Qishi* [Notice of China's First Case of Antidiscrimination by Depressive], XINHUA, Aug. 4, 2008, http://news.xinhuanet.com/focus/2008-08/04/content_8768175.htm.
329. See infra Appendix.
330. See, e.g., infra Appendix case 3 (Li Fei v. Wei Yi Da), case 23 (Du Lan v. Changsha Health Department), case 18 (Gong Ping v. Unnamed Defendant). Cases where the plaintiff is a college graduate are marked with a "cg" in the Appendix.
the application or rescinds the offer. After an attempt at mediation, the applicant sues in court (avoiding the sidestep of labor arbitration) for economic damages, emotional distress damages, or breach of contract. He or she may also request an apology.

Chinese courts have some discretion in deciding whether to accept cases. Some courts refuse to accept cases of discrimination in hiring because it is not listed in the Supreme People's Court's causes of action. Nevertheless, many judges have accepted these cases, interpreting the disputes as implicating the right to health or the right to privacy. The first hurdle for many plaintiffs, then, is to find a judge or court willing to hear the case.

Judges also enjoy discretion in assessing damages from emotional distress. Based on the limited number of verdicts analyzed for this Article, emotional distress seems to be the main damage for which judges order compensation. Two cases bear this out. In the first case, the plaintiff passed the defendant employer's oral and written examinations during his final year of college in December 2006. He then signed an employment agreement and stopped his job search in reliance on that agreement. However, in June 2007, the employer informed the plaintiff that he

---

332. Labor arbitration is undesirable from a plaintiff's perspective because it tends to favor employers, often because local governments support employers that provide jobs and tax revenues for local coffers. See Aaron Halegua, Getting Paid: Processing the Labor Disputes of China's Migrant Workers, 26 BERKELEY J. INT'L L. 254, 265 (2008) (discussing the labor bureau bias in favor of employers).

333. Interview with Liu Minghui, Professor, China Women's College (Nov. 26, 2008). The Supreme People's Court Regulation on Civil Causes of Action does not specifically list discrimination as a cause of action. See Minshi Anjian Anyou Guiding [Regulations on Civil Causes of Action] (promulgated by the Sup. People's Ct., Feb. 4, 2008, effective Apr. 1, 2008) (failing to include employment discrimination among the causes of action listed).


335. See Zuigao Renmin Fayuan Guanyu Queding Minshi Qinquan Jingshen Sunhai Peichangren Ruoigan Wentide Jieshi [Supreme People's Court Interpretation of Several Issues in Determining the Duty to Compensate for the Tort of Emotional Distress] (promulgated by Sup. People's Ct., Mar. 8, 2001, effective Mar. 10, 2001) art. 10 (permitting judges to consider the extent of a tortfeasor's culpability; specific circumstances of the methods, situation, or conduct involved; consequences wrought by the tort; economic capacities of a tortfeasor; and the average living standards of the area where the case is heard).

336. Finding verdicts from Chinese civil litigation is difficult. The existing databases have few cases, unlike LEXIS and Westlaw in the United States. After several requests from Chinese lawyers and NGOs, the author was able to obtain a small sample of five such decisions, two of which are analyzed herein.
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would need to complete a liver examination, which revealed he carried HBV. The employer then refused to hire him because he carried HBV. Indeed, during a conversation that plaintiff actually taped and produced as evidence, a member of the company’s human resources department explicitly stated that the plaintiff was not hired because of the result of his HBV test.

The plaintiff sued for breach of contract, but he also sued in tort, alleging that the company’s refusal to hire him violated his right to equal employment and caused emotional distress. The court agreed with both theories but interpreted his suit as a tort claim. It ordered the defendant to pay 10,000 rmb (of the 50,000 rmb requested by the plaintiff) in compensation for emotional distress; the court cited, among other things, the “the economic capacity of the defendant, and the lost work time of the Plaintiff.” An appellate court upheld this ruling after the defendant appealed.

In a second case, brought against the Dazhong News Group in Shandong, the plaintiff passed the written and oral examinations, as well as a second-round test. The defendant then sent an e-mail with a job offer, but the job offer was conditioned on passing a medical examination. The examination results revealed that the plaintiff carried HBV, but her liver functioned normally. The defendant still refused to sign a contract. The plaintiff sued for the breach of her right to equal employment, and she appended claims for emotional distress and economic damages, as well as an apology.

The court found that the defendant’s rejection “caused Plaintiff enormous psychological pressure and emotional pain. In light of such factors as the extent of Defendant’s culpability, the consequences wrought by the tort, and the economic capacity for which the tortfeasor is responsible, this court comprehensively recognizes Plaintiff’s demand for compensation for emotional distress.” It awarded her 15,000 of the 50,000 rmb that she sought in emotional distress.

339. Id.
340. Id.
341. Id. at 2.
342. Id.
343. Id. at 3.
344. Id. at 4–5. The fact that lost work time was included suggests that this was not simply a decision based on emotional distress, but rather on the economic losses stemming from not having a job.
347. Id. at 1.
348. Id. at 2.
349. Id. at 4.
350. Id. at 3.
351. Id. at 7.
distress damages. She also requested half a year's wages, or 12,894 rmb, in economic damages to make up for the time lost between the defendant's refusal to hire her and her filing of the lawsuit. The court awarded a fraction of the damages sought—1,000 rmb—in light of her "work and life circumstances." Finally, the court ordered the defendant to issue a public apology, to be authorized by the court, within thirty days of the issuance of the judgment.

The above cases suggest the broad contours of HBV litigation. The key to success in these lawsuits—as in discrimination cases in the United States—is the adduction of proof. Unlike American litigants, Chinese plaintiffs cannot shift the burden of proof to defendants in discrimination cases; they must produce all the necessary documentation themselves. Luckily for carriers of HBV, there is often a long paper trail, something comparatively rare in other discrimination cases. These documents provide the evidentiary basis that judges need to determine that the defendant discriminated. Plaintiffs may produce notices from the employer that they passed the written and oral examinations, copies of job offers (or conditional job offers), and other indicia that they qualified for the position. They may also have a copy of the employer's request to sit for a medical examination, as well as the results of that exam. Finally, a written rejection, conversation, or phone call (sometimes recorded) encapsulates the rejection. From all of this evidence, judges have a solid foundation upon which to make a finding of discrimination.

However, a long paper trail will not arise under most other kinds of discrimination. In gender discrimination cases, women can be

352. Id.
353. Id.
354. Id. Though not a large amount, economic damages augmented her recovery and enlarged the cognizable scope of damages for employment discrimination. From the opinion, it is not clear what plaintiff's life and work conditions were.
355. Id.
356. China does permit the reversal of burden of proof, but only in limited circumstances such as products liability or medical malpractice. Zuigao Renmin Fayuan Guanyu Minshi Susong Zhengjude Ruogan Guiding [Several Rules of the Supreme People's Court on Evidence in Civil Procedures] (promulgated Dec. 21, 2001, effective Apr. 1, 2002) art. 4(6), (8), translated in CIVIL PROCEDURE LAW & COURT RULES OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA 243, 245 (Wei Luo trans., 1997). In all other suits, the plaintiff is responsible for producing the relevant evidentiary materials. Id. art. 1. As of October 2010, there is no law, regulation, or interpretation that permits a plaintiff in an employment discrimination lawsuit to reverse the burden of proof. Id.
357. In many verdicts, judges write at length about the quality and reliability of the evidence presented. See, e.g., infra Appendix (Plaintiff v. Dushi Zhongsheng Adver.). The court spends most of the ten-page opinion analyzing the credibility of both parties' evidence. Plaintiff v. Dushi Zhongsheng Adver., at 2–3 (Jianghan Dist. People's Ct. Dec. 18, 2008) (on file with author) (categorizing the evidence into four kinds); id. at 4–9 (evaluating the evidence).
screened out much earlier in the process. A résumé may reveal the applicant's gender, either because of her given name or the photograph that often accompanies the application packet. If there is still doubt, a phone call can ferret out female applicants, and even if a phone call fails, the employer can simply interview the female candidate and turn her down without generating any indicia of discrimination. With the exception of explicit job advertisements, none of the discriminatory techniques discussed in this Article would produce documentation, and this lack of documentation leaves a judge to rule primarily on the plaintiff's allegations, suspicions, and interpretations. Even if the defendant made a plainly discriminatory statement during the interview, or injected discrimination obliquely by asking about boyfriends and children, the case would still hinge on hearsay: her word against his. This presents a dilemma for the presiding judge.

Therefore, it is not entirely surprising that no Chinese woman, to this author's knowledge, has filed a lawsuit based on gender discrimination in hiring. This absence can be explained in various ways, including the lack of evidence noted above. Some women simply accept the prevalence of sex discrimination and adopt an attitude of anger, resignation, and silence, the latter perceived as the most effective of the "reasonable alternatives." Others are too concerned with finding a job; they lack the time and resources needed to prosecute a lawsuit. As one interviewee put it, "Even if I won the case, what kind of result would I get? Is the employer going to hire me? If a company didn't want to hire you, but you get the job [after litigation], they are not going to make it easy for you." Particularly in light of the success of various hepatitis B cases, the

358. To my knowledge, no woman has sued for the explicit gender divisions in any newspaper or Internet advertisement.

359. Women have sued for various aspects of employment discrimination, including sexual harassment, termination due to pregnancy, early retirement, and termination after one's husband is terminated. But these cases all involve conduct after plaintiff has been hired. See generally FUNÜ QUANYI YU GONGYI SUSONG [WOMEN'S RIGHTS & PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION] (Guo Jianmei & Li Ying eds., 2008) (describing over a dozen lawsuits brought by the Center for Women's Law & Legal Services of Peking University, the leading women's rights NGO in China); see also Zao Jiuye Qishi, Weihe Weijian Nüxing Suzhe Falü [After Encountering Discrimination, Why Have We Not Seen Women Appeal to the Law?], XINJINGBAO [NEW CAPITAL NEWS], June 15, 2009, http://www.chinanews.com.cn/edu/edu-jysp/news/2009-06-15/1734017.shtml (noting that, as of June 15, 2009, not a single case of gender discrimination in hiring had been filed). Also note that recent searches on Google and Baidu revealed no discrimination cases brought by women (last checked Apr. 19, 2011).
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absence of sex discrimination lawsuits calls into question the efficacy of the EPL as a tool to combat employment discrimination.

C. Problems

For all of its important advances, the Employment Promotion Law omits a few basic necessities. These gaps could be filled through subsequent legislation, provincial legislation, implementing guidelines, administrative regulations, or judicial interpretations. This section offers some suggestions to heighten the efficacy of China's antidiscrimination laws generally and the EPL in particular.

First, the EPL does not define discrimination. This may seem like a formality, but the concept of discrimination is not widely known in China, including among judges. Consequently, formalities like a clear definition of discrimination can make a big difference to the presiding judge.

Second, the EPL does not cover a wide range of discrimination that is quite common in contemporary China. Marital status, appearance, and height are routine bases for refusing to hire someone, even though they have almost no bearing on the ability to perform. To be sure, it is unreasonable to expect antidiscrimination law to respond perfectly to contemporary conditions ab initio, particularly given the slow and gradual development of Chinese legal reform, which prefers minor and incremental change to cataclysm. Moreover, as experience in other countries shows, protected classes tend to proliferate over time; in a few years, it is likely that a new group, currently unrecognized, may clamor for their rights more loudly or persuasively. For example, during the drafting of the EPL, a number of public commentators pointed out the prevalence of age discrimination. The decision not to include age discrimination may be tied to the prevalence of the differential retirement age for men and women, which has been a heated source of debate in recent years.

363. Interview with Li Cheng, antidiscrimination lawyer and doctoral candidate, Shanghai Jiaotong University (Mar. 15, 2009).
366. See PROMOTION LAW INTERPRETATION, supra note 31, at 65.
Third, the protections offered are not as robust as they could be. As already discussed, discriminatory job advertisements are common, and interviewers routinely ask women personal questions about marriage and childbirth plans. Indeed, some employers still require women to forego pregnancy for many years as a condition of employment. A legal prohibition on gender restrictions in print and online advertisements would be a good first step, followed by the proscription of personal questions in interviews.

Fourth, the law does not address the evidentiary issues that typically emerge in employment discrimination lawsuits. In the United States, plaintiffs frequently struggle to adduce evidence of discrimination, which is almost exclusively within the defendant's possession. The Supreme Court responded to this lacuna with the McDonnell-Douglas burden-shifting mechanism: after the plaintiff makes a prima facie showing of employment discrimination, the burden shifts to the defendant to articulate a nondiscriminatory reason for its decision; if the defendant meets that burden, the burden shifts back to the plaintiff to prove why the defendant's proffered reason is pretextual. To be sure, burden-shifting has attracted its own set of critics, but it has leveled the evidentiary playing field between defendants, who are loath to turn over information behind their employment decisions, and plaintiffs, who must rely on stray comments or other circumstantial evidence to prove their case.

support for Beijing to change the law on retirement ages, calling the current system “a terrible waste of human resources, particularly for those who have doctorates or master's degrees”); Niiganbu Wantui Taokuan Bei Cechu [Provision on Later Retirement for Female Candidates Removed], BEIJING NEWS, May 5, 2005, http://news.cctv.com/society/20090505/107566.shtml (noting that the Beijing government decided to withdraw the issue of changing the age from further discussion).

368. See supra Part II.C.
369. de Silva de Alwis, supra note 137, at 233.
370. See LEWIS, & NORMAN, supra note 35, at 167–68 (“Unsurprisingly, outside the realm of policies that discriminate by their clear terms on the basis of a protected characteristic, cases presenting smoking-gun exemplars of 'direct,' 'express,' or 'facial' evidence are relative rarities.”).
372. See generally Denny Chin & Jodi Golinsky, Employment Discrimination: Moving Beyond McDonnell-Douglas: A Simplified Method for Assessing Evidence in Discrimination Cases, 64 BROOK L. REV. 659 (1998) (attacking the McDonnell-Douglas burden shifting framework). The authors suggest that burden-shifting distracts judges from focusing on the “ultimate question,” namely, whether it is more likely than not that the employer engaged in discrimination. Id. at 678. They propose instead that judges at the summary judgment stage first weigh plaintiff's evidence, second weigh defendant's evidence that it did not discriminate, and third view the evidence holistically, drawing all reasonable inferences in plaintiff's favor. Id. at 674–78. If the evidence passes these three stages, the case is ready for a jury trial. Id. at 677–78.
Fifth, the law does not address the question of legal liability, and remedies in particular, with sufficient specificity.\textsuperscript{373} Suppose that the plaintiff proves that her job application was turned down for a discriminatory reason. What should her redress be? Does she get the job? Does she get the next available job? Should she receive another form of injunctive relief? And what remedial or punitive measures should courts levy against discriminating employers? Some scholars have suggested that employers should have to either withdraw discriminatory advertisements or lose the ability to advertise altogether.\textsuperscript{374} As for punitive measures, these scholars recommend a number of sanctions, including civil liability, to cover economic losses and emotional distress damages to the plaintiff; administrative liability, such as a fine from the employer to the relevant enforcement agency; and even criminal liability.\textsuperscript{375} These alternative forms of liability could take the form of implementing legislation from the Ministry of Labor and Social Services or a judicial interpretation by the Supreme People's Court.

The EPL could have taken a page from the 2007 Labor Contract Law, Section VII of which lays out various situations that a judge may face in presiding over a case.\textsuperscript{376} Because of Section VII, a judge knows what to do if, for example, an employer fails to set out mandatory clauses in the labor contract,\textsuperscript{377} retains the employee's ID card or seizes his property,\textsuperscript{378} or does not certify the termination of a labor contract.\textsuperscript{379} The EPL does not reach this level of specificity. As

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{373} Zhou Huyong, \textit{Jiuye Qishi Susong Jiuxi Tixi de Goujian} [Components of a Redress System for Employment Discrimination Litigation], 23 \textit{BEIJINGSHI GONGHUI GANBU XUEYUAN XUEBAO} [J. OF BEIJING FED’N OF TRADE UNIONS CADRE C.] 42, 42 (2008).
\item \textsuperscript{374} Zhang Huagui & Guo Yiyi, \textit{Lun Jiuye Xingbie Qishide Falü Guizhi: Jianping “Jiuye Cujin Fa” zhi Xiangguan Guiding} [On Legal Regulations of Sex Discrimination in Employment: A Critique of the Relevant Provisions in the “Employment Promotion Law”], 167 \textit{FAZHI YU JINGJI} [L. & Econ.] 33, 34 (2008). The authors suggest that discriminating employers should bear both remedial (\textit{buchangxing}) responsibility and punitive (\textit{zhengfaxing}) responsibility. \textit{Id.} at 34. The former would permit the appropriate government agency to make employers withdraw discriminatory requirements, such as “men only” or “men preferred.” The latter could consist of civil, administrative, or criminal punishments to be used when the remedial responsibility could not make a plaintiff whole. \textit{Id.}
\item \textsuperscript{376} \textit{See} Laodong Hetong Fa [Labor Contract Law] (promulgated by the Standing Comm. Nat’l People’s Cong., June 29, 2007, effective Jan. 1, 2008), ch. VII (detailing the situations in which an employer is liable).
\item \textsuperscript{377} \textit{Id.} art. 81.
\item \textsuperscript{378} \textit{Id.} art. 84.
\item \textsuperscript{379} \textit{Id.} art. 89.
\end{itemize}
a result, additional regulations by other government organs are needed.

Sixth, a problem as widespread as employment discrimination requires the coordinated efforts of courts, labor bureaus, local people's congresses, and other official actors. The EPL tasks certain government organs with eliminating discrimination, but only in vague terms. For instance, Article 25 provides that "People's Governments at every level shall create a fair employment environment, eliminate discrimination in employment, formulate policies and take measures to support and assist the hard-to-employ." But local governments are busy entities, more likely concerned with creating jobs than assisting those who have been refused. Given their mandate to increase GDP and economic growth, local governments may hesitate to formulate such a policy, particularly if it might antagonize employers who practice employment discrimination.

Similarly, Article 60 provides that "Labor administration authorities shall supervise and inspect the implementation of this Law, establish a reporting system, accept reports of violations of this Law and promptly verify and handle the same." This provision is more specific, but the author is not aware of a single labor bureau that has set up a reporting system. Such a body is certainly necessary, however; like the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission in the United States, it could handle cases, monitor job advertisements, and investigate employers against whom charges have been directed. As it stands now, many forms of employment discrimination go unpunished, while job advertisements help to segregate workspaces based on age, gender, and physical appearance.

VI. CONCLUSION

Employment discrimination is now firmly imprinted in the national psyche of the PRC. Famous cases have captured headlines and public attention. NGOs dedicated to various causes have been formed, raising awareness, suing offenders, conducting research, and even training employers about the problems of discrimination. A consensus is forming that discrimination—though widespread—is wrong. By passing the Employment Protection Law in 2007, the national government took its largest step toward ensuring equal employment. Plaintiffs have won a handful of well-publicized cases, suggesting that courts view the law favorably, and the media has the government's blessing to report on employment discrimination.

381. Id. art. 60.
Moreover, the long-discussed implementation deficit of Chinese law is shrinking, if only slightly.

If the Chinese government continues to advance these reforms, it will first have to revise a thicket of discriminatory legislation. Most likely, this revision process will be piecemeal, reflecting recent gradualist trends, as well as the general nature of Chinese legal reform. Or it could be achieved through an all-encompassing law, such as a new antidiscrimination law. Regardless, the government will have to chart new ground, resolving the evidentiary issues that the Employment Promotion Law does not address, reviewing the publication of discriminatory advertisements, explaining what kinds of remedies are available to successful plaintiffs, and perhaps even establishing a body to monitor employment discrimination. This short history of employment discrimination in China shows that proscription is always a work in progress. China has taken the first steps down the righteous path of prohibiting discrimination. Presumably, popular support for these initiatives will continue.

Finally, this Article demonstrates that Chinese citizens, with the assistance of civil society groups and online technologies, can effectuate legal change in a gradual, small-scale way. It remains to be seen how well this lesson can be applied to other areas of potential legal reform, but the EPL proves that the energies and activism of a small, committed group of people can change the law, even in democratically challenged contemporary China.
### APPENDIX – HBV Litigation (unless otherwise noted)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Verdict</th>
<th>Plaintiff's status</th>
<th>Defendant</th>
<th>Dist. Ct., Place</th>
<th>Remedy:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10/4/07</td>
<td>CHEN Long</td>
<td>Chang Shuo Tech Co.</td>
<td>Nanhui, Shanghai</td>
<td>D voluntarily offered 5k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10/14/07</td>
<td>LI Sheng</td>
<td>Nokia</td>
<td>Dongguan, GD</td>
<td>P could not verify voice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/4/08</td>
<td>LI Fei, cg</td>
<td>Dongguan Wei Yi Da</td>
<td>Dongguan, GD</td>
<td>24k ec; D promised not to discriminate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3/25/08</td>
<td>ZHANG Lihong</td>
<td>Beijing Duoqi Qiye Consulting Co.</td>
<td>Chaoyang, BJ</td>
<td>Sought 50k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5/24/08</td>
<td>GAO Jun</td>
<td>Bide Telecom Co.</td>
<td>Chaoyang, BJ</td>
<td>17k ec, 2k emo, apology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>7/19/08</td>
<td>YUAN Ye, cg</td>
<td>Jabil Elec.</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Undisclosed settlement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>8/5/08</td>
<td>YUAN Yipeng</td>
<td>IBM Shanghai</td>
<td>Pudong LAC, SH</td>
<td>Reinstated labor K, 57k in lost wages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8/27/08</td>
<td>WANG An</td>
<td>Hongku Elec.</td>
<td>Huizhou, GD</td>
<td>5k emo, apology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10/25/08</td>
<td>XU Jianguo, cg</td>
<td>Guangxi Jingui Pulp Ltd.</td>
<td>Qinnan, Qinzhou</td>
<td>1st: 10k emo, 2nd: 10k emo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11/3/08</td>
<td>A Gang</td>
<td>Unnamed Co.</td>
<td>Nanning</td>
<td>Sought 30k in ec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>12/9/08</td>
<td>WANG Xiaoguo, cg</td>
<td>Mai Ke Wei Er Air Con</td>
<td>Longgang, Shenzhen</td>
<td>Sought 65k in ec &amp; emo, apology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12/10/08</td>
<td>TAO Ming</td>
<td>Jiangxi Province Chip's Hosp.</td>
<td>Nanchang, Jiangxi</td>
<td>Annullled D’s rejection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>12/18/08</td>
<td>LIANG Qi, 25</td>
<td>Dushi Zhongsheng Adver.</td>
<td>Wuhan, Hubei</td>
<td>5k emo, apology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1/23/09</td>
<td>ZHANG Yun, 21</td>
<td>Dazhong Daily News</td>
<td>Lixia, Jinan</td>
<td>15K emo, 1k ec, apology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2/27/09</td>
<td>ZENG Lei, 25 cg</td>
<td>Henan Province Rural Credit Union</td>
<td>Jinshui, Zhengzhou</td>
<td>Sought 3k ec, 50k emo, apology, job</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>5/29/09</td>
<td>WANG Zhue, 24, MS</td>
<td>Unnamed Eng. SOE</td>
<td>Chengguan, Lanzhou</td>
<td>15k settlement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>6/15/09</td>
<td>GONG Ping, cg</td>
<td>Unnamed Cable Co.</td>
<td>Urumqi, Xinjiang</td>
<td>1st: 0, 2nd: 18k settlement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>7/9/09</td>
<td>YU Lihua, cg</td>
<td>Shenzhen Development Bank</td>
<td>Luohu, Shenzhen</td>
<td>3k ec, 325 for tests, 30 for transport costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>10/9/09</td>
<td>GUO Lin, 25, cg</td>
<td>Fushikang Group Elec.</td>
<td>Jiangnan, Hangzhou</td>
<td>5k settlement privacy viol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1/8/10</td>
<td>XIAO Qi</td>
<td>Provincial Testing Ctr.</td>
<td>Yunyan, Guiyang</td>
<td>1st: 0, 2nd: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1/14/10</td>
<td>TANG, XIE, ZHOU</td>
<td>Foshan Dept’ of H.R.</td>
<td>Chancheng, Foshan</td>
<td>1st: 0, 2nd: ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1/25/10</td>
<td>DU Lan</td>
<td>Changsha Ministry Health</td>
<td>Yuelu, Changsha</td>
<td>1st: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>3/5/10</td>
<td>CHEN Ling</td>
<td>Dechang Elec.</td>
<td>Baosen, Shenzhen</td>
<td>1st: 0, 2nd: 3k in emo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>3/11/10</td>
<td>WANG Li</td>
<td>Taipingyang Prop. Ins.</td>
<td>Tianhe, Guangzhou</td>
<td>8k emo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
*Prevailing party in bold (plaintiff in bold if the case settled because he or she received compensation)

**Abbreviations: cg: college graduate; ec: economic damages; emo: emotional distress damages; apology: court ordered defendant to publish written apology
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